mkin -

Routines for fitting kinetic models with one or more state variables to chemical degradation data

Johannes Ranke

Product Safety
Harlan Laboratories Ltd.
Zelgliweg 1, CH-4452 Itingen, Switzerland

September 10, 2010

Abstract

In the regulatory evaluation of chemical substances like plant protection products (pesticides), biocides and other chemicals, degradation data play an important role. For the evaluation of pesticide degradation experiments, detailed guidance has been developed, based on nonlinear optimisation. The R add-on package **mkin** implements fitting some of the models recommended in this guidance from within R and calculates some statistical measures for data series within one or more compartments, for parent and metabolites.

Contents

1 Introduction					
2	Exa	ample	1		
	2.1	Data format	1		
	2.2	Model definition	2		
	2.3	Fitting the model	3		

Key words: Kinetics, FOCUS, nonlinear optimisation

1 Introduction

Many approaches are possible regarding the evaluation of chemical degradation data. The **kinfit** package (Ranke, 2010a) in R (R Development Core Team, 2010) implements the approach recommended in the kinetics report provided by the FOrum for Co-ordination of pesticide fate models and their USe (FOCUS Work Group on Degradation Kinetics, 2006) for simple data series for one parent compound in one compartment.

The **mkin** package (Ranke, 2010b) extends this approach to data series with metabolites and more than one compartment and includes the possibility for back reactions.

2 Example

In the following, requirements for data formatting are explained. Then the procedure for fitting the four kinetic models recommended by the FOCUS group to an example dataset for parent only given in the FOCUS kinetics report is illustrated. The explanations are kept rather verbose in order to lower the barrier for R newcomers.

2.1 Data format

The following listing shows example dataset C from the FOCUS kinetics report as distributed with the **mkin** package

```
R> library("mkin")
R> FOCUS_2006_C

name time value
1 parent 0 85.1
2 parent 1 57.9
3 parent 3 29.9
```

```
4 parent
            7
                14.6
                 9.7
5 parent
           14
           28
                 6.6
6 parent
7 parent
            63
                 4.0
8 parent
           91
                 3.9
                 0.6
9 parent
          119
```

Note that the data needs to be in the format of a data frame containing a variable name specifying the observed variable, indicating the compound name and, if applicable, the compartment, a variable time containing sampling times, and a numeric variable value specifying the observed value of the variable. If a further variable error is present, this will be used to give different weights to the data points (the higher the error, the lower the weight, see the help page of the modCost function of the FME package (Soetaert and Petzoldt, 2010)). Replicate measurements are not recorded in extra columns but simply appended, leading to multiple occurrences of the sampling times time.

Small to medium size dataset can be conveniently entered directly as R code as shown in the following listing

```
R> example_data <- data.frame(
+    name = rep("parent", 9),
+    time = c(0, 1, 3, 7, 14, 28, 63, 91, 119),
+    value = c(85.1, 57.9, 29.9, 14.6, 9.7, 6.6, 4, 3.9, 0.6)
+ )</pre>
```

2.2 Model definition

The next task is to define the model to be fitted to the data. In order to facilitate this task, a convenience function mkinmod is available.

The model definitions given above define sets of linear first-order ordinary differential equations. In these cases, a coefficient matrix is also returned.

Other models that include time on the right-hand side of the differential equation are the first-order multi-compartment (FOMC) model and the Hockey-Stick (HS) model. At present, only the FOMC model can only be used, and only for the parent compound.

2.3 Fitting the model

None

Then the model parameters should be fitted to the data. The function mkinfit internally creates a cost function using modCost from the FME package and the produces a fit using modFit from the same package. In cases of linear first-order differential equations, the solution used for calculating the cost function is based on the fundamental system of the coefficient matrix, as proposed by Bates and Watts (1988).

```
R> # Do not show significance stars as they interfere with vignette generation
R> options(show.signif.stars = FALSE)
R> SFO.fit <- mkinfit(SFO, FOCUS_2006_C)
Model cost at call 1:
                       4718.953
Model cost at call 4: 4718.953
Model cost at call 5:
                       572.4065
Model cost at call 7:
                       572.4065
Model cost at call 8: 236.2068
Model cost at call 9: 236.2068
Model cost at call 11:
                       198.9361
Model cost at call 12:
                        198.9361
Model cost at call 14:
                        196.6776
Model cost at call 15:
                        196.6776
Model cost at call 16:
                        196.6776
Model cost at call 17:
                        196.5420
Model cost at call 18 : 196.5420
Model cost at call 19: 196.5420
Model cost at call 20 :
                       196.5339
Model cost at call 21:
                        196.5339
Model cost at call 22:
                        196.5339
Model cost at call 23: 196.5334
Model cost at call 25: 196.5334
Model cost at call 26:
                        196.5334
Model cost at call 28:
                        196.5334
Model cost at call 29: 196.5334
Model cost at call 33: 196.5334
R> summary(SFO.fit)
Equations:
[1] d_parent = - k_parent_sink * parent
Starting values for optimised parameters:
             initial type lower upper
parent_0
             100.0 state 0
                                   Inf
k_parent_sink
               0.1 deparm
                              0 Inf
Fixed parameter values:
```

```
Optimised parameters:
```

```
Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>t)
parent_0 82.4920 4.7402 17.402 2.54e-07
k_parent_sink 0.3061 0.0459 6.668 0.000143
```

Residual standard error: 5.299 on 7 degrees of freedom

Chi2 error levels in percent:

err.min n.optim df All data 15.84 2 7 parent 15.84 2 7

Estimated disappearance times:

DT50 DT90 parent 2.265 7.523

Estimated formation fractions:

parent_sink 1

Data:

variable	observed	predicted	residual
parent	85.1	82.49198372280005742	2.608
parent	57.9	60.74234531039351026	-2.842
parent	29.9	32.93450756424957149	-3.035
parent	14.6	9.68211886535106103	4.918
parent	9.7	1.13639436818243311	8.564
parent	6.6	0.01565475942942832	6.584
parent	4.0	0.00000034869017577	4.000
parent	3.9	0.00000000006617202	3.900
parent	0.6	0.00000000000001256	0.600
	parent parent parent parent parent parent parent	parent 57.9 parent 29.9 parent 14.6 parent 9.7 parent 6.6 parent 4.0 parent 3.9	parent85.182.49198372280005742parent57.960.74234531039351026parent29.932.93450756424957149parent14.69.68211886535106103parent9.71.13639436818243311parent6.60.01565475942942832parent4.00.00000034869017577parent3.90.000000000006617202

R> SFORB.fit <- mkinfit(SFORB, FOCUS_2006_C)</pre>

 Model
 cost
 at
 call
 1:
 7044.136

 Model
 cost
 at
 call
 4:
 7044.136

 Model
 cost
 at
 call
 7:
 3460.19

 Model
 cost
 at
 call
 11:
 3460.19

 Model
 cost
 at
 call
 11:
 3460.19

 Model
 cost
 at
 call
 13:
 312.9928

 Model
 cost
 at
 call
 15:
 312.9928

 Model
 cost
 at
 call
 17:
 312.9928

 Model
 cost
 at
 call
 18:
 27.14677

 Model
 cost
 at
 call
 20:
 27.14677

 Model
 cost
 at
 call
 23:
 4.437654

 Model
 cost
 at
 call
 28:
 4.362927

 Model
 cost
 at
 call
 31:
 4.362927

 Model
 cost
 at
 call
 33:
 4.362715

```
Model cost at call 38 : 4.362714
Model cost at call 43 : 4.362714
Model cost at call 48 : 4.362714
Model cost at call 52 : 4.362714
```

R> summary(SFORB.fit)

```
Equations:
```

Starting values for optimised parameters:

	initial	type	lower	upper
parent_free_0	100.0	state	0	Inf
k_parent_free_sink	0.1	deparm	0	Inf
k_parent_free_bound	0.1	deparm	0	Inf
k_parent_bound_free	0.1	deparm	0	Inf

Fixed parameter values:

value type

parent_bound 0 state

Optimised parameters:

Residual standard error: 0.9341 on 5 degrees of freedom

Chi2 error levels in percent:

err.min n.optim df

All data 2.662 4 5 parent 2.662 4 5

Estimated disappearance times:

DT50 DT90

parent 1.887 21.25

Estimated formation fractions:

ff

parent_free_sink 1

Data:

time variable observed predicted residual 0 parent 85.1 85.003 0.09726 1 parent 57.9 58.039 -0.13912 3 parent 29.9 30.054 -0.15351

```
13.866 0.73388
       parent
                14.6
                 9.7
                        9.787 -0.08657
   14
      parent
  28
                 6.6
                         7.532 -0.93205
      parent
                         4.033 -0.03269
                 4.0
   63
       parent
   91
       parent
                  3.9
                          2.447 1.45348
  119
                  0.6
                          1.484 -0.88424
       parent
R> SFO_SFO.fit <- mkinfit(SFO_SFO, FOCUS_2006_D, plot=TRUE)
Model cost at call 1: 18994.29
Model cost at call 3: 18994.29
Model cost at call 7 : 10641.45
Model cost at call 8: 10641.45
Model cost at call 12: 7145.673
Model cost at call 14: 7145.672
Model cost at call 17: 411.979
Model cost at call 18: 411.9788
Model cost at call 22: 371.2202
Model cost at call 23 : 371.2201
Model cost at call 27: 371.2134
Model cost at call 29 : 371.2134
Model cost at call 31: 371.2134
Model cost at call 32 : 371.2134
R> summary(SF0_SF0.fit, data=FALSE)
Equations:
[1] d_parent = - k_parent_sink * parent - k_parent_m1 * parent
[2] d_m1 = -k_m1_{sink} * m1 + k_{parent_m1} * parent
Starting values for optimised parameters:
             initial type lower upper
              100.0 state
                              0 Inf
parent_0
k_parent_sink
               0.1 deparm
                              0
                                 Inf
                0.1 deparm
k_m1_sink
                             0 Inf
k_parent_m1
                0.1 deparm
                             0 Inf
Fixed parameter values:
  value type
m1 0 state
Optimised parameters:
             Estimate Std. Error t value
                                         Pr(>t)
             9.960e+01 1.614e+00 61.720 < 2e-16
parent_0
k_parent_sink 4.792e-02 3.750e-03 12.777 3.05e-15
             5.261e-03 7.159e-04
```

Residual standard error: 3.211 on 36 degrees of freedom

5.078e-02 2.094e-03 24.248 < 2e-16

k_m1_sink

k_parent_m1

7.349 5.76e-09

```
err.min n.optim df
All data 6.565
                4 16
         6.827
                    3 6
parent
                    1 10
m1
          4.748
Estimated disappearance times:
        DT50 DT90
parent 7.023 23.33
m1 131.761 437.70
Estimated formation fractions:
              ff
parent_sink 0.4855
parent_m1 0.5145
m1_sink
         1.0000
R> SFORB_SFO.fit <- mkinfit(SFORB_SFO, FOCUS_2006_D, plot=TRUE)
Model cost at call 1: 16413.78
Model cost at call 3: 16413.78
Model cost at call 10 : 3061.057
Model cost at call 11: 3061.056
Model cost at call 17: 392.3445
Model cost at call 18: 392.3445
Model cost at call 20: 392.3445
Model cost at call 22: 392.3445
Model cost at call 25 : 354.9441
Model cost at call 27 : 354.9441
Model cost at call 31: 354.9441
Model cost at call 33: 354.6558
Model cost at call 35 : 354.6558
Model cost at call 39 : 354.6558
Model cost at call 40 : 352.5951
Model cost at call 42: 352.5951
Model cost at call 46: 352.5951
Model cost at call 48 : 352.2550
Model cost at call 50 : 352.2550
Model cost at call 54: 352.2550
Model cost at call 56: 352.2078
Model cost at call 58:
                       352.2078
Model cost at call 62: 352.2078
Model cost at call 65 : 352.2058
Model cost at call 66: 352.2058
Model cost at call 68 : 352.2058
Model cost at call 72 : 352.205
Model cost at call 74: 352.205
Model cost at call 80 : 352.2048
Model cost at call 83: 352.2048
```

Chi2 error levels in percent:

```
Model cost at call 87 : 352.2048
Model cost at call 89 : 352.2048
Model cost at call 94 : 352.2048
Model cost at call 97 : 352.2048
Model cost at call 102 : 352.2048
Model cost at call 104 : 352.2048
Model cost at call 104 : 352.2048
Model cost at call 106 : 352.2048
```

R> summary(SFORB_SFO.fit, data=FALSE)

Equations:

- [1] d_parent_free = k_parent_free_sink * parent_free k_parent_free_bound * parent_free
- [2] d_parent_bound = + k_parent_free_bound * parent_free k_parent_bound_free * parent_
- [3] $d_m1 = -k_m1_sink * m1 + k_parent_free_m1 * parent_free$

Starting values for optimised parameters:

	initial	type	lower	upper
parent_free_0	100.0	state	0	Inf
k_parent_free_sink	0.1	deparm	0	Inf
k_parent_free_bound	0.1	deparm	0	Inf
k_parent_bound_free	0.1	deparm	0	Inf
k_m1_sink	0.1	deparm	0	Inf
k parent free m1	0.1	deparm	0	Inf

Fixed parameter values:

value type
parent_bound 0 state
m1 0 state

Optimised parameters:

Residual standard error: 3.219 on 34 degrees of freedom

Chi2 error levels in percent:

err.min n.optim df
All data 6.645 6 14
parent 7.207 5 4
m1 5.123 1 10

Estimated disappearance times:

DT50 DT90 parent 6.805 24.05

```
m1 132.971 441.72
```

Estimated formation fractions:

ff

parent_free_sink 0.494

parent_free_m1 0.506

m1_sink 1.000

References

- D. Bates and D. Watts. Nonlinear regression and its applications. Wiley-Interscience, 1988.
- FOCUS Work Group on Degradation Kinetics. Guidance Document on Estimating Persistence and Degradation Kinetics from Environmental Fate Studies on Pesticides in EU Registration. Report of the FOCUS Work Group on Degradation Kinetics, 2006. URL http://focus.jrc.ec.europa.eu/dk. EC Document Reference Sanco/10058/2005 version 2.0.
- R Development Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2010. URL http://www.R-project.org. ISBN 3-900051-07-0.
- Johannes Ranke. kinfit: Routines for fitting simple kinetic models to chemical degradation data, 2010a. URL http://CRAN.R-project.org.
- Johannes Ranke. mkin: Routines for fitting kinetic models with one or more state variables to chemical degradation data, 2010b. URL http://CRAN.R-project.org.
- Karline Soetaert and Thomas Petzoldt. Inverse modelling, sensitivity and monte carlo analysis in R using package FME. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 33(3):1–28, 2010. URL http://www.jstatsoft.org/v33/i03/.