



BRIGHAM YOUNG UNIVERSITY
AUVSI CAPSTONE TEAM (TEAM 45)

Vision Subsystem Concept Definition

| ID | Rev. | Date | Description | Author | Checked By |
|--------|------|------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|
| CD-002 | 0.1 | 10-25-2018 | Initial release | Tyler Miller | [CHECKED BY] |

1 Purpose

Last year's vision subsystem achieved less than 25% of its total possible score. As such, it was determined that major improvements will be made at both the manual and autonomous levels.

2 Concept Selected

Vision's competition requirements are complex and as such required multiple concepts to fit into a larger system. After internal discussion, we decided to pursue a base concept of side-by-side manual and autonomous classification system.

3 Definition

4 Justification

Since all of our high-level concepts depend on our imaging hardware, we decided it would be beneficial for us to choose a camera as soon as possible. Our list of potential cameras came from previous years systems as well as cameras used by last years top-placing teams. Critical performance measures are shown in our measured camera values table (CS-002). This table was directly translated into a selection matrix(CS-002). Based off the camera concept selection matrix, it was decided that the Sony a6000 would give us the greatest cost to performance. It's large 24MP sensor will improve image quality when flying at higher altitudes and make autonomous classification easier. Its auto-stabilization and fast exposure time also remove a lot of burden from the user to adjust settings mid-flight. Additionally 7 of the top 15 teams used the a6000 or the earlier generation (but basically equivalent) a5100.

The autonomous classification system is the largest undertaking of this years vision sub-team. Each of the 6 characteristics we are required to identify could potentially be done using a different method. Given the high-enumeration of concepts this generates, we determined it would be most beneficial for us to select one high level concept which would help define the rest of the system.

Concepts for autonomous classification were formed in three ways. The first was discussing our system requirements with market experts. They offered excellent advice on how to best go about the classification problem. The second was researching how top-placing

Vision Subsystem Concept Definition

teams from previous years systems functioned. Teams are required to submit a design report which is made publicly available, allowing us understand from a high level how their image classification systems worked. Third, we did extensive online research on available software libraries and tools that could be used. As we pursued these three methods, our best concept for autonomous classification evolved into its current form. We feel that this final concept is the best combination of these three sources.