

# DAT 1

20/2/16

# Agenda

- Review: Naïve Bayes
- Clustering
- SVM


# Review

Suppose we have a dataset with features  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  and a class label  $c$ . What can we say about classification using Bayes' theorem?


$$P(\text{class } C \mid \{x_i\}) = \frac{P(\{x_i\} \mid \text{class } C) \cdot P(\text{class } C)}{P(\{x_i\})}$$

Bayes' theorem can help us to determine the probability of a record belonging to a class, *given* the data we observe.


This term is the prior probability of  $c$ . It represents the probability of a record belonging to class  $c$  before the data is taken into account.

$$P(\text{class } C | \{x_i\}) = \frac{P(\{x_i\} | \text{class } C) \cdot P(\text{class } C)}{P(\{x_i\})}$$


This term is the likelihood function. It represents the joint probability of observing features  $\{x_i\}$  given that that record belongs to class  $c$ .

$$P(\text{class } C | \{x_i\}) = \frac{P(\{x_i\} | \text{class } C) \cdot P(\text{class } C)}{P(\{x_i\})}$$


This term is the normalization constant. It doesn't depend on  $c$ , and is generally ignored.

$$P(\text{class } C \mid \{x_i\}) = \frac{P(\{x_i\} \mid \text{class } C) \cdot P(\text{class } C)}{P(\{x_i\})}$$


This term is the posterior probability of  $c$ . It represents the probability of a record belonging to class  $c$  after the data is taken into account.

$$P(\text{class } C | \{x_i\}) = \frac{P(\{x_i\} | \text{class } C) \cdot P(\text{class } C)}{P(\{x_i\})}$$

The idea of Bayesian inference, then, is to update our beliefs about the distribution of  $c$  using the data (“evidence”) at our disposal.

Q: What piece of the puzzle we've seen so far looks like it could intractably difficult in practice?

A: Estimating the full likelihood function.

$$P(\{x_i\}|C) = P(\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}|C)$$

Observing this exactly would require us to have enough data for every possible combination of features to make a reasonable estimate.



Q: So what can we do about it?

A: Make a simplifying assumption. In particular, we assume that the features  $x_i$  are conditionally independent from each other:

$$P(\{x_i\}|C) = P(\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}|C) \approx P(x_1|C) * P(x_2|C) * \dots * P(x_n|C)$$

This “naïve” assumption simplifies the likelihood function to make it tractable.