

	To: Golding, Michael@CDCR ? 3/22/17 Chaping the My Co: Marine the My Subject: RE: ML EOP Psychiatry Rule Change Proposition Liter not Ring the Coff Control of the My Control of the
-	To: Golding, Michael@CDCR (5) 22/1/ Church The My
	co: Heat not Ru Heat
1	
	aumhers I do let triem know when we make a major
	No we don't tell them about every change. Since they use our numbers to the regarding 5 day follow ups. We can change that has a significant impact. For example, the change we are making regarding 5 day follow ups. We can change that has a significant impact. For example, the change we are making regarding 5 day follow ups. We can
	has always been that the Drogram Guide is not always written clearly at least not as some city as needed in
	computer rules. For example Does monthly mean once per month? Does it mean every 30 days?
	Do you want us to change it back?
	Ph.D., CCHP
	DHCS Mental Health Program
	(cell)
	(desk)
	Save Our Water
	Learn easy ways to save writer
	during California's drought at SaveOurWater.com
	CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication and contents may have sensitive, confidential and/or legally privileged information. Any unauthorized disclosure, distribution, or action in reliance on the contents of this communication is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all events of this communication. For IT issues contact our Solutions Center at 1-888-735-3470.
	From: Golding, Michael@CDCR
	Sent: Wednesday, March 22, 2017 3:01 PM
	To: Control of the Co
	Subject: RE: ML EOP Psychiatry Rule Change Proposition
	HI,
	Thanks. I hope you are doing well.
	My rules would be very different. I have no doubt the court experts would not agree with me!!
	But thank you for letting us look at this. I is reporting that even the 4th visit or so for an inmate is 45-days after
	the last visit, even if the patient does not move to another locations. If she is right about that, that does seem to very
	clearly violate the program guide. So check this out: If a psychiatrist sees somebody 7 weeks after their last
	appointment (three weeks after the program guide says for once monthly EOP visits), we will report to the court that the
	person is 6/7 or 86% compliant. Hmmm. That does not pass the sniff test. Three weeks late for mandatory monthly
	appointments and we are 86% compliant? That seems weird!!

I am more concerned that if we change a rule, and if that rule has a large impact on our numbers and what we report, we probably ought to let the court know. I am now wondering whether they have seen all of the updates that could have made a significant change in the way we report our numbers. Have we done a lot of this? It may be that this change has no real impact on the numbers. If so, then I get it. I just think that should be evaluated.