# QuaternaryProd

Carl Tony Fakhry, Ping Chen and Kourosh Zarringhalam 2017-09-19

A signed causal graph is a directed graph where the edges are signed and the signs indicate the direction of effect of the regulator on the target genes (the signs are either + or -). QuaternaryProd is a package for computing the Quaternary Dot Product Scoring Statistic (or simply the Quaternary Statistic) for signed causal graphs. The Quaternary Dot Product Scoring Statistic is a generalization of the Ternary Dot Product Scoring Statistic [1] which allows for ambiguities to arise in a signed causal graph. Ambiguities arise when a regulator can affect a target gene in two different ways or if the direction of causality is unknown. We will first provide some background, and then we will apply the statistic to STRINGdb [3] which is a publicly available biological network.

### Introduction

The Quaternary Dot Product Scoring Statistic [2] is a goodness of fit test for examining how well the predictions of a signed and directed causal graph predict on newly realized experimental data. Given a regulator s in a signed causal graph, let  $q_p$ ,  $q_m$  and  $q_r$  denote the number of target genes which are increased, decreased and regulated by the regulator respectively. Similarly, let  $q_z$  denote the set of target genes in the causal network which do not share a relation with s i.e which are not affected by s. Regulated relations occur when a regulator regulates a target gene without knowing the direction of causality or if an ambiguity in direction of causality occurs. An ambiguity can occur if a regulator, according to a given network, shares both increase and decrease relations with the same target gene. Next, Suppose we run some experiments on entities which are target genes in the network. Let  $n_p$ ,  $n_m$  and  $n_z$  denote the set of values which are increased, decreased and remain unchanged in the experimental values respectively. For the regulator s, we can tabulate the predictions from the network vs. the experimental values:

	Observed +	Observed –	Observed 0	Total
Predicted +	$n_{pp}$	$n_{pm}$	$n_{pz}$	$q_p$
Predicted -	$n_{mp}$	$n_{mm}$	$n_{mz}$	$q_m$
Predicted $r$	$n_{rp}$	$n_{rm}$	$n_{rz}$	$q_r$
Predicted 0	$n_{zp}$	$n_{zm}$	$n_{zz}$	$q_z$
Total	$n_p$	$n_m$	$n_z$	T

Table 1: Tabulation of predictions from network edges vs. observations from experimental results.

 $n_{pp}$  denotes the number of target genes which s is predicted to increase by the network and were indeed increased in experimental values;  $n_{pm}$  the number of target genes which s is predicted to increase and were decreased in experimental values;  $n_{pz}$  is the number of target genes which s is predicted to increase and were unchanged in experimental values. Similar interpretation follows for all other entries of the table. The probability of a table follows the Quaternary Dot Product distribution which is given by:

$$P(\text{Table}) = \frac{\binom{q_p}{n_{pp}, n_{pm}, n_{pz}} \binom{q_m}{n_{mp}, n_{mm}, n_{mz}} \binom{q_z}{n_{zp}, n_{zm}, n_{zz}} \binom{q_r}{n_{rp}, n_{rm}, n_{rz}}}{\binom{T}{n_p, n_m, n_z}}.$$
(1)

Note, since the predictions by the network and the experimental values are fixed, then the table has 6 degrees of freedom  $n_{pp}$ ,  $n_{mm}$ ,  $n_{rp}$ ,  $n_{rm}$ ,  $n_{mp}$  and  $n_{pm}$ . The score S to measure the goodness of fit is given by:

$$S(\text{Table}) = n_{pp} + n_{mm} + n_{rp} + n_{rm} - (n_{mp} + n_{pm})$$
(2)

which is the sum of the good predictions (i.e  $n_{pp}$ ,  $n_{mm}$ ,  $n_{rp}$  and  $n_{rm}$ ) minus the bad predictions (i.e  $n_{mp}$  and  $n_{pm}$ ). To compute the probability of a score, we sum the probabilities of all tables with score S as follows:

$$P(S) = \sum_{P(\text{Table})=S} P(\text{Table}). \tag{3}$$


# **Functionality**

**QuaternaryProd** provides different functions for computing the probability of a score, probability mass function, p-value of a score and the domain of the Quaternary Dot Product Scoring Statistic. The probability mass function can be computed if given the margins of the table.

```
library(QuaternaryProd)

# Compute the probability mass function
pmf <- QP_Pmf(q_p = 20, q_m = 20, q_z = 20, q_r = 0, n_p = 20, n_m = 20, n_z = 20)

# Plot the mass function
plot(names(pmf), pmf, col="blue", xlab = "scores", ylab = "probabilities")
lines(names(pmf), pmf, col = "blue")</pre>
```



The package contains optimized functions for computing the p-value of a score. To compute the p-value of score we can use the following:

```
## [1] 0.1948157
```

```
## [1] -1
```

If the user is only interested in obtaining statistically significant p-values, then QP\_SigPvalue is optimized for this purpose. In either case, the user is advised to compute the p-value of a score using the previous two functions which will be faster than computing the entire probability mass function and then computing the p-value. Finally, it is possible to also compute the probabilities of scores individually using QP\_Probability and the support of the distribution using QP\_Support. Since this package is written to the benefit of bioinformaticians, we will provide an example on how to apply this statistic to a publicly available network. One bioinformatic application is to test how well protein-protein causal networks can predict the results in gene expression data. In the last section of this Vignette, we present an example of computing this statistic over the STRINGdb network and given gene expression data.

## Functionality for working with the Homo sapien causal network from STRINGdb

Here we provide functionality for using **QuaternaryProd** with the STRINGdb Homo Sapien causal network version 10 provided under the creative commons license .

#### Compute Pvalues Over the Network

Given new gene expression data, we can compute the scores and p-values for all regulators in the STRINGdb Homo Sapien causal network using the specialized RunCRE\_HSAStringDB function. We use the gene expression data sets that were used in [1]. The data sets contain the c-Myc and E2F3 expression signatures. Note that the results may differ from those reported in [2] since the network was parsed differently.

#### Load Gene Expression Data

First, we load all the data sets. The gene expression data sets must have the following columns: 1- entrez column corresponding to the entrez id of the gene, 2- pvalue column corresponding to the pvalue of the gene, 3- fc column corresponding to the fold change of the gene. After we load the data sets, we make sure that there are no duplicated entrez ids in the data sets.

```
e2f3 <- e2f3[!duplicated(e2f3$entrez),]
names(myc) <- c("entrez", "pvalue", "fc")
myc <- myc[!duplicated(myc$entrez),]</pre>
```

#### Compute the Quaternary Dot Product Scoring Statistic over STRINGdb

We can now compute the Quaternary Dot Product Scoring Statistic over STRINGdb using the following:

```
# Get FDR corrected p-values
quaternary_results["qvalue"] <- p.adjust(quaternary_results$pvalue, method = "fdr")
quaternary_results[1:5, c("uid","symbol","regulation","pvalue","qvalue")]</pre>
```

```
##
                             symbol regulation
                                                      pvalue
                                                                   qvalue
## 1 9606.ENSP00000345571
                                             up 7.810196e-09 5.123488e-05
                               E2F1
## 2 9606.ENSP00000244741
                             CDKN1A
                                           down 2.454842e-07 8.051881e-04
## 3 9606.ENSP00000362592
                                           down 3.084143e-05 6.743993e-02
                              RBBP4
## 4 9606.ENSP00000379140 No-Symbol
                                             up 5.875947e-05 8.671010e-02
## 5 9606.ENSP00000274255
                               SKP2
                                           down 7.750726e-05 8.671010e-02
```

RunCRE\_HSAStringDB returns a data frame containing all the regulators of the String causal network, all of which had their respective scores and p-values computed. The regulators are ordered in increasing order of the p-values (Note: details on the columns of the data frame returned can be found in the help page for RunCRE\_HSAStringDB). As we see, we have managed to recover the signal in the data set as E2F1 is selected as the most significant regulator. The Quaternary Dot Product Scoring Statistic has expensive computational time complexity. RunCRE\_HSAStringDB has an optional argument only.significant.pvalues which can be set to TRUE so that only the p-values of the statistically significant regulators are computed. This is done using the following:

```
## 137 rows from gene_expression_data removed due
## to entrez ids being unrepsented in StringDB entities!
```

```
# Get FDR corrected p-values
quaternary_results2[1:5, c("uid","symbol","regulation","pvalue")]
```

```
## 1 9606.ENSP00000345571 E2F1 up 7.810196e-09
## 2 9606.ENSP00000244741 CDKN1A down 2.454842e-07
## 3 9606.ENSP00000362592 RBBP4 down 3.084143e-05
## 4 9606.ENSP00000379140 No-Symbol up 5.875947e-05
```

```
## 5 9606.ENSP00000274255 SKP2 down 7.750726e-05
```

Only significant p-values (i.e p-values less than or equal to 0.05) are computed, otherwise the p-value is set to a value of -1. This approach also retrieves the regulator E2F1.

#### Compute the Ternary Dot Product Scoring Statistic and Enrichment test over STRINGdb

To compute the Ternary Dot Product Statistic over STRINGdb we can use the following:

## 108 rows from gene\_expression\_data removed due
## to entrez ids being unrepsented in StringDB entities!

```
# Get FDR corrected p-values
ternary_results[1:5, c("uid", "symbol", "regulation", "pvalue")]
```

```
##
                              symbol regulation
                                                      pvalue
                      uid
## 1 9606.ENSP00000367207
                                 MYC
                                             up 3.511516e-06
## 2 9606.ENSP00000351490
                                 MAX
                                             up 3.097577e-05
## 3 9606.ENSP00000313199 No-Symbol
                                             up 4.226215e-05
## 4 9606.ENSP00000258962
                               SRSF1
                                             up 2.867982e-04
## 5 9606.ENSP00000365851
                                BMI1
                                             up 4.240163e-04
```

We see that this method retrieves MYC as a significant regulator. To compute the Enrichment test over STRINGdb we can use the following:

## 108 rows from gene\_expression\_data removed due
## to entrez ids being unrepsented in StringDB entities!

```
# Get FDR corrected p-values
enrichment_results[1:10, c("uid", "symbol", "regulation", "pvalue")]
```

```
##
                       uid symbol regulation
                                                    pvalue
## 1
      9606.ENSP00000351490
                              MAX
                                           up 2.916784e-06
## 2
      9606.ENSP00000351490
                                         down 2.916784e-06
                              MAX
## 3
      9606.ENSP00000355249
                             E2F2
                                           up 2.216447e-05
## 4
     9606.ENSP00000355249
                             E2F2
                                         down 2.216447e-05
     9606.ENSP00000256996
                             DDB2
                                           up 8.026163e-05
## 6
     9606.ENSP00000256996
                             DDB2
                                         down 8.026163e-05
## 7
      9606.ENSP00000425561 EIF4E
                                           up 2.868088e-04
## 8 9606.ENSP00000425561
                            EIF4E
                                         down 2.868088e-04
## 9 9606.ENSP00000367207
                              MYC
                                           up 3.108668e-04
## 10 9606.ENSP00000367207
                              MYC
                                         down 3.108668e-04
```

We see that the Enrichment method also retrieves MYC as a significant regulator although not as significant as in the case of the Ternary Dot Product Scoring Statistic.

## References

[1] Chindelevitch et al. (2012). Assessing statistical significance in causal graphs. BMC Bioinformatics, Volume 3, Issue 1, 2012, Page 35.

- [2] Carl Tony Fakhry, Parul Choudhary, Alex Gutteridge, Ben Sidders, Ping Chen, Daniel Ziemek, and Kourosh Zarringhalam. Interpreting transcriptional changes using causal graphs: new methods and their practical utility on public networks. BMC Bioinformatics, 17:318, 2016. ISSN 1471-2105. doi: 10.1186/s12859-016-1181-8.
- [3] Franceschini, A (2013). STRING v9.1: protein-protein interaction networks, with increased coverage and integration. In: Nucleic Acids Res. 2013 Jan; 41 (Database issue): D808-15. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks1094. Epub 2012 Nov 29'.