Untitled

Transparency Report (short, 12 items)

27 March, 2019

Corresponding author's email address: @

Link to Project Repository:

PREREGISTRATION SECTION

(1) Prior to analyzing the complete data set, a time-stamped preregistration was posted in an independent, institutional registry for the data analysis plan. **Not answered**

Comments about your Preregistration

No comments.

METHODS SECTION

The manuscript fully describes...

(4) the rationale for the sample size used (e.g., an a priori power analysis).   **Not answered**

(5) the study design, procedures, and materials to allow independent replication.   **Not answered**

(6) your measures of interest (e.g., friendliness) and their operationalizations (e.g., a questionnaire measuring friendliness).   **Not answered**

Comments about your Methods section

No comments.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION SECTION

The manuscript...

(8) distinguishes sharply between "confirmatory" (i.e., prespecified) and "exploratory" (i.e., not prespecified) analyses. **Not answered**

Comments about your Results and Discussion

No comments.

DATA, CODE, AND MATERIALS AVAILABILITY SECTION

The following have been made publicly available...

(9) the (processed) data, on which the analyses of the manuscript were based.   **Not answered**

(10) all code and software (that is not copyright protected).   **Not answered**

(11) all instructions, stimuli, and test materials (that are not copyright protected).   **Not answered**

(12) The manuscript includes a statement concerning the availability and location of all research items, including data, materials, and code relevant to your study (such as the TOP statement by Aalbersberg et al., 2018; https://osf.io/sm78t/).   **Not answered**

Comments about your Data, Code, and Materials

No comments.

References

Aalbersberg, I. J., Appleyard, T., Brookhart, S., Carpenter, T., Clarke, M., Curry, S., . . . & Freedman, L. (2018). Making science transparent by default: Introducing the TOP Statement. https://osf.io/sm78t

Simons, D. J., Shoda, Y., & Lindsay, D. S. (2017). Constraints on generality (COG): A proposed addition to all empirical papers. *Perspectives on Psychological Science, 12*(6), 1123-1128. DOI: 10.1177/1745691617708630, https://psyarxiv.com/w9e3r/