

## ? Why does central differencing fail for convection-dominated flow when $N = 5$ , but seems to work when $N \geq 20$ ?

The answer lies in the Péclet number and how it relates to grid size.

---

### ◆ What is the Cell Peclet Number?

The Péclet number (Pe) for a control volume is:

$$Pe = \frac{F}{D} = \frac{\rho u \Delta x}{\Gamma}$$

Where:

- $\rho u$  = convective flux (convection strength)
- $\Gamma$  = diffusion coefficient
- $\Delta x = \frac{L}{N}$  = grid size (cell width)

🔍 So: Pe is directly proportional to  $\Delta x$

This means:

- Coarse grid (small  $N$ , large  $\Delta x$ ) → Large Pe
  - Fine grid (large  $N$ , small  $\Delta x$ ) → Small Pe
- 

### 📉 Central Differencing Becomes Unstable When:

$Pe > 2$

Because:

- The scheme produces negative coefficients
  - Leads to oscillations, overshoot, and unbounded behavior
- 

### 🧠 So What's Happening in Your Case?

### ◆ Case 1: $N = 5$

- $\Delta x = 1/5 = 0.2$
- For example, if  $u = 2.5$ , then:

$$Pe = \frac{1 \cdot 2.5 \cdot 0.2}{0.1} = 5$$

This is **well above 2**, so the central differencing scheme is **unstable and inaccurate**.

---

### ◆ Case 2: $N = 20$

- $\Delta x = 1/20 = 0.05$
- Same velocity  $u = 2.5$ :

$$Pe = \frac{1 \cdot 2.5 \cdot 0.05}{0.1} = 1.25$$

Now  $Pe < 2 \rightarrow$  the scheme is **stable, bounded**, and behaves well!

---

### ✅ Final Answer:

The **central differencing scheme** assumes the solution varies **smoothly** between nodes. When the **grid is coarse**, this assumption fails in convection-dominated flows, and the scheme gives **unphysical results**.

But when the **grid is refined** (higher  $N$ , smaller  $\Delta x$ ), the **local Peclet number** becomes smaller, and the **solution appears smooth** over short distances — so the **central differencing works again**.

---

### 🔧 Practical Rule of Thumb:

- ▲ Use central differencing only when:

$$\text{Local Peclet Number (Pe)} < 2$$

Otherwise:

- Use **upwind, hybrid, or higher-order schemes** like QUICK.