



Tuning and Customization of Generated Accelerators Compiler Based Optimizations

Marco Lattuada

Politecnico di Milano Dipartimento di Elettronica, Informazione e Bioingegneria marco.lattuada@polimi.it

- Synthesize a module which takes as input an array of integer with arbitrary size and returns the minimum and maximum value
 - ▶ Do not use structure

Activity 2.1 – Solution Hint

Example: Code returning the maximum of array of 10 elements

```
void max(int input[10], int * max)
   int local max = input[0];
   int i = 0;
   for (i = 0; i < 10; i++)
      if(input[i] > local max)
         local max = input[i];
   *max = local max;
```

Activity 2.1 – Possible Solution

```
void min max(int * input, int num elements, int * max, int * min)
   int local max = input[0];
   int local min = input[0];
   int i = 0;
   for (i = 0; i < num elements; i++)
      if(input[i] > local max)
         local max = input[i];
      else if(input[i] < local min)</pre>
         local min = input[i];
   *min = local min;
   *max = local max;
```

- Write testbench for the module designed in the previous activity
 - ► Test arrays with different elements and different sizes

```
--generate-tb=<xml_file>
```

```
<?xml version="1.0"?>
<function>
     <testbench input="0,1,2,3,4" num_elements="5"/>
</function>
```

Activity 2.2 – Possible Solution

- Compare the number of cycles required by a function executing 64 bit multiplication on the following target
 - xc4vlx100-10ff1513 66MHz
 - ► 5SGXEA7N2F45C1 200MHz
 - ► xc7vx690t-3ffg1930-VVD 100MHz
 - ► xc7vx690t-3ffg1930-VVD 333MHz
 - ► xc7vx690t-3ffg1930-VVD 400MHz

bambu module.c --device-name=xc4vlx100-10ff1513 --clock-period=15 --simulate

Activity 2.3 - Solution

```
bambu module.c --device-name=xc4vlx100-10ff1513 --clock-period=15 --simulate
```

bambu module.c --device-name=5SGXEA7N2F45C1 --clock-period=5 --simulate

bambu module.c --device-name=xc7vx690t-3ffg1930-VVD --clock-period=10 --simulate

bambu module.c --device-name=xc7vx690t-3ffg1930-VVD --clock-period=3.3 --simulate

bambu module.c --device-name=xc7vx690t-3ffg1930-VVD --clock-period=2.5 --simulate

- Evaluate the effects of GCC optimizations on the number of cycles of adpcm benchmark
 - ▶ Different level of optimizations
 - Vectorization
 - Different inlining

bambu adpcm.c -00 --simulate

bambu adpcm.c -01 --simulate

bambu adpcm.c -02 --simulate

bambu adpcm.c -03 --simulate

bambu adpcm.c -03 --simulate
-finline-limit=1000000

bambu adpcm.c -03 --simulate -ftree-vectorize

Check if SDC scheduling based optimizations further improve the best results obtained in the previous activity --speculative-sdc-scheduling

Activity 2.5 – Solution

bambu adpcm.c -03 --simulate
--speculative-sdc-scheduling
-finline-limit=1000000

■ Evaluate the effects on the number of cycles in using different integer division implementations on the dfdiv algorithm targeting Zynq and 66MHz

Activity 2.7 – Softfloat and libm

☐ Generate the module implementing the following formula (single precision and double precision):

$$\gamma = a\cos\frac{a^2 + b^2 - c^2}{2ab}$$

■ Identify the combination of softfloat ops and libm which produces the best performances

Use the following parameters:

- --libm-std-rounding
- --soft-float

. . .

Activity 2.7 – Solution

- --softfloat is the best choice
- Use of standard or faithful rounding only impacts on area
- Replace pow(x, 2.0) with x * x does not improve performances
 - Replacement is already performed by GCC