## Slices of Functional Programming The Texas Hold'em Kata

Xavier Detant & Christophe Thibaut

March 20, 2017

#### The Texas Hold'em Kata

#### given this file: input.txt:

```
kc 9s ks kd 9d 3c 6d
9c ah ks kd 9d 3c 6d
ac qc ks kd 9d 3c
9h 5s
4d 2d ks kd 9d 3c 6d
7s ts ks kd 9d
```

# after command runhaskell pokerhands.hs <input.txt then the output is</pre>

```
kc 9s ks kd 9d 3c 6d full house (winner)
9c ah ks kd 9d 3c 6d two pair
ac qc ks kd 9d 3c
9h 5s
4d 2d ks kd 9d 3c 6d flush
7s ts ks kd 9d
```

#### The Texas Hold'em Kata

in the line:

8s 9d Th Js Qd Kc Ah

T,J,Q,K,A stand for *Ten*, *Jack*, *Queen*, *King*, *Ace*, and h,s,d,c stand for *Hearts*, *Spades*, *Diamonds*, *Clubs* 

#### Texas Hold'em in five steps:

- 1. Interpret Strings in terms of Cards
- 2. Compare Cards (by Rank or by Suit)
- 3. Find the Category of a Hand (Hand = group of 5 Cards)
- 4. Find the best possible Hand in a group of 7 Cards
- 5. Find the best player in a game

## program = function evaluation

#### Lauch ghci and try some functions:

```
sqrt 1764 ←
Data.List.subsequences "ABCD" \leftarrow
subtract 2 44 ←
 2 'subtract' 44 \leftarrow
subtract 1 (subtract 1 44) ←
6 * (3 + 4) ←
(*) 6 ((+) 3 4) ←
Data.List.insert 42 [1,32,87] ←
```

## Writing a test

#### A short program named Specs.hs:

```
import Test.Hspec

main = hspec
(describe "a test"
  (it "should pass"
   (2+2 'shouldBe' 4)))
```

Listing 1: Specs.hs

#### Running the test:

```
runhaskell Specs.hs ←
```

## Writing a suite of tests

#### Sequencing actions with do:

- the \$ operator is an alternative to parentheses:
- $f $ x y z \equiv f(x y z)$
- the do construct allows for sequencing of actions
- ▶ the actions must be indented under their sequencing do
- we will use do and actions only in the tests

#### Let's write some functions

Write a function *response* that passes this test:

```
import Test.Hspec

main = hspec $ do
    describe "response" $ do
        it "should be a yes or a no" $ do
            response 'N' 'shouldBe' False
            response 'n' 'shouldBe' False
            response 'Y' 'shouldBe' True
            response 'y' 'shouldBe' True
```

```
response 'Y' = True
response 'y' = True
response 'N' = False
response 'n' = False
```

Patterns allow for expressing distinct cases

Write a function label that passes this test:

```
import Test. Hspec
main = hspec $ do
    describe "label" $ do
        it "should be an english label" $ do
            label "WO" 'shouldBe' "Wool"
            label "CO" 'shouldBe' "Cotton"
            label "PA" 'shouldBe' "Nylon"
            label "PC" 'shouldBe' "Acrylic"
            label "XX" 'shouldBe' "--- unknown label ---"
            label "YY" 'shouldBe' "--- unknown label ---"
import Test.Hspec
```

```
label "WO" = "Wool"
label "CO" = "Cotton"
label "PA" = "Nylon"
label "PC" = "Acrylic"
label _ = "--- unknown label ---"
```

The underscore symbol in the left part of the equality denotes *any* value that is distinct from the values in the preceding patterns.

#### Lists

A way to collect values of the same type Ghci:

```
1 : 2 : 3 : [] 
'a' : 'b' : 'c' : "" ←
[4,8] ++ [0,7] \leftarrow
head [4,8,0,7] \leftarrow
tail [4,8,0,7] \leftarrow
reverse "Hello World" ←
concat ["A","List","Of","Lists"] ←
```

#### Let's write some functions

Write a function average that passes this test:

```
describe "average" $ do
   it "should calculate the average" $ do
      average [] 'shouldBe' 0
      average [2, 4, 12] 'shouldBe' 6
```

#### Let's write some functions

using Pattern Matching to denote cases:

```
average [ ] = 0
average xs = sum xs 'div' length xs
```

A variable defined in the left part of the equality receives the argument value and can be used in the right part.

```
ordered [a,b] = a <= b
ordered [a,b,c] = ordered [a,b] && ordered [b,c]

product [] = 1
product (x:xs) = x * product xs</pre>
```

#### Patterns also allow for deconstructing data:

- elements of a list
- head of a list and remaining list

## Comparing values

#### Some useful checks about compare :

## Strings are not Cards!

#### There's no way that this test can pass:

```
describe "using Strings as Cards" $ do
  it "cannot give satisfactory comparisons" $ do
    compare "Td" "Jc" 'shouldBe' LT
    compare "8d" "8c" 'shouldBe' EQ
    compare "Ah" "Jc" 'shouldBe' GT
```

unless we rewrite compare

## How to compare cards by rank?

Write a function rank that passes this test:

```
describe "comparing card by rank" $ do
  it "should follow the rules of poker" $ do
    compare (rank "8d") (rank "6h") 'shouldBe' GT
    compare (rank "4d") (rank "4h") 'shouldBe' EQ
    compare (rank "9d") (rank "Th") 'shouldBe' LT
    compare (rank "Td") (rank "Jh") 'shouldBe' LT
    compare (rank "Jd") (rank "Qh") 'shouldBe' LT
    compare (rank "Qd") (rank "Kh") 'shouldBe' LT
    compare (rank "Kd") (rank "Ah") 'shouldBe' LT
```

#### Hint:

```
rank ['A',_] = 14
rank ['K',_] = 13
```

## How to compare cards by suit

#### Write a function suit that passes this test

```
describe "comparing card by suit" $ do
  it "should follow the rules of poker" $ do
    suit "8d" == suit "6d" 'shouldBe' True
    suit "4d" == suit "4h" 'shouldBe' False
    suit "9d" == suit "Tc" 'shouldBe' True
    suit "Td" == suit "Js" 'shouldBe' False
```

## **Types**

Types are a way to check the meaning of programs All expressions, all function definitions have a type. Although Haskell can infer our types, we can explicitly declare function signatures:

```
\begin{array}{lll} {\tt rank} \; :: \; {\tt String} \; \to & {\tt Int} \\ {\tt suit} \; :: \; {\tt String} \; \to & {\tt Char} \end{array}
```

## **Types**

#### Thanks to types, expressions like

- rank False
- rank 3.1415

#### are not legal But:

- rank "Foo" is still legal
- compare (rank "!\*") (rank "18") == ... ?
- every String value is not a valid Card value
- only when comparing fails we know we had incorrect data

## **Tuples**

A way to gather values of different types Ghci:

```
:type (EQ,'@', False) ←

:type ('A',True) ←

:type fst ←

:type snd ←

fst ('A', True) ←

snd ('A', True) ←
```

## a way to think about the problem

#### Let's define types synonyms:

```
type Card = (Rank, Suit)
type Rank = Int
type Suit = Char

rank :: Card → Rank
suit :: Card → Suit
```

#### And a new function from String to Card:

```
\texttt{card} \; :: \; \texttt{String} \; \rightarrow \; \; \texttt{Card}
```

## Comparing cards, improved

```
Write the function: card :: String -; Card so that the test pass
describe "comparing card by rank" $ do
    it "should follow the rules of poker" $ do
        compare (rank (card "8d")) (rank (card "6h")) '
    shouldRe' GT
        compare (rank (card "4d")) (rank (card "4h")) '
    shouldBe' EQ
        compare (rank (card "9d")) (rank (card "Th")) '
    shouldBe' LT
        compare (rank (card "Td")) (rank (card "Jh")) '
    shouldBe' LT
        compare (rank (card "Jd")) (rank (card "Qh")) '
    shouldBe' LT
        compare (rank (card "Qd")) (rank (card "Kh")) '
    shouldBe' LT
        compare (rank (card "Kd")) (rank (card "Ah")) '
    shouldBe' LT
```

#### Hint:

## Comparing cards, improved

```
\begin{array}{l} \texttt{card} \; :: \; \texttt{String} \; \to \; \texttt{Card} \\ \texttt{rank} \; :: \; \texttt{Card} \; \to \; \texttt{Rank} \\ \texttt{suit} \; :: \; \texttt{Card} \; \to \; \texttt{Suit} \end{array}
```

#### Better because:

- once conversion is done, the comparing takes care of itself
- bad input is detected at conversion, not in comparisons

#### But:

you can still do silly things like rank (4807,'@')

## Types = a way to think about a problem

#### Let's create new types:

Rewrite the card function so that the tests still pass Hint:

```
card [r,s] = (charToRank c, charToSuit s)
charToRank 'A' = Ace
...
```

## Type Class = a way to define type conformity

#### Saying that

```
data Rank = Two | Three | Four | Five | Six | Seven |
Eight
| Nine | Ten | Jack | Queen | King | Ace
deriving (Eq, Ord, Enum, Show)
```

#### means that values of type Rank

- ▶ can be compared with == and /=
- ▶ can be compared with compare , j , j = ...
- can be converted to and fron Int with fromEnum and toEnum
- can be converted to String with show

## Type Class = a way to define type conformity

#### Ghci:

```
:load PokerHand.hs ←

Two < Three ←

Ace > King ←

show "Queen" ←

card "8d" ←
```

#### Better design:

- ▶ the type Card can have only 52 values.
- once conversion is done, you can only
  - compare by rank order (no illegal rank allowed)
  - compare on equality by suit (no illegal suit allowed)

## Checkpoint #1

We have the proper types to describe our values

We have our first feature: comparing cards

Well Done!!

## Organizing Code in Modules

#### Let's move the production code into its own module:

```
module PokerHand
where
. . .
```

Listing 2: PokerHand.hs

## and use it in the Specs script:

```
import Test.Hspec
import PokerHand
. . .
```

## Passing Functions to Functions

#### Ghci:

```
import Data.Ord ←

:type compare ←

:type comparing ←

comparing abs (-4) 3 ←

:load PokerHand.hs ←

comparing rank (card "8c") (card "5d") ←
```

the function rank is passed to the comparing function

## **Combining Functions**

#### Ghci:

```
:type (.) ←

(length . words) "time flies like an arrow" ←

comparing (rank . card) "8c" "5d" ←
```

$$(f. g) x == f(gx)$$

## Combining Functions

```
Refactor the test using comparing and the . operator
describe "comparing card by rank" $ do
    it "should follow the rules of poker" $ do
        compare (rank (card "8d")) (rank (card "6h")) '
   shouldBe' GT
        compare (rank (card "4d")) (rank (card "4h")) '
   shouldBe' EQ
        compare (rank (card "9d")) (rank (card "Th")) '
   shouldBe' LT
        compare (rank (card "Td")) (rank (card "Jh")) '
   shouldBe' LT
        compare (rank (card "Jd")) (rank (card "Qh")) '
   shouldBe' LT
        compare (rank (card "Qd")) (rank (card "Kh")) '
   shouldBe' LT
        compare (rank (card "Kd")) (rank (card "Ah")) '
   shouldBe' LT
```

## Mapping a function to a list of values

#### Ghci:

```
:type map ←
map negate [-34,42,17] ←
map sqrt [1,2,3,4,5] ←
```

## **Collecting Cards**

#### Write the function cards such that

```
describe "cards" $ do
   it "should collect cards from a string" $ do
      cards "8d Ah Qc" 'shouldBe'
      [(Eight, Diamonds), (Ace, Hearts), (Queen, Clubs)]
```

## Sorting

```
sort [42,3,17,1,22,4,38] ←

sortBy compare "HELLO" ←

sortBy (comparing length) (words "time flies like an arrow") ←
```

#### Ranks of a hand

#### Write the function 'ranks' such that

```
describe "ranks" $ do
   it "should give the sorted ranks of a hand" $ do
      ranks (cards "8d Ah Qc") 'shouldBe' [Ace, Queen,
      Eight]
```

# Grouping

```
group "HELLO" ←→

(group . sort) "Cats and Dogs" ←→
```

### Groups of Cards

### Write the function 'groups' such that

```
describe "groups" $ do
  it "should group and sort the ranks of a hand" $ do
    groups (cards "8d Ah Qc 8h 8s") 'shouldBe'
        [[Eight,Eight],[Ace],[Queen]]

groups (cards "8d Ah Qc 8h As") 'shouldBe'
        [[Ace,Ace],[Eight,Eight],[Queen]]
```

#### Hint: use

- sort
- sortBy
- comparing
- group
- reverse

### Categorizing groups of Cards

### A data type for Category

### Categorizing groups of Cards

```
Write the function category :: [[Rank]] -¿ Category
describe "category" $ do
    it "should determine the category of a hand" $ do
        let hs = ["4s 5d Kc Tc 3d"
                 ."4s Kd Kc Tc 3d"
                 ."4s Kd Kc Tc Td"
                 ."Ts Kd Kc Kc 8d"
                 ."Ts Kd Kc Tc Td"
                 "Ts Kd Kc Kc Kd"]
        map (category.groups.cards) hs ==
                 [HighCard, OnePair, TwoPairs
                ,ThreeOfAKind, FullHouse, FourOfAKind]
```

#### Hint:

```
category [_,_,_,_] = HighCard
category [[_,_],_,_] = OnePair
...
```

## Special categories

A Straight is like a HighCard with ranks forming a sequence e.g. Th 9d 8c 7s 6s A Flush is like a HighCard with all cards of same suit e.g. Kh Jh 9h 7h 6h

### Guards

### Pattern matching can be applied with conditions, called guards

### Detecting a Flush

#### Write the function isFlush:

```
describe "isFlush" $ do
   it "should detect when all cards have the same suit" $
   do
      isFlush (cards "8d Ah 4d 3d Ad") 'shouldBe' False
      isFlush (cards "8h Ah 4h 3h Kh") 'shouldBe' True
```

#### Hint: use

- group
- length
- pattern matching with guards

## The Enum Type Class

#### Ghci:

```
fromEnum False ←
fromEnum True ←

:load PokerHand.hs ←

fromEnum Ace ←
fromEnum King ←
```

# Detecting a Straight

#### Method:

- ▶ Given a list of 5 distinct groups of 1 rank each,
- ▶ And the first rank value = the last rank value + 4
- ► Then the category is Straight

```
isStraight :: [Rank] \rightarrow Bool
isStraight [a,_,_,_,b] = fromEnum a == 4 + fromEnum b
isStraight _ = False
```

### Lexicographic Order

Tuples, like Lists can be compared according to lexicographic order:

$$(a,b) < (c,d) \equiv (a < c) \lor (a = c) \land (b < d)$$

$$[a,b] < [c,d] \equiv (a < c) \lor (a = c) \land (b < d)$$

This allows for comparing hand by category then ranks:

- If two hands have the same category, the winner is the hand with the highest rank in the category.
- ▶ If two hands have the same category and rank, the winner is the hand with the highest remaining cards.

## Comparing two hands

Comparing two hands involves comparing their category, and if their categories are equal, comparing the ranks in the order given by the groups.

Creating values of type Ranking allows for such comparisons, provided that the ranks are sorted in reverse order.

# Determining a Ranking

```
Create the function:
```

```
ranking :: [Cards] → Ranking
it "should keep the ranking of a hand" $ do
    ranking (cards "2c 2s 3s 3c 4h")
        'shouldBe' (TwoPairs, [Three,Three,Two,Two,Four])

ranking (cards "2c 2s As 3c 4h")
        'shouldBe' (OnePair, [Two,Two,Ace,Four,Three])
```

#### Hint:

```
ranking cs = (cat,rs)
where
    cat = category gs
    rs = concat gs
    gs = ...
```

# Special Categories (cont.)

A Straight Flush is a Straight and a Flush

e.g Th 9h 8h 7h 6h

A Royal Flush is a Straight Flush starting with an Ace

e.g. Ah Kh Qh Jh Th

### Promoting to special categories

```
promote :: Ranking → Ranking
promote (HighCard, [Ace, Five,__,_]) = (Straight,
                                         [Five, Four, Three, Two
    ,Ace])
promote (HighCard,rs) | isStraight rs = (Straight, rs)
promote r = r
flushes :: Bool \rightarrow Ranking \rightarrow Ranking
flushes True (HighCard, rs) = (Flush, rs)
flushes True (Straight, [Ace,_,_,]) = (RoyalFlush,
                                           [Ace, King, Queen,
    Jack.Tenl)
flushes True (Straight, rs) = (StraightFlush, rs)
flushes False r = r
```

### Ranking Final Test

```
it "should correctly order a list by ranking" $ do
   let s = ["7s 5c 4d 3d 2c" ,"As Kc Qd Jd 9c"
            "2h 2d 5c 4c 3c", "Ah Ad Kc Qc Jc"
            "2c 2s 3s 3c 4h" "Ac As Ks Kc Jh"
           "2h 2d 2c 4c 3c", "Ah Ad Ac Qc Jc"
           "5h 4s 3d 2c Ah" "Ah Ks Qd Jc Th"
           "7c 5c 4c 3c 2c" ,"Ac Kc Qc Jc 9c"
            "2h 2d 2c 3h 3c", "Ah Ad Ac Kh Kc"
            "2c 2s 2h 2d 3c" ,"Ac As Ah Ad Jc"
            ,"5c 4c 3c 2c Ac" ,"Ah Kh Qh Jh Th"]
       isOrdered [] = True
       isOrdered (x:y:xs) = x < y && isOrdered (y:xs)
       r = map (ranking.cards) s
    isOrdered r 'shouldBe' True
```

## Ranking Final Test

#### Hint:

## Checkpoint #2

We can compare two hands in Texas Hold'em

Well Done!!