



# **Compiler Based Optimizations, Tuning and Customization of Generated Accelerators**

Tutorial @ FPT Conference 2017 – Melbourne– Australia

#### **Marco Lattuada**

Politecnico di Milano Dipartimento di Elettronica, Informazione e Bioingegneria marco.lattuada@polimi.it

- ☐ Integration of generated accelerators
- ☐ Tuning accelerators by means of optimizations
- Math support

- Internal status of accelerators can be reset
  - Accelerators exposes a reset signal
- ☐ Register reset type:
  - ▶ no (default)
  - async
  - sync
- Reset level:
  - ▶ low (default)
  - high
- Example:

--reset-type=sync -reset-level=high

- A dedicated port is created for scalar parameters of each module function
- Generated modules expect stable inputs
  - ▶ If inputs are not stable, they can be registered
- Registered inputs:
  - auto (default) inputs are registered olnly for shared functions
  - yes
  - no

--registered-inputs=<value>

- Different types of encoding can be used in Finite State Machine
  - one-hot
  - binary
- Default: best encoding for logic synthesis tool
  - ▶ Vivado: one-hot
  - ▶ Other tools: binary

--fsm-encoding=<value>

- □ Performance and/or area of the generated accelerators can be improved by tuning the design flow
  - GCC optimizations
  - ▶ Bambu IR optimizations
  - ▶ Bambu HLS algorithms
- Best design flow for every accelerator does not exist
  - ▶ Trade off between area and performance
  - ► Effects of the single optimizations can be different on the single accelerators
- Default:
  - ▶ Balanced area/performance trade off

- □ C→HDL without optimizations
  - ▶ GCC optimizations are (mostly) disabled
  - Bambu IR optimizations are (mostly) disabled

```
-00 --cfg-max-transformations=0 --no-chaining
```

- □ Can be exploited only when bambu is compiled with development support
- Useful for debugging

- Only GCC target independent optimizations are considered
- □ -03 is not necessarily the best choice
  - ▶ Can improve performances
  - Can increment area
- ☐ User can tune this part of the flow:
  - ▶ Selecting optimization level:

```
-00 or -01 or -02 or -03 or -0s
```

► Enabling/disabling single GCC optimization:

```
-f<optimization> -fno-<optimization>
```

▶ Tuning gcc parameters: --para

```
--param <name>=<value>
```

■ Results refer to other Bambu options set to default value

Opts	Cycles	Luts
00	15764	11675
01	7892	11052
02	4679	10276
03	3854	15679
O3 vectorize	3816	38553
O3 all inline	1327	13550

- Collect information used by IR optimizations and High Level Synthesis
- Data flow analysis
  - Scalar: based on SSA
  - Aggregates: exploit GCC+Bambu alias analysis
- Graphs Computation
  - ▶ Call Graph, CFG, DFG, ...
- Loops identification
- Bit Value Analysis
  - Compute for each SSA which bit are used and which bit are fixed

#### **Bambu IR Optimizations**

- Applied before HLS to the IR produced by GCC
- Two type of optimizations
  - Single instruction optimizations
  - Multiple instruction optimizations
  - Restructuring of Control Flow Graph
  - Fixing IR
- Sequences of optimizations can be applied multiple times
  - Fixed point iteration optimization flow


#### **Single Instruction Optimization**

- □ IR lowering make single instructions more suitable to be implemented on FPGA
  - Expansion of multiplication by constant
  - Expansion of division by constant
  - ▶ Etc.
- Bit Value Optimization
  - Shrink operations to the only significant bits

- Common Subexpression Elimination
- Dead Code Elimination
- □ Extract pattern (e.g., three input sum)
- LUT transformations
  - Merging multiple Boolean operations into a single LUT-based operation
- Cond Expr Restructuring

- Speculation
- Code motion
- Merging of conditional branch
  - Creation of multiple target branch
- Basic Block Removal
  - Empty
  - ▶ Last

- Global scheduling based on ILP formulation
- Results are exploited to perform
  - Speculation
  - Code Motion
- + Improve performances of accelerators
- Potentially increment area of accelerators
- Increase High Level Synthesis time



- Struct assignment
  - Replaced with memcpy call
- ☐ Floating point operations
  - Replaced with function calls
- Integer divisions
  - Replaced with function calls

#### **Experimental setup**

Predefined design flows

```
--experimental-setup=<setup>
```

BAMBU-AREA: optimized for area

BAMBU-PERFORMANCE: optimized for performances

BAMBU-BALANCED: optimized for trade-off area/performance

BAMBU-AREA-MP, BAMBU-PERFORMANCE-MP, BAMBU-BALANCED-MP: enable support to true dual port memories

Default: BAMBU-BALANCED-MP

- Bambu assumes infinite resources during High Level Synthesis
  - Produced solutions may not fit in the target device
- □ Area of generated solutions can be indirectly controlled by means of constraints
- User can constraint the number of available functional units in each function
  - ► E.g.: fix the number of available multiplier in each function
- Constraints are set by means of XML file

### **Example of constraints file**

■ You can control how to implement integer divisions:

- Available implementations:
  - ▶ none: HDL based pipeline restoring division
  - nr1 (default): C-based non restoring division with unrolling factor equal to 1
  - ▶ nr2: C-based non restoring division with unrolling factor equal to 2
  - ▶ NR: C-based Newton-Raphson division
  - as: C-based align divisor shift dividentd method

# Floating point support

- Possible ways of implementing floating point ops:
  - Softfloat (default): customized faithfully rounded (nearest even) version of soft based implementation

Softfloat-subnormal: soft based implementation with support to subnormal

▶ Softfloat GCC: GCC soft based implementation

► Flopoco generated modules

- Bambu exploits High Level Synthesis to generate accelerators implementing libm functions
- Two different versions of libm are available
  - 1. Faithfully rounding (default)
  - Classical libm built integrating existing libm source code from glibc, newlib, uclibc and musl libraries.
    - Worse performances and area

- Evaluate the effects of GCC optimizations on the number of cycles of adpcm benchmark
  - ▶ Different level of optimizations
  - Vectorization
  - Different inlining
- aes from CHStone suite
  - Yuko Hara, Hiroyuki Tomiyama, Shinya Honda and Hiroaki Takada, "Proposal and Quantitative Analysis of the CHStone Benchmark Program Suite for Practical C-based High-level Synthesis", Journal of Information Processing, Vol. 17, pp.242-254, (2009).
- ☐ Target device: xc7z020-1clg484-VVD (Zynq with Vivado)
- Target clock period: 10 ns

bambu adpcm.c -00 --simulate

bambu adpcm.c -00 --simulate

bambu adpcm.c -01 --simulate

bambu adpcm.c -02 --simulate

bambu adpcm.c -03 --simulate

bambu adpcm.c -03 --simulate
-finline-limit=1000000

bambu adpcm.c -03 --simulate -ftree-vectorize

□ Check if SDC scheduling based optimizations further improve the best results obtained in the previous activity --speculative-sdc-scheduling

- bambu adpcm.c -03 --simulate
- --speculative-sdc-scheduling
- -finline-limit=100000

- Evaluate the effects on the number of cycles in using different integer division implementations on the dfdiv algorithm targeting Zynq and 66MHz
- □ dfdiv from CHStone suite
  - Yuko Hara, Hiroyuki Tomiyama, Shinya Honda and Hiroaki Takada, "Proposal and Quantitative Analysis of the CHStone Benchmark Program Suite for Practical C-based High-level Synthesis", Journal of Information Processing, Vol. 17, pp.242-254, (2009).

# Fourth example-Softfloat and libm

☐ Generate the module implementing the following formula (single precision and double precision):

$$\gamma = a\cos\frac{a^2 + b^2 - c^2}{2ab}$$

■ Identify the combination of softfloat ops and libm which produces the best performances

#### Use the following parameters:

- --libm-std-rounding
- --soft-float

. . .

- --softfloat is the best choice
- Use of standard or faithful rounding only impacts on area
- Replace pow(x, 2.0) with x \* x does not improve performances
  - Replacement is already performed by GCC