

AIS - COHOMOLOGY OF COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRAS

Convention 0.1. Throughout, “ring” means a commutative ring with unity. If R is a ring, then the category of R -modules is denoted by $R\text{-Mod}$.

1. KÄHLER DIFFERENTIALS

Definition 1.1. Let k be a ring and R a k -algebra. Let $M \in R\text{-Mod}$. A k -derivation of R in M is a k -linear map $d : R \rightarrow M$ such that $d(ab) = ad(b) + bd(a)$ for all $a, b \in R$.

Notation 1.2. For an R -module M . $\text{Der}_k(R, M)$ is the collection of k -derivations of R in M . If $M = R$, we will write $\text{Der}_k(R)$ for $\text{Der}_k(R, R)$.

Example 1.3. (1) Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and x_1, \dots, x_n be coordinates. Let $R = C^\infty(U)$ be the ring of smooth functions. Have derivations $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \in \text{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}(U)$.
 (2) Let R be the ring of smooth functions again. For $x \in U$, define \mathfrak{m}_x to be the maximal ideal at x . Then, the maps $d_i : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R} = R/\mathfrak{m}_x$, $f \mapsto \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x)$ are \mathbb{R} -derivations of R in \mathbb{R} .
 (3) Formal derivatives in polynomial rings.
 (4) Let $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n R dx_i$, where dx_i are symbols. Define $d : R \rightarrow M$, $f \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i$. This is a derivation of R in M .
 (5) Let R be a k -algebra. Consider the map $\mu : R \otimes_k R \rightarrow R$, $a \otimes b \mapsto ab$. Let $I = \ker(\mu)$. Exercise: Show that this kernel is generated by $\langle a \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes a \mid a \in R \rangle$. Note that $R \otimes_k R$ has two R -module structures (multiplication on the left and on the right). Check that these two structures coincide on I/I^2 (use the fact that $(r \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes r)(a \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes a) \in I^2$). The map $\delta : R \rightarrow I/I^2$, $a \mapsto (a \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes a)$ is a k -derivation.

Definition 1.4. For a k -algebra R , let F be the free R -module generated by the set $\{dr \mid r \in R\}$. Let N be the submodule generated by $\langle d(rr') - r' dr - r dr', d(ar + a'r') - adr - a' dr' \mid r, r' \in R \rangle \subseteq F$. Let $\Omega_{R/k} := F/N$. By definition, the map $\phi : R \rightarrow \Omega_{R/k}$, $r \mapsto dr$ is a derivation. In fact, for any $M \in R\text{-Mod}$, there is a natural isomorphism $\text{Der}_k(R, M) \cong \text{Hom}_{R\text{-Mod}}(\Omega_{R/k}, M)$.

Remark 1.5. The functor $\text{Der}_k(R, _) : R\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ is represented by $\Omega_{R/k}$.

Exercise 1.6. The object (in our case $\Omega_{R/k}$) representing a functor is unique up to unique isomorphism.

Example 1.7. Let $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Then, $\Omega_{R/k}$ is generated by dx_1, \dots, dx_n . We claim that dx_1, \dots, dx_n are also linearly independent. That is, $\Omega_{R/k} = \bigoplus R dx_i$. Consider the free R -module $R^{\oplus n}$ with basis e_1, \dots, e_n . To see this, use the isomorphism $\text{Der}_k(R, M) \cong \text{Hom}_{R\text{-Mod}}(\Omega_{R/k}, M)$ for when $M = R^{\oplus n}$ and the differential $\delta : R^{\oplus n} \rightarrow R$, $f \mapsto \sum \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} e_i$.

Notation 1.8. $R \ltimes M$ is the R -module $R \oplus M$ with multiplication defined as $(r, m) \cdot (r', m') = (rr', rm' + r'm)$.

Proposition 1.9. Let $I = \ker(R \otimes_k R \rightarrow R)$ and $\delta : R \rightarrow I/I^2$, $r \mapsto r \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes r$. Then, for $M \in R\text{-Mod}$, for all $\phi \in \text{Der}_k(R, M)$, there exists a unique R -linear map $\tilde{\phi} : I/I^2 \rightarrow M$ such that $e = \tilde{e} \circ \delta$. In particular, there is a unique isomorphism $(I/I^2, \delta) \cong (\Omega_{R/k}, d)$.

Proof. The first statement says that I/I^2 represents the functor $\text{Der}_k(R, _)$. As representing objects are unique up to unique isomorphism, the second statement follows. For the first statement, consider $\phi \in \text{Der}_k(R, M)$. Define $\check{\phi} : R \rightarrow R \ltimes M$, $r \mapsto (r, \phi(r))$, which is a k -algebra homomorphism. There is also an inclusion homomorphism $i : R \rightarrow R \ltimes M$, $r \mapsto (r, 0)$. Thus, we have a canonical k -algebra homomorphism $h : R \otimes_k R \rightarrow R \ltimes M$, $r \otimes r' \mapsto \check{\phi}(r)i(r') = (rr', r'\phi(r))$. Note that $h(r \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes r) = (0, \phi(r))$. Thus, $h(I^2) = 0$ and h descends to a map $\tilde{\phi} : I/I^2 \rightarrow M$. \square

Theorem 1.10 (First fundamental sequence). *Let $k \rightarrow R \rightarrow S$ be maps of rings. Then, there is an exact sequence of S -modules*

$$S \otimes_R \Omega_{R/k} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \Omega_{S/k} \xrightarrow{\beta} \Omega_{S/R} \rightarrow 0$$

where $\alpha(s \otimes d_{R/k}r) = sd_{S/k}r$ and $\beta(d_{S/k}(s)) = d_{S/R}(s)$

Proof. Clearly, β is a surjection (same generators) with kernel (exercise: check this) $\langle \{d_{S/k}r | r \in R\} \rangle$. This is clearly the image of α . \square

Theorem 1.11 (Second Fundamental Sequence). *As before, R is a k -algebra. Let $I \subseteq R$ be an ideal and $S = R/I$. There is an exact sequence of S -modules*

$$I/I^2 \xrightarrow{\gamma} S \otimes_R \Omega_{R/k} \xrightarrow{\gamma'} \Omega_{S/k} \rightarrow 0$$

where $\gamma : r \mapsto 1 \otimes d_{R/k}r$ and $\gamma' : s \otimes d_{R/k}r \mapsto sd_{S/k}r$

Proof. Note that γ' is the same as α . As $R \rightarrow S$ is surjective, $\Omega_{S/R} = 0$. We claim (proof left as an exercise) that $\ker(\Omega_{R/k} \rightarrow \Omega_{S/k}) = I\Omega_{R/k} + R\{d_{R/k}r | r \in I\}$. Assuming this, we see

$$\ker(S \otimes_R \Omega_{R/k} \rightarrow \Omega_{S/k}) = \langle 1 \otimes d_{R/k}r | r \in I \rangle = \text{Im}(\gamma)$$

Check that γ is S -linear. \square

Regularity.

Proposition 1.12. *Let k be a field and (R, \mathfrak{m}) a local k -algebra such that $K = R/\mathfrak{m}$ is a finite separable extension of k and \mathfrak{m} has finite embedding dimension. Then, $\Omega_{K/k} = 0$.*

Proof. Let $a \in K$ with $f \in k[x]$ being the minimal polynomial of a over k . Then, for any k -derivation, $0 = df(a) = f'(a)da$. As K/k is separable, $f'(a) \neq 0$ which means that $da = 0$. From the second fundamental sequence, we get a K -linear surjection

$$\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \xrightarrow{\delta} K \otimes_R \Omega_{R/k} \rightarrow \Omega_{K/k}$$

We claim that δ is an isomorphism. If true, we conclude that $\dim_K(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2) = \dim_K(K \otimes_R \Omega_{R/k}) \geq \dim(R)$. To prove this, it suffices to show that

$$\delta^\vee : (K \otimes_R \Omega_{R/k})^\vee \rightarrow (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)^\vee$$

is surjective. Let $f : \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \rightarrow K$. Extend f to R using any k -linear splitting (by composition with $R \twoheadrightarrow R/\mathfrak{m}^2$)

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m}^2 \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$$

Set $\tilde{f}(K) = 0$. Then (exercise) $\tilde{f} \in \text{Der}_k(R, K)$. Let $g \in \text{Hom}_R(\Omega_{R/k}, K)$ be the corresponding linear map. Then, since $\text{Hom}_R(\Omega_{R/k}, K) = \text{Hom}_R(K \otimes_R \Omega_{R/k}, K) = \text{Hom}_K(K \otimes_R \Omega_{R/k}, k)$, for all $r \in \mathfrak{m}$, $f(r) = \tilde{f}(r) = g(d_{R/k}(r))$. So, $f = g\delta$. \square

2. SMOOTHNESS

Notation 2.1. Let k be a commutative ring and R is a commutative k -algebra.

Definition 2.2. Let $M \in R\text{-Mod}$. A *square-zero extension* of R by M is a short exact sequence of k -modules

$$0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\theta} E \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0$$

where E is a k -algebra, $E \rightarrow R$ is a k -algebra morphism and $\theta(M) \subseteq E$ is an ideal such that $\theta(M)^2 = 0$.

Remark 2.3. In the above case, identify M with $\theta(M)$, an ideal in E . Hence, E acts k -linearly on M . So, $M^2 = 0$ essentially means that this action factors as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{End}_k(M) \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \text{dashed} \\ & E/M = R & \end{array}$$

Example 2.4. For a field k ,

$$0 \rightarrow k \equiv k\varepsilon \rightarrow k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2) \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0$$

is a square-zero extension of k by itself.

Definition 2.5. An extension E of R by M

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0$$

is *trivial* if it is isomorphic to the extension

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow R \ltimes M \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0$$

That is, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & R & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \text{k-alg isom.} & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & M \ltimes R & \longrightarrow & R & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Observation 2.6. An extension

$$0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\alpha} E \xrightarrow{\beta} R \rightarrow 0$$

is trivial if and only if there is a k -algebra section $\sigma : R \rightarrow E$ of β . Check that $E \cong R \ltimes M$ by writing $e = \sigma\beta(e) - (\sigma\beta(e) - e)$, where the first term belongs to R and the second to M .

Definition 2.7. Let R be a k -algebra. We say that R is *smooth* over k if for every square-zero extension

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E \rightarrow T \rightarrow 0$$

of commutative k -algebras and every k -algebra map $u : R \rightarrow T$, there exists a k -algebra lifting $v : R \rightarrow E$ of u .

Example 2.8. $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is free over k and is hence smooth.

Remark 2.9. Let R be a smooth k -algebra and

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow J \rightarrow E \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0$$

a nilpotent extension. That is, $J^m = 0$ for some $m \geq 1$. Then, smoothness implies that Eq. (1) is split. The idea is to induct using the following diagram

$$0 \rightarrow J^n/J^{n+1} \rightarrow E/J^{n+1} \rightarrow E/J^n \rightarrow 0$$

which is a square-zero extension.

Proposition 2.10. *Let $k \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{f} S$ be maps of rings*

(1) *If S is smooth over R , then the first fundamental exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R S \xrightarrow{\alpha} \Omega_{S/k} \rightarrow \Omega_{S/R} \rightarrow 0$$

is split exact.

(2) *If $S = R/I$ and suppose S is smooth over k , then the second fundamental exact sequence is split exact*

$$0 \rightarrow I/I^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R S \rightarrow \Omega_{S/k} \rightarrow 0$$

Proof. As $\text{Hom}_S(\Omega_{S/k}, \Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R S) \cong \text{Der}_k(S, \Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R S)$, for a splitting, we need a suitable derivation. Before proceeding, we introduce a general construction.

Let $N \in S\text{-Mod}$ and $\delta \in \text{Der}_k(R, N)$. This defines a k -algebra map $\phi : R \rightarrow S \ltimes N$, $r \mapsto (f(r), \delta r)$. Since S is smooth over R , the square-zero extension of R -algebras

$$0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{i_2} S \ltimes N \xrightarrow{\pi_1} S \rightarrow 0$$

has an R -algebra splitting σ . Note that $\sigma \circ f = \phi$ as σ is an R -algebra morphism. Let $\delta' = \pi_2 \sigma \in \text{Der}_R(S, N)$, where $\pi_2 : S \ltimes N \rightarrow N$ is the projection to the second coordinate. Check that $\delta' f = \delta$. Now set $N = \Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R S$ and $\delta = d_{R/k} \otimes 1$. Let $\gamma \in \text{Hom}_S(\Omega_{S/k}, \Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R S)$ be the map corresponding to δ' . That is, $\delta' = \gamma d_{S/k}$. Then, for $r \in R$ and $s \in S$,

$$d_{R/k} r \otimes s \xrightarrow{\alpha} s d_{S/k}(f(r)) \xrightarrow{\gamma} s(d_{R/k} r \otimes 1) = d_{R/k} r \otimes s$$

Thus, γ is a splitting. Proof of the second statement is left as an exercise. \square

Proposition 2.11. *Let R be a smooth k -algebra, then $\Omega_{R/k}$ is projective.*

Proof. Note that every map $f : M \rightarrow N$ of R -modules induces a map of R -algebras

$$R \ltimes M \xrightarrow{\text{Id}, f} R \ltimes N$$

Conversely, every map of R -algebras $\phi : R \ltimes M \rightarrow R \ltimes N$ yields an R -linear map $\phi|_{0 \oplus M} : M \rightarrow N$. Let $I = \ker(R^e := R \otimes_k R \rightarrow R)$. Then, $I/I^2 = \Omega_{R/k}$. As R/k is smooth, the extension

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{R/k} \rightarrow R^e/I^2 \xrightarrow{\mu} R \rightarrow 0$$

is trivial with an isomorphism $\gamma : R^e/I^2 \rightarrow R \ltimes \Omega_{R/k}$. Let $p : R^e \rightarrow R^e/I^2$ be the surjection. Give $R^e = R \otimes_k R$ the R -module structure given by left multiplication. Then, p, μ, γ are all R -algebra homomorphisms. Let $M, N \in R\text{-Mod}$ and consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \Omega_{R/k} & & \\ & & \downarrow h & & \\ M & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

of R -module homomorphisms. This gives a diagram of R -algebras

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
I & \subseteq & R \otimes_k R & \cong & R^e & \xrightarrow{\gamma p} & R \ltimes \Omega_{R/k} \\
& & & & \downarrow \exists \phi & & \downarrow (1, h) \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \ker(1, f) & \longrightarrow & R \ltimes M & \xrightarrow{(1, f)} & R \ltimes N \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

The bottom extension is square-zero. As an exercise, prove that $R^e = R \otimes_k R$ is smooth over R . So, there exists ϕ as in the diagram so that it commutes. By the commutativity of the above diagram, $\gamma p(I) \subseteq 0 \oplus \Omega_{R/k}$. So, $((1, h) \circ \gamma p)(I) \subseteq 0 \oplus M$. Thus, we get an R -algebra map $\tilde{\phi} : R \ltimes \Omega_{R/k} \rightarrow R \ltimes M$ with $\text{Im}(\Omega_{R/k}) \subseteq 0 \oplus M$. This gives a lifting of h to an R -linear map $\pi_2 \circ \tilde{\phi} \circ i_2 : \Omega_{R/k} \rightarrow M$ \square

We'll prove the following result next lecture.

Proposition 2.12. *If R is a noetherian local ring containing a field k that is smooth over k , then R is a regular local ring.*

3. MORE ON SMOOTHNESS & PRELUDE TO ANDRÉ-QUILLEN

Proposition 3.1. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a noetherian local containing a field. If R is smooth over k , then R is a regular local ring.*

Proof. We may assume that k is a prime field. Any bigger field is smooth over the prime field. This ensures that $K = R/\mathfrak{m}$ is smooth over k . Let $d = \dim_K(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)$. Let $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_d]_{(x_1, \dots, x_d)}$ and $M \subseteq S$ the maximal ideal. Since K/K is smooth, the following square-zero extension of K -algebras is trivial.

$$0 \rightarrow M/M^2 \rightarrow S/M^2 \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$$

So, $S/M^2 \cong K \ltimes M/M^2$ as K -algebras. As K/k is smooth, similarly, $R/\mathfrak{m}^2 \cong K \ltimes \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ as k -algebras. Since $M/M^2 \cong \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ as K -algebras, we see that $R/\mathfrak{m}^2 \cong S/M^2$. As R is smooth over k , the surjection $R \twoheadrightarrow S/M^2$ can be lifted to a surjective (Nakayama) map $R \twoheadrightarrow S/M^n$ for all $n \geq 2$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & R & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & \swarrow & \Downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{m}^2/\mathfrak{m}^3 & \longrightarrow & S/M^3 & \longrightarrow & S/M^2 \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

We claim that $\ker(R \rightarrow S/M^n) = \mathfrak{m}^n$. In fact it is sufficient to see that \mathfrak{m}^n is contained in $\ker(R \rightarrow S/M^n) = \mathfrak{m}^n$.

Let H_R and H_S be the Hilbert Samuel polynomials of R and S respectively. Recall that

- (1) $H_R(n) = \text{length}(R/\mathfrak{m}^n)$ and $H_S(n) = \text{length}(S/M^n)$.
- (2) $\deg(H_R) = \dim(R)$ and $\deg(H_S) = \dim(S) = d$

Then, for all $n \geq 2$, $H_R(n) \geq H_S(n)$. Hence, $\dim(R) \geq d$. However, we know that $\dim(R) \leq d = \dim_K(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)$. Thus, $\dim(R) = \dim_K(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)$. \square

Definition 3.2. Let k be a field and R be a k -algebra. R is said to be *geometrically regular* if for every finite extension $k \hookrightarrow l$, the ring $R \otimes_k l$ is regular.

Fact 3.3. A k -algebra R is smooth if and only if R is geometrically regular.

We now turn to the construction and properties of the T^i -functors (following Hartshorne's Deformation Theory).

Construction 3.4. Let A be a ring and B an A -algebra. Let $e_0 : R \rightarrow B$ be a surjective A -algebra homomorphism, where A is a polynomial ring over A (we can always choose such e_0). Let $I = \ker(e_0)$ and let

$$0 \rightarrow Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} F \xrightarrow{j} I \subseteq R \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence of R -modules such that F is free over R . More elaborately, you pick a generating set of I , a sufficiently large free module F over the polynomial ring, construct a surjective R -module map j and define α to be the kernel of j . Let (you should be reminded of the Koszul complex here)

$$F_0 = \langle \{j(x)y - xj(y) | x, y \in F\} \rangle \subseteq F$$

We note that $F_0 \subseteq Q$ is a submodule and $IQ \subseteq F_0$. We have a complex of B -modules

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & L_2 & & L_1 & & L_0 \\
 & \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\
 \mathbb{L}_\bullet := & Q/F_0 & \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}} & (F/F_0) \otimes_R B & \xrightarrow{\delta \circ \bar{j}} & \Omega_{R/A} \otimes_R B \\
 (2) & & & \cong & & \uparrow \delta \\
 & & & F/IF & \xrightarrow{\bar{j}} & I/I^2
 \end{array}$$

Degree : (2) (1) (0)

Note that L_1, L_0 are free B -modules. For any $M \in B\text{-Mod}$, define

$$T^i(B/A, M) := H^i(\text{Hom}(\mathbb{L}_\bullet, M))$$

for $i = 0, 1, 2$.

There are many unnatural choices we've made here but the following lemma says that these do not matter.

Lemma 3.5. *The modules $T^i(B/A, M)$ are independent of the choices of R, F .*

Theorem 3.6. *Let B be an A -algebra and*

$$0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M''$$

be a short exact sequence of B -modules. Then, there is a long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T^0(B/A, M') \rightarrow T^0(B/A, M) \rightarrow T^0(M/M'') \rightarrow T^1(B/A, M') \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow T^2(B/A, M'')$$

Proof. As L_0 and L_1 are free B -modules,

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B(L_\bullet, M') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B(L_\bullet, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B(L_\bullet, M'') \rightarrow 0$$

is exact except for $i = 2$ (where it is left exact). Easy calculation of homology. \square

Theorem 3.7 (Jacobi-Zariski). *Let $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ be homomorphisms of rings. Let $M \in C\text{-Mod}$. Then, there is an exact sequence of C -modules*

$$0 \rightarrow T^0(C/B, M) \rightarrow T^0(C/A, M) \rightarrow T^0(B/A, M) \rightarrow T^1(C/B, M) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow T^2(C/A, M) \rightarrow T^2(B/A, M)$$

Proof. Omitted \square

Proposition 3.8. *For any map of rings $A \rightarrow B$ and $M \in B\text{-Mod}$, $T^0(B/A, M) = \text{Hom}(\Omega_{B/A}, M) = \text{Der}_A(B, M)$*

Proof. Write B as a quotient

$$0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow R \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$$

where R is a polynomial ring. Then, there is an exact sequence

$$I/I^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{R/A} \otimes_A B \rightarrow \Omega_{B/A} \rightarrow 0$$

From the construction of \mathbb{L}_\bullet , we have a surjective map $L_1 \rightarrow I/I^2$. Thus, the sequence $L_1 \rightarrow L_0 \rightarrow \Omega_{B/A} \rightarrow 0$ is exact. Taking $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{L}_\bullet, M)$, we get $T^0(B/A, M) = \text{Hom}(\Omega_{B/A}, M)$. \square

Proposition 3.9. *If B is a polynomial ring over A , then $T^i(B/A, M) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$ for all M .*

Proof. Take $R = B$ in the construction. Then, $I = 0$ and $F = 0$. So, $L_2 = L_1 = 0$. \square

Proposition 3.10. *If $A \twoheadrightarrow B$ is a surjective ring homomorphism with kernel I , then $T^0(B/A, M) = 0$ for all M and $T^1(B/A, M) = \text{Hom}_B(I/I^2, M)$.*

Proof. The first statement follows from Proposition 3.9 and Problem 2 of Tutorial 1 (which says that $S^{-1}\Omega_{R/k} \cong \Omega_{S^{-1}R/k}$). Take $R = A$. Then, $L_0 = 0$. Further, the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow F \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$$

gives

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} Q \otimes_A B & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & F \otimes_A B & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & I/I^2 & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & 0 \\ \parallel & & \parallel & & & & \\ Q/IQ & & F/IF = L_1 & & & & \\ & \searrow & \nearrow & & & & \\ & Q/F_0 = L_2 & & & & & \end{array}$$

Taking $\text{Hom}_B(_, M)$ and cohomology, we get

$$T^1(B/A, M) = \text{Hom}_B(I/I^2, M)$$

□

Theorem 3.11. *Let k be an algebraically closed field and B be a finite type k -algebra. Then, B is smooth over k if and only if $T^1(B/k, M) = 0$ for all M .*

Proof. Let $B = A/I$, where $A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Then, B is smooth over k if and only if the conormal sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I/I^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A B \rightarrow \Omega_{B/k} \rightarrow 0$$

is split exact, and $\Omega_{B/k}$ is projective. We will prove the theorem modulo the following claim:

There is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T^0(B/k, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(\Omega_{A/k}, M) \xrightarrow{\eta} \text{Hom}_B(I/I^2, M) \rightarrow T^1(B/k, M) \rightarrow 0$$

Note that $\text{Hom}_A(\Omega_{A/k}, M) = \text{Hom}_B(\Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A B, M)$, and η is the map $\text{Hom}_B(\Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A B, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B(I/I^2, M)$. Note that η is surjective if and only if $T^1(B/k, M) = 0$ for all M . Suppose that B/k is smooth. Then, the conormal sequence is split exact and hence, η is surjective.

Conversely, suppose $T^1(B/k, M) = 0$ for all M . By the claim, η is surjective. Hence, there exists $\sigma \in \text{Hom}_B(\Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A B, M)$ such that $\eta(\sigma) = \text{Id}_{I/I^2}$. This gives a splitting of the conormal exact sequence. Moreover, by Theorem 3.6, $T^0(B/k, _) = \text{Hom}_B(\Omega_{B/k}, _)$ is an exact functor. Hence, $\Omega_{B/k}$ is a projective B -module. Hence, B is smooth over k .

□

Remark 3.12. We will prove next time that splitting and projective implies smoothness.

4. PROOFS OF SOME STATEMENTS FROM LAST TIME

Proposition 4.1. *Let $A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, $B = A/I$. Then, B is smooth over k if (in fact if and only if) the conormal sequence*

$$(3) \quad 0 \rightarrow I/I^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k} \otimes B \rightarrow \Omega_{B/k} \rightarrow 0$$

is split exact.

Proof. Consider a square-zero extension

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E \rightarrow T \rightarrow 0$$

of k -algebras, and let $f : B \rightarrow T$ be a map of k -algebras. We will show that f extends to a map $B \rightarrow E$. Note that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & B \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & M & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & T \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

$\exists h$ (between $A \rightarrow E$)
 f (between $B \rightarrow T$)

As A/k is smooth, there exists a map $h : A \rightarrow E$. The map $h|_I : I \rightarrow M$ induces a map $\bar{h} : I/I^2 \rightarrow M$ (as $M^2 = 0$). Applying $\text{Hom}_B(_, M)$ to Eq. (3), we get

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B(\Omega_{B/k}, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B(\Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A B, M) = \text{Hom}_k(\Omega_{A/k}, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B(I/I^2, M) \rightarrow 0$$

Let θ be a lift of $\bar{h} \in \text{Hom}_B(I/I^2, M)$. We may regard θ as a derivation $\tilde{\theta} \in \text{Der}_k(A, M)$. Check, as an exercise, that $h' = h - \tilde{\theta}$ is a left inverse $h' : B \rightarrow E$ of f . \square

Proposition 4.2. *Suppose $A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, $B = A/I$. Then, for any $M \in B\text{-Mod}$, there is an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow T^0(B/k, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\Omega_{A/k}, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(I/I^2, M) \rightarrow T^1(B/k, M) \rightarrow 0$$

Further, $T^2(B/A, M) = T^2(B/k, M)$

Proof. We have maps of rings $k \rightarrow A \rightarrow B$. There is an exact sequence (by Theorem 3.7, known as the Jacobi Zariski Sequence)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T^0(B/A, M) & \longrightarrow & T^0(B/k, M) & \longrightarrow & T^0(A/k, M) \\ & & & & \swarrow & & \\ & & T^1(B/A, M) & \longrightarrow & T^1(B/k, M) & \longrightarrow & T^1(A/k, M) \\ & & & & \swarrow & & \\ & & T^2(B/A, M) & \longrightarrow & T^2(B/k, M) & \longrightarrow & T^2(A/k, M) \end{array}$$

The assertion follows from the following observations.

- (1) $T^0(B/A, M) = 0$ since $A \twoheadrightarrow B$.
- (2) $T^1(B/A, M) = \text{Hom}_B(I/I^2, M)$ by Proposition 3.10.
- (3) $T^0(A/k, M) = \text{Hom}(\Omega_{A/k}, M)$
- (4) $T^2(A/k, M) = T^1(A/k, M) = 0$ as A is a polynomial ring over k .

\square

Proposition 4.3. *Let A be a local ring and $B = A/I$, where I is generated by a regular sequence a_1, \dots, a_n . Then, $T^2(B/A, M) = 0$ for all M .*

Proof. Examine the construction of \mathbb{L}_\bullet in this case.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow A = R \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow F = A^{\oplus n} \xrightarrow{\theta} I \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 4.4. *The construction of the T^i functors is compatible with localisation.*

Proof. Left as an exercise. □

From last time,

Theorem 4.5. *Let k be an algebraically closed field and B a finite type k -algebra. Then, B is smooth over k if and only if $T^1(B/k, M) = 0$ for all $M \in B\text{-Mod}$. Further, if B is smooth over k , then also $T^2(B/k, M) = 0$ for all $M \in B\text{-Mod}$.*

Proof. Suppose B is smooth over k and let $B = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$. By Proposition 4.2, $T^2(B/k, M) = T^2(B/A, M)$. Consider the conormal sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I/I^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k} \otimes B \rightarrow \Omega_{B/k} \rightarrow 0$$

Localise at any prime \mathfrak{p} of B

$$0 \rightarrow (I/I^2)_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k} \otimes B_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \Omega_{B/k, \mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow 0$$

We see that $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is generated by $n - 1 = \dim(A) - \dim(B)$ elements in the regular local ring $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. These generators form a regular sequence. This implies that $T^2(B_{\mathfrak{p}}, M) = 0$. □

Theorem 4.6. *Let A be a regular local k -algebra with residue field k with $k = \bar{k}$ and let $B = A/I$. Then, B is a local complete intersection in A if and only if $T^2(B/k, M) = 0$ for all $M \in B\text{-Mod}$.*

Proof. As A is regular and $k = \bar{k}$, A is geometrically regular and hence, smooth over k . So $T^i(A/k, M) = 0$ for all M and for $i = 1, 2$. So, by the Jacobi-Zariski sequence (Theorem 3.7), get that $T^2(B/k, M) = T^2(B/A, M)$ for all M . If B is a complete intersection in A , then localising, we get the vanishing $T^2(B_{\mathfrak{p}}/k, M) = 0$ for any prime \mathfrak{p} of B . Conversely, suppose $T^2(B/k, M) = 0$ for all M . As above, $T^2(B/A, M) = 0$ for all M . We look at \mathbb{L}_\bullet .

$$0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$$

We may assume F maps to a minimal set of generators of I .

$$0 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow F \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0$$

And,

$$\mathbb{L}_\bullet = \left(Q/F_0 \xrightarrow{d_2} F/IF \xrightarrow{d_1} \Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A B \right)$$

$T^2(B/A, M) = 0$ implies that $\text{Hom}_B(F/IF, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B(Q/F_0, M)$ is surjective for all M . Take $M = Q/F_0$ and get a splitting $p : F/IF \rightarrow Q/F_0$ of d_2 . Since a_1, \dots, a_r is a minimal set of generators of I , $Q \subseteq mF$. Thus, by Nakayama, $Q = F_0$ if and only if $H_1(K_\bullet(a_1, \dots, a_n))$ is a regular sequence. Hence, B is a local complete intersection. □