0 Instructions

Homework is due Tuesday, April 16, 2024 at 23:59pm Central Time. Please refer to https://courses.grainger.illinois.edu/cs446/sp2024/homework/hw/index.html for course policy on homeworks and submission instructions.

1 GAN: 5pts

1. The problem will be:

$$\max_{\mathcal{D}} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_r(x)}[\log \mathcal{D}(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_g(x)}[\log(1 - \mathcal{D}(x))]$$

which is equivalent to maximize:

$$\int p_r(x) \log \mathcal{D}(x) + p_g(x) \log(1 - \mathcal{D}(x)) dx$$

Hence, the optimal choice of $\mathcal{D}(x)$ is:

$$\mathcal{D}^*(x) = \frac{p_r(x)}{p_r(x) + p_g(x)}$$

2. Plugged in the optimal $\mathcal{D}(x)$, Eq. 1 will turn into:

$$\min_{\mathcal{G}} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_r(x)} \left[\log \frac{p_r(x)}{p_r(x) + p_g(x)} \right] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_g(x)} \left[\log \frac{p_g(x)}{p_r(x) + p_g(x)} \right]$$

which is equivalent to minimize:

$$\int p_r(x) \log \frac{p_r(x)}{p_r(x) + p_g(x)} dx + \int p_g(x) \log \frac{p_g(x)}{p_r(x) + p_g(x)} dx$$

$$= D_{KL}(p_r(x) || p_r(x) + p_g(x)) + D_{KL}(p_g(x) || p_r(x) + p_g(x))$$

$$= 2D_{JS}(p_r(x); p_g(x))$$

Therefore, when \mathcal{D} reaches optimal, optimizing Eq. 1 is the same as minimizing $D_{JS}(p_r(x); p_q(x))$.

3. When \mathcal{D} perfectly classifies generated samples, the output of \mathcal{D} will saturate and the gradient of \mathcal{D} will be almost 0, which makes the gradient of \mathcal{G} almost 0 as well.

2 Diffusion model: 11pts

1.

$$\text{ELBO}_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x}_0) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \frac{\beta_t (1 - \overline{\beta}_{t-1})}{\overline{\beta}_t^2} \mathbb{E}_{q(\boldsymbol{x}_t | \boldsymbol{x}_0)} \left[\| \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x}_t) - \boldsymbol{x}_0 \|_2^2 \right]$$

where $\overline{\beta}_t := 1 - \prod_{i=1}^t (1 - \beta_i)$.

2. No, because $p_{\theta}(\cdot)$ represent the reconstruction process from random noise in diffusion models and thus cannot directly give the likelihood of an existing test sample.

3.

$$q(\boldsymbol{x}_t|\boldsymbol{x}_0) = \prod_{i=1}^t q(\boldsymbol{x}_i|\boldsymbol{x}_{i-1}) = \prod_{i=1}^t \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{x}_i; \sqrt{1-\beta_i}\boldsymbol{x}_{i-1}, \beta_i \mathbf{I})$$

$$\boldsymbol{x}_t = \sqrt{1 - \beta_t} \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} + \sqrt{\beta_t} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{t-1} = \sqrt{1 - \beta_t} \sqrt{1 - \beta_{t-1}} \boldsymbol{x}_{t-2} + \sqrt{\beta_t} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{t-1} + \sqrt{1 - \beta_t} \sqrt{\beta_{t-1}} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{t-2}$$

We can estimate covariance of the new Gaussian noise $\sqrt{\beta_t} \epsilon_{t-1} + \sqrt{1-\beta_t} \sqrt{\beta_{t-1}} \epsilon_{t-2}$:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{t-2} = [(\sqrt{\beta_t})^2 + (\sqrt{1-\beta_t}\sqrt{\beta_{t-1}})^2]\mathbf{I} = [\beta_t + \beta_{t-1} - \beta_t\beta_{t-1}]\mathbf{I} = [1 - (1-\beta_t)(1-\beta_{t-1})]\mathbf{I}$$

and thus:

$$\mathbf{x}_{t} = \sqrt{(1 - \beta_{t})(1 - \beta_{t-1})} \mathbf{x}_{t-2} + \sqrt{1 - (1 - \beta_{t})(1 - \beta_{t-1})} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{t-2}
= \sqrt{(1 - \beta_{t})(1 - \beta_{t-1})(1 - \beta_{t-2})} \mathbf{x}_{t-3} + \sqrt{1 - (1 - \beta_{t})(1 - \beta_{t-1})(1 - \beta_{t-2})} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{t-3}
= \cdots = \sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^{t} (1 - \beta_{i})} \mathbf{x}_{0} + \sqrt{1 - \prod_{i=1}^{t} (1 - \beta_{i})} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0}
= \sqrt{1 - \overline{\beta}_{t}} \mathbf{x}_{0} + \sqrt{\overline{\beta}_{t}} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0}$$

where $\overline{\beta}_t := 1 - \prod_{i=1}^t (1 - \beta_i)$. Hence, as $\boldsymbol{x}_t \sim q(\boldsymbol{x}_t | \boldsymbol{x}_0)$, we have:

$$q(\boldsymbol{x}_t|\boldsymbol{x}_0) = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{x}_t|\sqrt{1-\overline{\beta}_t}\boldsymbol{x}_0, \, \overline{\beta}_t \mathbf{I})$$

$$\overline{\beta}_t := 1 - \prod_{i=1}^t (1 - \beta_i)$$

4. From the last question we can get:

$$q(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}|\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1},\boldsymbol{x}_{0})\frac{q(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}|\boldsymbol{x}_{0})}{q(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}|\boldsymbol{x}_{0})} = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}|\sqrt{1-\beta_{t}}\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1},\ \beta_{t}\mathbf{I})\frac{\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}|\sqrt{1-\overline{\beta}_{t-1}}\boldsymbol{x}_{0},\ \overline{\beta}_{t-1}\mathbf{I})}{\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{x}_{t}|\sqrt{1-\overline{\beta}_{t}}\boldsymbol{x}_{0},\ \overline{\beta}_{t}\mathbf{I})}$$

$$\propto \exp\left(\frac{(\boldsymbol{x}_t - \sqrt{1-\beta_t}\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1})^2}{2\beta_t} + \frac{\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} - \sqrt{1-\overline{\beta}_{t-1}}\boldsymbol{x}_0\right)^2}{2\overline{\beta}_{t-1}} - \frac{\left(\boldsymbol{x}_t - \sqrt{1-\overline{\beta}_t}\boldsymbol{x}_0\right)^2}{2\overline{\beta}_t}\right)$$

Denote the polynomial in the above exponential as $r(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_t, \boldsymbol{x}_0)$. Since $q(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}|\boldsymbol{x}_t, \boldsymbol{x}_0)$ is a Gaussian distribution, minimize r with respect to \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} should lead to the mean $\mu_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x}_t, \boldsymbol{x}_0)$. Hence, taking derivative of r with respect to \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} :

$$\frac{\partial r}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}} = \frac{-\sqrt{1-\beta_t}\boldsymbol{x}_t + (1-\beta_t)\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}}{\beta_t} + \frac{-\sqrt{1-\overline{\beta}_{t-1}}\boldsymbol{x}_0 + \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}}{\overline{\beta}_{t-1}} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\beta_t + \overline{\beta}_{t-1} - \beta_t \overline{\beta}_{t-1}}{\beta_t \overline{\beta}_{t-1}} \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} = \left(\frac{\sqrt{1-\beta_t}\boldsymbol{x}_t}{\beta_t} + \frac{\sqrt{1-\overline{\beta}_{t-1}}\boldsymbol{x}_0}{\overline{\beta}_{t-1}}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x}_t, \boldsymbol{x}_0) = \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} = \frac{\overline{\beta}_{t-1}\sqrt{1-\beta_t}\boldsymbol{x}_t + \beta_t\sqrt{1-\overline{\beta}_{t-1}}\boldsymbol{x}_0}{\beta_t + \overline{\beta}_{t-1} - \beta_t \overline{\beta}_{t-1}}$$

5. According to Bayes' rule,

$$\log p_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x}, \delta | \boldsymbol{x}_{\text{known}}) = \log \frac{p(\boldsymbol{x}_{\text{known}} | \boldsymbol{x}) p_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x}, \delta)}{p(\boldsymbol{x}_{\text{known}})}$$
$$= \log p(\boldsymbol{x}_{\text{known}} | \boldsymbol{x}) + \log p_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x}, \delta) - \log p(\boldsymbol{x}_{\text{known}})$$

3 Unsupervised learning / contrastive learning: 4 pts

- 1. True.
- 2. False. MAE is an approach for computer vision, and the mask-out rate can vary greatly.
- 3 True
- 4. False. CLIP does enable zero-shot classification with contrastive pre-training.

4 Coding: GAN, 10pts

5 Coding: Diffusion model, 10pts