

Untitled

Transparency Report 1.0 (full, 36 items)

15/57/2020

Corresponding author's email address: @

Link to Project Repository:

PREREGISTRATION SECTION

- (1) Prior to analyzing the complete data set, a time-stamped preregistration was posted in an independent, third-party registry for the data analysis plan. **Yes**
- (2) The manuscript includes a URL to all preregistrations that concern the present study. **No**
- (3) The study was preregistered. . . **at another time, explain**
dgfgdfg

The preregistration fully describes. . .

- (4) all inclusion and exclusion criteria for participation (e.g., English speakers who achieved a certain cutoff score in a language test). **Yes**
- (5) all procedures for assigning participants to conditions. **No**
- (6) all procedures for randomizing stimulus materials. **Yes**
- (7) any procedures for ensuring that participants, experimenters, and data-analysts were kept naive (blinded) to potentially biasing information. **Not answered**
- (8) a rationale for the sample size used (e.g., an a priori power analysis). **Not answered**
- (9) the measures of interest (e.g., friendliness). **Not answered**
- (10) the data preprocessing plans (e.g., transformed, cleaned, normalized, smoothed). **Not answered**
- (11) how missing data (e.g., dropouts) were planned to be handled. **Not answered**
- (12) the intended statistical analysis for each research question (this may require, for example, information about the sidedness of the tests, inference criteria, corrections for multiple testing, model selection criteria, prior distributions etc.). **Not answered**

Comments about your Preregistration

No comments.

METHODS SECTION

The manuscript fully describes...

- | | |
|--|--------------|
| (14) the rationale for the sample size used (e.g., an a priori power analysis). | Not answered |
| (15) how participants were recruited. | Not answered |
| (16) how participants were selected (e.g., eligibility criteria). | Not answered |
| (17) what compensation was offered for participation. | Not answered |
| (18) how participant dropout was handled (e.g., replaced, omitted, etc). | Not answered |
| (19) how participants were assigned to conditions. | Not answered |
| (20) how stimulus materials were randomized. | Not answered |
| (21) whether (and, if so, how) participants, experimenters, and data-analysts were kept naive to potentially biasing information. | Not answered |
| (22) the study design, procedures, and materials to allow independent replication. | Not answered |
| (23) the measures of interest (e.g., friendliness). | Not answered |
| (24) any changes to the preregistration (such as changes in eligibility criteria, group membership cutoffs, or experimental procedures)? | Not answered |

Comments about your Methods section

No comments.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION SECTION

The manuscript...

- (26) distinguishes explicitly between “confirmatory” (i.e., prespecified) and “exploratory” (i.e., not prespecified) analyses. **Not answered**
- (27) describes how violations of statistical assumptions were handled. **Not answered**
- (28) justifies all statistical choices (e.g., including or excluding covariates; applying or not applying transformations; use of multi-level models vs. ANOVA). **Not answered**
- (29) reports the sample size for each cell of the design. **Not answered**
- (30) reports how incomplete or missing data were handled. **Not answered**
- (31) presents protocols for data preprocessing (e.g., cleaning, discarding of cases and items, normalizing, smoothing, artifact correction). **Not answered**

Comments about your Results and Discussion

No comments.

DATA, CODE, AND MATERIALS AVAILABILITY SECTION

The following have been made publicly available...

- | | |
|---|---------------------|
| (32) the (processed) data, on which the analyses of the manuscript were based. | Not answered |
| (33) all code and software (that is not copyright protected). | Not answered |
| (34) all instructions, stimuli, and test materials (that are not copyright protected). | Not answered |
| (35) The manuscript includes a statement concerning the availability and location of all research items, including data, materials, and code relevant to the study. | Not answered |

Comments about your Data, Code, and Materials

No comments.

References

Aczel, B., Szaszi, B., Sarafoglou, A. Kekecs, Z., Kucharský, Š., Benjamin, D., . . . & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2019). A consensus-based transparency checklist. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 1–3. doi:10.1038/s41562-019-0772-6