1 River Flow with Discrete Calculus Integration

1.0.1 (a) Analytic Area Computation

See handwritten homework. Analytical cross-sectional river area was computed as:

$$A_{\rm analytical} = 21.6\bar{6}\,{\rm m}^2$$

1.0.2 (b) Numerical Area Computation

Using given table:

i	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	n = 7
$y_i\left[\mathrm{m} ight]$	0	1	3	5	7	8	9	10
$H_i\left[\mathrm{m} ight]$	0	1	1.2	3	3.5	3.2	2	0
$U_i\left[rac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{s}} ight]$	0	0.1	0.15	0.2	0.25	0.3	0.15	0

```
[1]: ## Imports
import numpy as np

## Allocate Discrete Data
y = np.array([0,1,3,5,7,8,9,10])
H = np.array([0,1,1.2,3,3.5,3.2,2,0])
U = np.array([0,0.1,0.15,0.2,0.25,0.3,0.15,0])
```

Use trapezoidal method:

$$A = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(y_{i+1} - y_i)}{2} \cdot (H_{i+1} + H_i)$$

```
[2]: def trapezoidal_filter(y_current: float, y_next:float) -> np.ndarray:
    """Returns a 1D trapezoidal integration filter"""
    assert( y_next > y_current )
    return np.array([0.5,0.5])*(y_next - y_current)

def trapezoidal_integration(x: np.ndarray, F: np.ndarray) -> float:
    """Integrated a function F over a given discrete domain x using trapezoidal_
    integration"""
    stride = 1
    area_trapezoidal = 0

## Perform convolution:
    for ii in range(0, len(x)-1, stride):
```

Area computed using trapezoidal rule in m^2: 20.35

Use simpson method:

Using the classical Simpson rule is only possible for even data spacing. Since the data provided in unevenly spaced, we must use the alternative Composite Simpson's rule.

```
[3]: def simpson_integration(x: np.ndarray, F: np.ndarray) -> float:
          """Integrated a function F over a given discrete domain x using composite\sqcup
      \hookrightarrow Simpson integration.
         Using modified code from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Simpson%27s_rule"""
         stride = 2
         area_trapezoidal = 0
         h = [x[i + 1] - x[i] \text{ for } i \text{ in } range(0, len(x)-1)]
         assert len(x)-1 > 0
         for i in range(1, len(x)-1, stride):
             h0, h1 = h[i - 1], h[i]
             hph, hdh, hmh = h1 + h0, h1 / h0, h1 * h0
              area_trapezoidal += (hph / 6) * (
                  (2 - hdh) * F[i - 1] + (hph**2 / hmh) * F[i] + (2 - 1 / hdh) * F[i]
      + 1]
              )
         if (len(x)-1) \% 2 == 1:
             h0, h1 = h[len(x)-3], h[len(x)-2]
              area_trapezoidal += F[len(x)-1] * (2 * h1 ** 2 + 3 * h0 * h1) / (6 *_U
      \hookrightarrow (h0 + h1))
              area_trapezoidal += F[len(x)-2] * (h1 ** 2 + 3 * h1 * h0) / (6 * h0)
                                                                                 / (6 * h0_{\bot})
              area_trapezoidal -= F[len(x)-3] * h1 ** 3
      \rightarrow* (h0 + h1))
         return area_trapezoidal
     print("Area computed using simpsons rule in m^2: ", simpson_integration(y, H))
```

Area computed using simpsons rule in m^2: 21.45

We can compare our results as follows and see that Simpson's rule is more accurate than Trapezoidal:

$$A_{\rm analytical} = 21.6\bar{6}\,{\rm m}^2$$

$$\begin{split} A_{\rm trapezoidal} &= 20.35\,\mathrm{m}^2 \\ A_{\rm simpson} &= 21.45\,\mathrm{m}^2 \end{split}$$

We do not perform Gauss' method due to missing exact quadrature points.

1.0.3 (c) Numerical Flow Rate Computation

Use previous methods again with modified argument:

$$Q = \int_0^{10} H(y) \cdot U(y) dy \approx \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(y_{i+1} - y_i)}{2} \cdot \left(\left(H_{i+1} \cdot U_{i+1} \right) + \left(H_i \cdot U_i \right) \right)$$

[4]: print("Flow rate computed using trapezoidal rule: ", trapezoidal_integration(y, □ onp.multiply(H,U)))
print("Flow rate computed using simpsons rule: ", simpson_integration(y, np. omultiply(H,U)))

Flow rate computed using trapezoidal rule: 4.282500000000001 Flow rate computed using simpsons rule: 4.455

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\text{trapezoidal}} &= 4.2825 \\ Q_{\text{simpson}} &= 4.455 \end{aligned}$$