

# Brigham Young University AUVSI Capstone Team (Team 45)

# **UAS Subsystem Testing**

ID	Rev.	Date	Description	Author	Checked By
SS-002	0.1	10-29-	initial draft	Andrew Torgesen	Derek Knowles
		2018			
SS-002	1.0	10-31-	pre-design re-	Andrew Torgesen	Tyler Miller
		2018	view revisions		
SS-002	1.1	11-08-	after design re-	Kameron Eves	Jacob Willis
		2018	view revisions		



#### 1 Introduction

As described in the UAS Subsystem Interface Definition document (SS-001), there are two main data links between the aircraft and the subsystems on the ground during a competition flight:

- The 900 MHz Radio Link between the RC transmitter and receiver constitutes the minimal level of communication necessary for flight. The RC link allows a safety pilot to arm/disarm the aircraft's throttle and toggle the autopilot. As stipulated in our key success measures, we are trying to minimize instances when the safety pilot must manually take over the aircraft via the RC link. The ideal flight would not utilize this communication method. However, the RC link is necessary for safe operation and as such is essential to our product. If RC is lost, then the autopilot should immediately activate a failsafe mode.
- The **Ubiquiti WiFi Link** between the Ubiquiti Rocket (on the ground) and Bullet (on the aircraft) allows for the exchanging of data over a ROS network. Effectively, the Rocket and the Bullet allow for network connectivity between all subsystems on the ground and in the air. For example, within our key success measures, target characteristics identified pre-requires the ability to communicate images with the ground station. The aircraft's proximity to waypoints and obstacles are also reported through this data link. Thus the Ubiquiti WiFi link will be essential to a successful performance in our key success measures.

Almost all subsystem interfaces and performance measures depend on these two data links. Outlined in this document are testing procedures and results to evaluate the quality and reliability of each of these vital data links for the UAS system as a whole.

#### 2 Testing Descriptions and Procedures

Table 1 outlines key characteristics of the WiFi and RC data links that should be tested, as well as how they should be tested.

Table 1: Description of testing procedures for UAS WiFi and RC data links.

Test name	Characteristic being tested	Procedure	
RC failsafe	If RC connection is lost, then	While the aircraft's autopilot is	
	the flight control software	active, kill the RC transmitter.	
	should execute a failsafe mode	Observe what the autopilot	
	to avoid an uncontrolled crash.	does. It should guide the air-	
		craft into a loiter flight.	



Network loss	If the network connection between the aircraft and the ground is lost, then the aircraft should still be able to complete the tasks allocated to it until connectivity is regained.	While the aircraft is flying a mission, point the Ubiquity Rocket away from the aircraft, killing the ground-to-air WiFi connection. There should be no visible deviation of the aircraft from its current mission, and RC the connection should still be active.
Network relia-	The network should be able	In an outdoor environment,
bility	to connect upon boot-up of all subsystem components. Connection should be robust to external conditions and allow for a satisfactory data transfer rate.	turn on all subsystem components and ensure that they all connect to the network automatically. Max out the stream rate of the camera to the onboard computer. Activate all subsystems that communicate over the network, and measure data transfer rates—particularly the following:  • Images should be able to stream over the network at a rate of ≥ 1 Hz.  • UAS state data should be viewable on the ground station machines at a rate of ≥ 4 Hz.  • JSON data packets should be able to be sent to the interop server at a rate of ≥ 4 Hz.



ROS failure	If the ROS network fails, then	While the autopilot is run-
	the autopilot can no longer fly	ning, kill the ROS network on
	the aircraft. The safety pilot	the aircraft's on-board com-
	should be able to take back	puter with ssh. RC connectiv-
	control of the aircraft over RC	ity should still be active, and
	to guide it to safety.	the safety pilot should theo-
		retically be able to control the
		aircraft well enough to either
		recover the vehicle or prevent
		causing harm to surroundings
		as it crashes.

## 3 Testing Results

Table 2 gives the results of testing according to the procedures outlined in Table 1, as well as conclusions drawn from those results.

Table 2: Test results for the evaluation of the UAS WiFi and RC data links.

Test name	Test results	Conclusions
RC failsafe	After RC is lost for $\approx 30s$ , the	The RC failsafe mechanism
	autopilot triggers a "return to	built into the autopilot has
	land" protocol, landing near	been found to be in line with
	where it took off from.	the AUVSI competition rules.
Network loss	Loss of connection between the	
	Ubiquiti Rocket and Bullet has	• It will be beneficial to
	no discernible impact on the	have an on-board state
	autopilot—the only consequence	recorder to record all
	is that the groundstation com-	ROS messages for later
	puters are unable to view the	viewing, even if connec-
	states of the aircraft over the	tion to the aircraft is lost
	ROS network. Communication	temporarily.
	resumes once the aircraft is	• We need to run tests to
	back in range of the Rocket.	measure the range of the
		Rocket/Bullet connec-
		tion when the Rocket is
		pointed directly toward
		the aircraft during flight.



Network reliability	Over the course of numerous flight tests, the network connection starts up reliably in all cases but one. There is a particular spot in a field in Springville where the network will never connect. Moving one block over, the network always connects.  • Image stream rate: 3-4  Hz  • State stream rate: 40-45  Hz  • JSON stream rate: 3-4  Hz	<ul> <li>The network streaming rate has been found to be adequate. It is possible that we will want to purchase a more powerful router to allow for faster streaming rates at longer distances.</li> <li>We have only run the network speed test with the aircraft on the ground; it would be nice to run another speed test in conjunction with a test of the maximum range of the Ubiquiti network connection.</li> <li>The instance of never being able to connect in a particular geographical location is troubling. This quirk merits further investigation.</li> </ul>
ROS failure	The RC connection to the aircraft has been found to be reliable and capable of manual takeover in any situation, as long as the batteries of the transmitter are not depleted. It has been found that certain settings should be toggled on the transmitter to conserve power, otherwise it experiences a battery life of about half an hour, which is inadequate.	<ul> <li>The range of the RC connection has been found to be adequate within a radius of ≈ 300 ft.</li> <li>We should run an additional test to determine the approximate maximum range of the RC connection.</li> </ul>



### 4 Conclusion

Based on the results documented in Table 2, we have determined that **our chosen principal inter-component data links are adequate for the competition environment and will not inhibit excellent performance in our key success measures**. Further tests are required to determine the boundary conditions (such as maximum possible distance) of their functional use.