# Evaluation of pitch and website

| Student name | Maurice             |  |
|--------------|---------------------|--|
| Evaluated by | Dezso-Daniel Bartha |  |

# Front end, design and presentation

In the pitch of about 5 minutes, mark the following four categories and provide feedback for the student.

#### 1. Are all necessary webpages implemented

| Website is obviously missing | All necessary pages are there |  |  |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| important content            | with content .                |  |  |
|                              |                               |  |  |

## 2. Design Creativity & presentation

On a scale of 1 to 5, how much do the website and pitch exhibit creativity, well thought out design an d well presented? Is it complete with necessary content Think of original points of view, used resources, layout and design, and other "wow" factors.

| 1 2 3 4 5 |
|-----------|
|-----------|

#### 3. Study & Career Motivation

On a scale of 1 to 5, how motivated does the student appear for the ICT domain and programme? Think of how detailed the motivation is described or not, Conveys knowledge on the program, different careers and possibilities within the IT field, Knowledge about strengths but also how to tackle potentials risks or personal challenges.

| 1 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-----|---|---|---|
|-----|---|---|---|

## Constructive Feedback on how to improve

More content

try to make better use of contrast

# Back-end coding and technical skills

In the next 5 minutes, mark the following subjects by asking questions. Students can include examples in their presentation. If necessary, provide feedback for the student below tables.

# 4. Functionality

| 1. Tanctionanty   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Does not exist or does not meet requirements  | It is shown that the functionality is implemented but not completely according to specification.                                  | Navigation, side menu, SEO and hosting are implemented according to specifications                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Development environment  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Very basic editor, no web server, no easy way to work in a folder with multiple files | Good code editor. Website<br>hosted from computer. Can<br>easily work with multiple files<br>in a folder                          | Good code editor, website hosted on URL or IP address. Can easily work with multiple files in a folder |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Code quality   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Code is a mess  | Meaningful naming of files and code. Code is generally clear. Care for indenting, capitalisation and structure of code and files. | Code and files are well structured. Great care has been taken for good naming and indenting.           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Adaptability   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| It's hard and complex to change i.e. text colour                                      | Change of i.e. text colour can be done within a minute  | Change of i.e. text colour can be done within seconds  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Constructive Feedback on how to improve

Restructure code: remove unnecessary spaces and indentations, make the necessary indentations.

Style images and text

Use a different background for your dashboard

FAQ is awesome

Restructure the blog