

COMP2022

Models of Computation

Non-regularity

Sasha Rubin

August 15, 2024



To show that a language is regular, it is sufficient to find a DFA, NFA, or Regular Expression for it.

But how do we show a language is **not regular**?

One must show that there is no DFA that recognises it.

A conversation with Kleene

Student: I can't show $L = \{a^n b^n : n \geq 1\}$ is regular. I've tried NFAs, DFAs, and regular expressions. I actually suspect it is not regular.

Kleene: Let's try prove that there is no DFA for L .

S: But how do we prove something doesn't exist?

K: Well, we can let M be any DFA, without saying exactly which one it is, and show that M doesn't recognise L (sort of like in algebra when you reason that $x^2 < 0$ has no real solution by reasoning about all real numbers x).

S: Ok. But we need to know *something* about M , otherwise we can't reason about it...

K: Well, we know it only has finitely many states, say k many; and when the DFA consumes a string it ends in just one of these states.

S: That doesn't seem like a lot of information... ;(

K: Amazingly, it is enough. Here is the idea:

We will show that there are two strings x and y that go to the same state in M , and another string z such that $xz \in L$ and $yz \notin L$.

S: Oh! If we can do this then $L(M) \neq L$ because M accepts yz which is not in L !

S: Ok, so I need to find these three strings x, y and z . Well... we want xz to be in the language, yz not in the language... so let's try $x = a^{10}$, and $z = b^{10}$, and $y = a^{20}$.

K: You probably shouldn't be using fixed numbers...

S: Right right right. We're gonna want $x = a^n$ and $z = b^n$. And we're gonna want $y = a^m$ where $n \neq m$. That would give us $xz = a^n b^n \in L$ and $yz = a^m b^n \notin L$.

K: Right. Now we just need to reason why we can choose n, m so that a^n and a^m go to the same state... We haven't yet used that there are only k many states...

S: Hmmm....

K: Look at all the strings you have to choose from a^1, a^2, a^3, \dots
Each string goes to some state, say q_1, q_2, q_3, \dots

S: ... so at some point we're gonna run out of states and some state will repeat!

K: This is called the **pigeonhole principle** in Discrete Math... It says "If we put objects into containers, but we have more objects than containers, then some container must hold more than one object".

S: So if we think of every state as a container that holds all the strings that go to it, then since we only have k many states, at least one state must contain two of the strings from a^1, a^2, \dots, a^{k+1} .

K: Done!

Remember, the idea is this:

Show that there are two strings x and y that go to the same state in M , and another string z such that $xz \in L$ and $yz \notin L$.

To do this we can:

Exhibit strings x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots so that for every $i \neq j$ we can exhibit a string z (which may depend on i, j), such that $x_i z \in L$ and $x_j z \notin L$.

$L = \{a^n b^n : n \geq 0\}$ is not regular

Proof.

- Let M be any DFA. We will show that $L(M) \neq L$.
- Let k be the number of states in M .
- Look at the strings $x_i = a^i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k + 1$.
- At least two of them, say x_i and x_j , go to the same state.
- Let $z = b^i$ and note that $x_i z \in L$ and $x_j z \notin L$. Why?
 - $x_i z = a^i b^i$ which is obviously in L .
 - $x_j z = a^j b^i$ which is not in L since $i \neq j$.
- Let q be the state that M sends the string $x_i z$ to.
- Since this string is in L the state q must be final.
- But then M also accepts $x_j z$. But this string is not in L !
- So $L(M) \neq L$, which is what we wanted to show.

□

Template of the proof

Proof.

- Let M be any DFA. We will show that $L(M) \neq L$.
- Let k be the number of states in M .
- Look at the strings $x_i = [\dots]$ for $1 \leq i \leq k + 1$.
- At least two of them, say x_i and x_j , go to the same state.
- Let $z = [\dots]$ and note that $x_i z \in L$ and $x_j z \notin L$. Why?
 - $x_i z$ is in L since $[\dots]$
 - $x_j z$ is not in L since $[\dots]$
- Let q be the state that M sends the string $x_i z$ to.
- Since this string is in L the state q must be final.
- But then M also accepts $x_j z$. But this string is not in L !
- So $L(M) \neq L$, which is what we wanted to show.



$L = \{ww : w \in \{a,b\}^*\}$ is not regular

Proof.

1. Given k , let $x_i = a^i b$ for $1 \leq i \leq k + 1$.
2. Given i, j , say $i < j$, let $z = a^i b$.
3. Then $x_i z = a^i b a^i b$ which is in L (take $w = a^i b$).
4. And $x_j z = a^j b a^i b$ which is not in L since the left half of this string only contains a s while the right contains two b s (since $i < j$).



$L = \{w \in \{a, b\}^* : |w| \text{ is a power of } 2\}$ is not regular

Proof.

1. Given k , let x_i be any string of length 2^i (for $1 \leq i \leq k + 1$).
2. Given i, j , say $i < j$, let z be any string of length 2^i .
3. Then $x_i z \in L$ since $|x_i z| = 2^i + 2^i = 2^{i+1}$.
4. And $x_j z \notin L$ since

$$2^j < |x_j z| = 2^j + 2^i < 2^j + 2^j = 2^{j+1}$$

so $|x_j z|$ is not a power of 2 (because there is no power of 2 between 2^j and 2^{j+1}).



Another technique

- Once we know that a language is not regular, we can deduce that any language that is a Boolean combination of regular languages is regular.
 1. The union of two regular languages is regular.
 2. The intersection of two regular languages is regular.
 3. The complement of a regular language is regular.
- We can use this to show that a language L is not regular using **proof of a negation** (see T0).

Proof of a negation:

We want to prove that a statement P is false.

1. We assume that P is true.
2. We arrive at an impossible situation (incorrect conclusion).
3. Conclude the statement P must in fact be false.

We want to prove that a particular language L is not regular.

1. Assume L is regular.
2. Express some other language L' which we know is not regular (because we've proved this already) as a Boolean combination of regular languages (including L).
3. This is an impossible situation (the 'contradiction').
4. Conclude L cannot be regular.

Showing a language is not regular

Example

Prove that the language L_{equal} of strings with the same number of a s as b s is not regular.

If L_{equal} were regular then

$$\{a^n b^n : n \geq 0\} = L_{\text{equal}} \cap L(a^* b^*)$$

would also be regular (why?), but we know it is not (why?). So L_{equal} is not regular.

Showing a language is not regular

Example

Prove that the language L_{diff} consisting of strings over $\{a, b\}$ with a different number of a s as b s is not regular.

If L_{diff} were regular then

$$\{a^n b^n : n \geq 0\} = \{a, b\}^* \setminus L_{\text{diff}}$$

would also be regular (why?), but we know it is not (why?). So L_{diff} is not regular.

Test your understanding

Which of the following true facts allows one to deduce that $L_1 = \{a^n b^m : n \neq m\}$ is not regular.

1. $L_1 = L(a^*b^*) \setminus \{a^n b^m : n = m\}$
2. $L_1 = L_{\text{diff}} \cap L(a^*b^*)$

Summary

- We used the pigeonhole principle to give a direct proof that certain languages are not regular.
 - A variation is called the *pumping lemma*, see Sipser.
- We used the closure of the regular languages under Boolean operations to deduce that certain languages are not regular.

Where next?

So, to recognise more languages that are not regular we need a more powerful model of computation. Next we will study **context-free grammars** which are a different style of model than machine models, and can describe more than just the regular languages.

Good to know

Let L be a language over Σ .

- We say that two strings $x, y \in \Sigma^*$ are **distinguished by L** if there is some string $z \in \Sigma^*$ such that one of the strings xz and yz is in L and the other is not in L .
- The proof that L is not regular amounts to showing that for every k there are strings x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k+1} that are pairwise distinguished by L (i.e., such that any two of them are distinguished by L).
- One can also deduce that L is not regular by finding infinitely many strings x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots that are pairwise distinguished by L .