Module	SEPR
Year	2019/20
Assessment	2
Team	Dalai Java
Members	Jack Kershaw, Max Lloyd, James Hau, Yuqing Gong, William Marr, Peter Clark.
Deliverable	Risk Assessment

## Risk Assessment

While developing our product to meet the requirements of our customer, there will be various risks that we will need to consider to achieve the desired specifications. We have created a comprehensive table documenting the possible complications that could occur during the development process and deployment of the final product and our proposed solutions to mitigate the impact of such problems. We initially compiled these risks through a brainstorming session, before building on our initial ideas using relevant websites and papers [2, 3].

We have categorised the potential risks into three types: Project, Product and Business risks. Project risks are risks which could impact the deadlines we have planned, or the resources we have decided to use. Product risks are risks which will affect the final product, either by resulting in requirements not being met or the gameplay not being as smooth as it could be. This can encompass all of the potential problems that may arise in the final version of the product, from problems during development to larger, more abstract problems about aspects of the game. Business risks are risks which affect the stakeholders who we are building the game for, resulting in potentially drastic changes in requirements. Through using these categories, we believe our table is comprehensive as we have covered potential risks related to each aspect of our project in detail.

We have also categorised the risks into three levels depending on their impact on the product and the customer - High, Moderate and Low.

High impact – A problem that would actively impact the customer experience on a large scale and seriously hamper the development or deployment of the product. Risks of this kind would render the final product unacceptable to the stakeholders.

Moderate impact – A problem that would result in disruptions to core gameplay to the extent that it would not meet the specifications given by the stakeholders. It would be an inconvenience to the customer but would result in a game which is still playable.

Low impact – A minor problem that would only result in minimal inconvenience to the customer. It may have a cosmetic effect to the game but all core features specified by the stakeholders would still be present and functional.

Furthermore, we decided to categorise the potential frequencies of the risks occurring in three ways; high, moderate and low frequency. By categorising each risk both by impact and by frequency, we are able to understand which risks we need to be the most aware of during development, and hence which risks need to be monitored the closest in case mitigation is required. As our project is reasonably small and non-critical, we believe that using three impact and frequency categories will be sufficient as a number of risks will fall into each category, making them easier to monitor as a whole.

We have agreed on a risk reviewing plan which involves re-assessing the likelihood and severity of risks at two-week intervals. We have assigned an 'owner' to each of the risks we have identified; this person will perform the re-assessment for their given risks and report the status to the rest of the team. We decided on the owners of each risk by conducting a group meeting in which team members were assigned specific risks, and we ensured that ownership of risks was spread out evenly between team members.

## **Product Risks**

ID	Description	Impact	Frequency	Mitigation	Owner
R1.1	Variables having non-	Moderate	Low	Write appropriate	Peter
	intuitive names and			docstrings and always	
	hence being assigned			label variables	
	incorrect properties			appropriately.	
R1.2	Using discontinued	Moderate	Low	Avoid using discontinued	Jack
	libraries with no			libraries if possible,	
	appropriate			otherwise minimise use	
	documentation			of them during	
				development.	
R1.3	Variables of different	Moderate	Low	Global variable names	Max
	scope having the			should be recorded on a	
	same name and being			shared wiki to ensure all	
	assigned incorrect			team members are	
	data			aware of them and thus	
				do not repeat them.	
R1.4	A file which has data	High	Low	Ensure file locations are	Peter
	which needs to be			updated when a file is	
	read could be moved			moved, and use	
	and cause the			appropriate error-	
	program to crash			catching functions to	
				minimise impact.	
R1.5	The program or major	High	Moderate	Use version control	Yuqing
	sections of code being			systems such as Git to	
	deleted or becoming			ensure that frequent	
	corrupt			backups are made.	

R1.6	Java may not support	High	Low	Test early versions of the	James
	a GUI on mobile or			code on the hardware	
	computer			specified by the	
				customer to ensure the	
				game is playable.	

## <u>Project Risks</u>

ID	Description	Impact	Frequency	Mitigation	Owner
R2.1	The requirements of our product may	High	Moderate	Ensure we receive constant and frequent	William
	change significantly			feedback from customers when developing	
R2.2	Team members may leave the course or fall ill before the project is completed	Moderate	Low	Ensure all code is properly documented with meaningful identifiers, and ensure core functions are assigned to more than one person to prevent a single point of failure	Jack
R2.3	Team members may not be able to code the required functions	Moderate	Moderate	Ensure all team members have sufficient Java skills and practise before commencing	Jack
R2.4	Certain requirements may be overlooked	Moderate	Moderate	Keep all requirements in an area visible to all team members to ensure full understanding of all requirements, and that these are central to the development of the game	William

R2.5	Set deadlines may not	High	Moderate	Ensure we give ourselves	Max
	be met			more time than necessary	
				to complete each task to	
				give ourselves time to	
				resolve any issues	
R2.6	There may be conflicts	Moderate	Moderate	Hold regular team	Peter
	within the team			meetings in which each	
				team member is able to	
				give their input and	
				clearly resolve any issues	
R2.7	The customer may not	Low	Moderate	Ensure regular	Yuqing
	be enthusiastic about			communication with the	
	our final product			customer and present	
				prototypes to them for	
				feedback	

## **Business Risks**

ID	Description	Impact	Frequency	Mitigation	Owner
R3.1	<mark>Ideal game</mark>	Moderate	Moderate	Monitor the evolving	Peter
	specifications were			needs of customers,	
	not researched			adapting to the constant	
	properly, leading to			change in opinion.	
	poor reception of				
	game.				
R3.2	May need to upscale	High	Moderate	Observe how the player	Yuqing
	services based on			base will grow and be	
	number of players,			expected to grow over	
	leading to server			time and put adequate	
	downtime.			systems in place to scale	
				services.	

R3.3	A similar product may	Moderate	Moderate	Monitor any potential	James
	appear on the			competition and maintain	
	market, leading to us			communication with	
	programming a game			stakeholders, anticipating	
	no-one wants			major changes in	
				requirements.	
R3.4	Servers may be	High	High	Hayo proper cocurity	\A/:II
113.1		High	riigii	Have proper security	Will
113.1	hacked leading to loss	півіі	Tilgii	measures in place with	VVIII
113.1		підіі	Illgii		WIII
113.1	hacked leading to loss	nigii	Tilgii	measures in place with	WIII
No. 1	hacked leading to loss	nigii	Tilgii	measures in place with corresponding monitoring	WIII
10.1	hacked leading to loss	nigii	Tilgii	measures in place with corresponding monitoring systems so we are aware	WIII