

**BYU**

---

BRIGHAM YOUNG  
UNIVERSITY

AIAA Design / Build / Fly Competition  
2020 - 2021 Aircraft Design Report

## Contents


<b>I</b>	<b>Executive Summary</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>II</b>	<b>Management Summary</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>III</b>	<b>Conceptual Design</b>	<b>3</b>
III.A	Mission Requirements	3
III.B	Scoring Sensitivity Analysis	3
III.C	Concept Weighting and Selection Process	3
<b>IV</b>	<b>Preliminary Design</b>	<b>3</b>
IV.A	Methodology	3
IV.B	Trade Studies	4
IV.C	Estimated Aircraft Performance	4
<b>V</b>	<b>Detail Design</b>	<b>4</b>
V.A	Sizing	4
V.B	Structures	4
V.C	System Selection, Integration, and Architecture	4
V.D	Weights and Balance	5
V.E	Flight Performance Parameters	5
V.F	Mission Performance	5
V.G	Drawing Package	5
<b>VI</b>	<b>Manufacturing Plan</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>VII</b>	<b>Testing Plan</b>	<b>10</b>
VII.A	Completed Testing	10
VII.B	Planned Testing	10
VII.C	Test and Flight Checklists	10
<b>VIII</b>	<b>Performance Results</b>	<b>10</b>

## I. Executive Summary

**Table 1** Summary of major system performance factors.

Metric	
	Performance (units)
	Performance (units)

## II. Management Summary



**Figure 2** This milestone chart reveals our original plan for major elements of our design process compared to the actual timing of these events. Note that we submitted the proposal on time, as well as this report. We anticipate remaining on schedule for the future elements of this chart.

## III. Conceptual Design

### A. Mission Requirements

*Aerodynamic Requirements*

*Structural Requirements*

*Propulsion Requirements*

*Specialty Requirements*

### B. Scoring Sensitivity Analysis

### C. Concept Weighting and Selection Process

**Table 2** Figures of Merit

Factor	Relative Importance (1-5)

*Final Concept*

## IV. Preliminary Design

### A. Methodology

**Table 3** Weighted decision (Pugh) matrix.

Factor	Weight	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3
Totals				

*Figure Placeholder*

**Figure 3** Here we show a sampling of the design concepts we rejected along the way as we honed in on our final design concept (see figure 4).

*Figure Placeholder*

**Figure 4** Our final conceptual design incorporates the highest scoring options in the decision matrices described above.

## B. Trade Studies

### C. Estimated Aircraft Performance

*Uncertainty Analysis*

*Lift and Drag*

*Stability*

*Mission Performance*

## V. Detail Design

### A. Sizing

### B. Structures

### C. System Selection, Integration, and Architecture

## D. Weights and Balance

**Table 4** Weight and Balance table including empty aircraft and each possible configuration.

Configuration	Weight (grams)	CG Location (mm)
Empty		
Config 1		
Config 2		

## E. Flight Performance Parameters

## F. Mission Performance

## G. Drawing Package

The following are drawings including a 3-View drawing with dimensions of all configurations, a structural arrangement drawing, a systems layout/location drawing, and payload accommodation drawings.

---

*Figure Placeholder*

---

---

*Figure Placeholder*

---

---

*Figure Placeholder*

---



---

*Figure Placeholder*

---

## VI. Manufacturing Plan

**Table 5** Figures of Merit

Factor	Relative Importance (1-5)

**Table 6** Weighted decision (Pugh) matrix for manufacturing plan.

Factor	Weight	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3
Totals				

*Figure Placeholder*

**Figure 5** This milestone chart reveals our original plan for major elements of our manufacturing process compared to the actual timing of these events.

## VII. Testing Plan

### A. Completed Testing

*Ground Testing*

*Flight Testing*

### B. Planned Testing

### C. Test and Flight Checklists

## VIII. Performance Results