

# Assignment 1

Mingyuan Ba  
SID: 530157791

March 9, 2024

## Problem 1

a)

The number of iterations is

$$(n-1) + (n-2) + \cdots + 1 + 0 = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$$

which is bounded by  $n^2$ , For each iteration corresponding to indices  $i, j$ , we only need to perform a single comparison operation, so the time complexity per iteration is  $O(1)$ . Thus, the overall time complexity is  $O(n^2)$ .

b)

Assume for simplicity that  $n$  is even. To lowerbound the running time, consider only comparisons made during the first half of its execution. Since this is part of the full execution, analyzing only this part gives a lower bound on the total running time. The main observation we need is that for each of the considered iterations, we make at least  $\frac{n}{2}$  comparisons, allow us to lower bound the total number of comparisons made:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (n-i-1) \geq \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \frac{n}{2} = \frac{n^2}{4} = \Omega(n^2)$$

## Problem 2

### Sum()

When a new element get pushed or popped, the sum need to be updated. The **Sum** operation return the value of variable **sum**, which takes  $O(1)$  time.

We modified the push and pop operations. Adding the new element to the sum takes  $O(1)$  time, so push still runs in  $O(1)$  time. Similarly, subtracting the removed element from the sum takes  $O(1)$  time, so pop still runs in  $O(1)$  time.

---

```
1: function NEWPUSH(e)
2:   sum  $\leftarrow$  sum + e
3:   PUSH(e)
4: end function
```

---

---

```
1: function NEWPOP
2:   e  $\leftarrow$  POP
3:   sum  $\leftarrow$  sum - e
4: end function
```

---

---

```
1: function SUM
2:   return sum
3: end function
```

---

## Problem 3

a)

---

```
1: function A( $B, m$ )
2:    $n \leftarrow \text{sizeof}(B)$ 
3:    $j \leftarrow n - 1$ 
4:    $i \leftarrow 0$ 
5:    $\text{counts} \leftarrow 0$ 
6:   while  $i < j$  do
7:     if  $B[i] + B[j] \geq m$  then
8:        $\text{counts} \leftarrow \text{counts} + (j - i)$ 
9:        $j \leftarrow j - 1$ 
10:    else
11:       $i \leftarrow i + 1$ 
12:    end if
13:  end while
14:  return  $\text{counts}$ 
15: end function
```

---

b)

**Theorem:** For  $B, m$  given above, the algorithm  $A$  should correctly calculate the number of index pairs  $i, j$  such that  $B[i] + B[j] \geq m$  for all  $i < j$ .

**Base Case:**

$n = 2$ ,  $B$  has only 2 element  $B[0], B[1]$ . The algorithm  $A$  compares  $B[0] + B[1]$  with  $m$ . If  $B[0] + B[1] \geq m$ ,  $A$  sets  $\text{counts}$  to 1 which is correct. Else, there is no valid index pair.

**Inductive Step:**

Assume that for any array  $B$  of length  $k$  algorithm  $A$  works correctly. We need to prove that for an array  $B$  of length  $k + 1$ , the algorithm  $A$  can also correctly calculate the number of satisfying index pairs.

*Proof:*

The algorithm  $A$  starts checking from  $i = 0$  and  $j = k$ .

- If  $B[0] + B[k] \geq m$ , given the sorted property, all elements between  $B[0]$  and  $B[k]$  also sum up to be greater than or equal to  $m$ .  $k - i$  correctly counts the number of pairs with  $B[k]$ . Then, algorithm decrease  $j$  by 1 (which is  $k - 1$  now). Based on the assumption, the algorithm has already calculated all valid index pairs within the indexes from 0 to  $k - 1$ . Thus, algorithm finds all valid index pairs for an array  $B$  of length  $k + 1$ .
- If  $B[0] + B[k] < m$ , the algorithm increments  $i$  by 1 in a loop until  $B[i] + B[k] \geq m$  is satisfied. At this point,  $k - i$  is added to the counts, or the loop continues until  $i = j$  (indicating there are no valid index pairs). In both cases, we have found all valid pairs involving  $B[k]$ . Based on the assumption, we have found all valid pair involving  $B[k-1]$ . Thus the algorithm finds all valid index pairs for an array  $B$  of length  $k + 1$ .

## Conclusion

Therefore, by induction, we conclude that for any sorted array  $B$  of length  $n$  and any positive integer  $m$ , the algorithm  $A(B, m)$  correctly calculates the number of index pairs  $(i, j)$  such that  $B[i] + B[j] \geq m$ .

**c)**

*Line 2-5, 7, 11, 14* all consist of assignments, simple comparisons, simple math operations and the return statements return booleans, all of which takes  $O(1)$  time. Each iteration of the while loop on *line 6-13* perform  $O(1)$  time operations. And either  $i$  is incremented by 1 (*line 11*), or  $j$  is decremented by 1 (*line 9*). Hence, each iteration decreases the distance between  $i$  and  $j$  by 1. Given that the initial distance between  $i$  and  $j$  is  $n - 1$  (where  $n$  is the length of the array  $B$ ), the loop can run at most  $n - 1$  times. Thus, the loop takes at most  $O(1) \cdot O(n)$  or  $O(n)$  time. Since we saw that the operations outside the loop all take constant time, the loop dominates the running time, which comes down to  $O(n)$  time in total, as required.