

# AIS - COHOMOLOGY OF COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRAS

## LECTURE MK1

### LECTURE 1: KOSZUL COMPLEXES, GORENSTEIN RINGS AND POINCARÉ ALGEBRAS

**Convention 1.**  $R$  is always assumed to be a noetherian commutative ring with unity, unless mentioned otherwise.

Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module and  $f \in M^* := \text{Hom}_R(M, R)$ . We want to define the Koszul complex  $K_\bullet(M, f)$  in a coordinate free way. Recall the wedge product

$$\bigwedge M = \frac{\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} M^{\otimes n}}{\text{"A certain graded ideal"}} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \bigwedge^i M$$

$\bigwedge M$  is a skew commutative associative graded algebra.

**Definition 2.** We can view  $\bigwedge M$  as a complex with the boundary maps given by

$$d : \bigwedge^i M \rightarrow \bigwedge^{i-1} M$$

$$x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_i \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^i (-1)^j f(x_j)(x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{x}_j \wedge \dots \wedge x_i)$$

It is easily checked that  $d^2 = 0$  (this is the same computation as in the case of composition of boundary maps of the singular chain complex in topology). We call this complex the *Koszul complex* (with respect to  $M$  and  $f \in M^*$ ) and denote it by  $K_\bullet(M, f)$ .

**Observation 3.** For homogeneous  $x, y \in K_\bullet(M, f)$ ,  $d(x \wedge y) = dx \wedge y + (-1)^{\deg(x)} x \wedge dy$ . If  $x \in K_1(M, f) \cong M$ , then  $dx = -f(x)$ .

**Example 4.** The form in which one has probably seen the Koszul complex is something like

$$0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -b \\ a \end{pmatrix}} R \oplus R \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \end{pmatrix}} R$$

This is in fact a special case of the above definition. Put  $M = R \oplus R$ , with  $(e_1 := (1, 0), e_2 := (0, 1))$  as a basis and let  $f : M \rightarrow R$  be given by  $e_1 \mapsto a$  and  $e_2 \mapsto b$ . A similar construction can be carried out for  $R^{\oplus n}$  and this reduces to the (probably) more familiar version. For  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in R$ , we will write  $K_\bullet(x_1, \dots, x_n, R)$  for the Koszul complex  $K_\bullet(R^{\oplus n}, f)$ , where  $f : R^{\oplus n} \rightarrow R$ ,  $e_i \mapsto x_i$ .

**Convention 5.** From now on, we will assume that  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  is noetherian local, with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ , residue field  $k$  and with minimal generating set  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  for  $\mathfrak{m}$ . We will write either of  $K_\bullet^R$ ,  $K_\bullet$  or  $K_\bullet(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  to mean the same as  $K_\bullet(x_1, \dots, x_n, R)$ .

*Remark 6.* Recall that by NAK, any generating set of  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$  as a  $k$ -vector space can be lifted to a generating set of  $\mathfrak{m}$ . So, any two minimal generating sets of the maximal ideal of a noetherian local ring have the same finite number of elements.

*Remark 7.* If  $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  is another minimal generating set for  $\mathfrak{m}$ , then using NAK, it can be shown that there exists an isomorphism  $\phi : R^n \rightarrow R^n$  that makes the following diagram commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R^n & \xrightarrow{e_i \mapsto x_i} & R \\ \phi \downarrow & & \parallel \\ R^n & \xrightarrow{e_i \mapsto y_i} & R \end{array}$$

By functoriality,  $K_\bullet(x_1, \dots, x_n, R) \cong K_\bullet(y_1, \dots, y_n, R)$ . This justifies the notation adopted in Convention 5.

**Definition 8.** Define  $Z_i := \ker(K_i \rightarrow K_{i-1})$  (called the  $i$ -cycles of  $K_\bullet$ ),  $B_i := \text{Im}(K_{i+1} \rightarrow K_i)$  (called the  $i$ -boundaries of  $K_\bullet$ ),  $Z := \bigoplus Z_i$  and  $B := \bigoplus B_i$ . Observe that  $Z$  is canonically a subalgebra of  $K_\bullet$  and that  $B$  is an ideal of  $Z$ . Define the *Koszul homology* of  $R$  as  $H(R) := Z/B$ .  $H(R)$  is canonically an  $R$ -algebra.

**Example 9** (Characterisation of regular local rings). A noetherian local ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  is regular if and only if  $H(R) = k$  (in degree 0).

**Example 10.** Let  $(S, \mathfrak{n}, k)$  be a regular local ring and  $R = S/I$ . Then,

$$H_\bullet(R) = \text{Tor}_\bullet^S(R, k)$$

The results holds in general but to simplify the argument, we will assume that  $I \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^2$ . This is to ensure  $\text{embdim}(R) = \text{embdim}(S)$  as

$$\text{embdim}(R) = \dim_k(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2) = \dim_k(\mathfrak{n} + I/\mathfrak{n}^2 + I) = \dim_k(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2) = \text{embdim}(S)$$

Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be a minimal generating set of  $\mathfrak{n}$ . Then,  $\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n$  is a minimal generating set of  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Let  $K_\bullet^R = K_\bullet(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n)$  and  $K_\bullet^S = K_\bullet(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Then,  $K_\bullet^R = K_\bullet^S \otimes_S R$ . Hence,

$$H_i(R) = H_i(K_\bullet^R) = H_i(K_\bullet^S \otimes_S R) = \text{Tor}_i^S(R, k)$$

If  $F_\bullet \rightarrow R$  is a minimal free resolution of  $R$  as an  $S$ -module, then  $\dim_k(H_i(R)) = \text{rk}_S(F_i)$ .

*Remark 11* (Depth Sensitivity of the Koszul Complex).

Let  $s := \text{embdim}(R) - \text{depth}(R)$ . Then,  $H_i(R) = 0$  for all  $i > s$  and  $H_s(R) \neq 0$ .

**Proposition 12.** Let  $y \in \mathfrak{m}$  be a non-zerodivisor in  $R$ . Then,

(1) For all  $i$ , there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_i(R) \rightarrow H_i(R/(y)) \rightarrow H_{i-1}(R) \rightarrow 0$$

(2) Let  $s = \text{embdim}(R) - \text{depth}(R)$ . Then,  $H_{i+1}(R/(y)) \cong H_i(R)$  for all  $i \geq s$ .

*Proof.* See [Mat89, Theorem 16.4] for (1). (2) follows from (1).  $\square$

**Corollary 13.** Let  $t = \text{depth}(R)$ ,  $y_1, \dots, y_t$  be a maximal regular sequence,  $J = (y_1, \dots, y_t)$ ,  $n = \text{embdim}(R)$  and  $s = n - t$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} H_s(R) &= H_n(R/J) = \ker((R/J) \xrightarrow{(\pm x_1 \pm x_2 \dots \pm x_n)^T} (R/J)^n) \\ &= 0 :_{R/J} \mathfrak{m} \\ &= \text{Hom}_R(k, R/J) \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 14.**  $R$  is said to be *Gorenstein* if it is Cohen-Macaulay and  $H_s(R) \cong k$ , where  $s := \text{embdim}(R) - \text{depth}(R)$ . (Recall Convention 5)

The following are well-known equivalent reformulations, possibly under further assumptions.

**Proposition 15.**

- (1) Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be an artinian local ring. Then, the following are equivalent
  - (a)  $R$  is Gorenstein
  - (b)  $(0 :_R \mathfrak{m}) \cong k$
  - (c)  $R$  is an injective  $R$ -module
- (2)  $R$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $R/(y)$  is Gorenstein for all non-zero-divisors  $y \in \mathfrak{m}$ .
- (3)  $R$  is Gorenstein if and only if the injective dimension of  $R$  as a module over itself is finite.

**Definition 16.** A finite dimensional associative (and not necessarily commutative) graded  $k$ -algebra  $A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^g A_i$ , with (assuming for safety)  $A_0 = k$ , is called a *Poincaré algebra* if

$$\begin{aligned} A_i &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(A_{g-i}, A_g) \\ a &\mapsto [b \mapsto ab] \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism of  $A_0$ -modules for all  $i$ .

**Example 17.** Let  $R = R_0 \oplus R_1 \oplus \dots \oplus R_g$  (with  $g > 0$  and  $R_g \neq 0$ ) be a standard graded artinian  $k$ -algebra with  $R_0 = k$ . So,  $R$  is local with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} := \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq g} R_i$ . Assume that  $R$  is Gorenstein. Since  $R_g \mathfrak{m} = 0$ ,  $R_g \subseteq \text{soc}(R)$ . Since  $R$  is Gorenstein, local and artinian, dimension of  $\dim_k(\text{soc}(R)) = 1$  and since  $R_g \mathfrak{m} = 0$ ,  $\text{soc}(R) = R_g$ . Let  $a \in R_i$  be non-zero. Then, since  $R$  is artinian (in which case the socle is an essential submodule),  $(a) \cap \text{soc}(R) \neq (0)$ . Since  $R$  is Gorenstein,  $\text{soc}(R) \subseteq (a)$ . Hence, there exists  $b \in R_{g-i}$  such that  $ab$  generates  $\text{soc}(R)$ . This means that the map  $R_i \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(R_{g-i}, R_g)$  induced by multiplication is injective for all  $i$ . If it is further assumed that  $R$  is a finite dimensional over  $k$ , then by a dimension argument, we conclude that  $R_i \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(R_{g-i}, R_g)$  is an isomorphism for all  $i$  and that  $R$  is a Poincaré algebra.

**Example 18.** Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional  $k$ -vector space with an ordered basis  $(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ . We will show that  $\bigwedge V$  is a Poincaré algebra. Clearly, the multiplication map  $k \cong \bigwedge^0 V \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(\bigwedge^n V, \bigwedge^n V) \cong \text{Hom}_k(k, k)$  is an isomorphism. Fix  $i \geq 1$  and let  $\mu := \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_i \leq n} \alpha_{j_1, \dots, j_i} (v_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{j_i}) \in \bigwedge^i V$  be non-zero with  $\alpha_{k_1, \dots, k_i} \neq 0$  for some  $1 \leq k_1 < \dots < k_i \leq n$ . Then,  $\mu \wedge (v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{v}_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{v}_{j_n} \wedge \dots \wedge v_n) \neq 0$ . Thus, the map  $\bigwedge^i V \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(\bigwedge^{n-i} V, \bigwedge^n V)$  is injective. Comparing dimensions as  $k$ -vector spaces, it is further an isomorphism.

## REFERENCES

[Mat89] Matsumura, Hideyuki. *Commutative ring theory*. No. 8. Cambridge university press, 1989.