

## **Gathering Data:**

We had three data sets to gather data from

### 1) twitter archive enhanced.csv

which was in a csv format , I downloaded it and read it using pandas read function (read\_csv) and saved it as  $archive\_df$  .

# 2) Image-predictions.tsv

(The tweet image predictions, i.e., what breed of dog (or other object, animal, etc.) is present in each tweet according to a neural network)

which was in a tsv format, It is hosted on Udacity's servers. I downloaded it programmatically using the Requests ibrary and the following URL:

 $https://d17h27t6h515a5.cloudfront.net/topher/2017/August/599fd2ad\_image-predictions/image-predictions.tsv$ 

Then read it using pandas read function (read\_csv) and saved it as Image\_predictions\_df.

## 3) Tweet\_json

(It contains Each tweet's retweet count and favorite ("like") count at minimum)

Which was in zip format, I extracted the json file from it and read it using pandas.

Then I read this json file line by line into a pandas DataFrame with (at minimum) tweet ID, retweet count, and favorite count and saved the results as api\_df

## Accessing and Cleaning data

These are the quality and tidness problems found in the data sets and how I managed to clean them .

#### Quality issues

- **consistency issue**: unnessary data (retweet\_status\_id, retweet\_status\_user\_id, in\_reply\_to\_status\_id, in\_reply\_to\_user\_id, retweeted\_status\_timestamp)
- Validity issue: Some tweets are actually retweets and replies not original tweets
  - ✓ I deleted tweets which is originally a retweet or a reply
  - ✓ Then I drop (retweet\_status\_id , retweeted\_status\_user\_id ,in\_reply\_to\_status\_id , in\_reply\_to\_user\_id , retweeted\_status\_timestam )
- consistency issue: timestamp is a string, not a date time object
  - ✓ I changed of the type of timestamp column to datetime
- completeness issue : Alot of the dogs are not classified
- completeness issue : missing urls
  - ✓ (couldn't fix)
- **completeness issue :** discrepancy in the number of tweets between the archive\_df dataset and the image\_prediction\_df.
  - ✓ I deleted tweets which has no images
  - ✓ Then matched the number of tweets in both tables and checked that they had the same tweet
    ids
- Accuracy issue: names are not extracted correctly from Name Column
  - ✓ I dropped "None" and "a" values
  - Couldn't fix rest of the names as it needs to be done manually and would take a very long time
- Validity issue: null values are written as string (None)
  - ✓ I replaced "None" values with np.nan
- Accuracy issue: Some rating numerators don't follow The unique rating system is of WeRateDogs.
  - (couldn't fix)

#### tidiness issues

- (doggo, floofer, pupper, puppo) these Column headers are values, not variable names, columns should be united under a classification column
  - ✓ I combined these columns under 1 column called dog breeds
- Multiple types of observational units are stored in the same table (Image\_predictions\_df) ,jpg\_url and img\_num should be separated
  - ✓ I separated jpg url and img num to another table (images df)