Untitled

Transparency Report (full, 36 items) 27 March, 2019

Corresponding author's email address: @

Link to Project Repository:

PREREGISTRATION SECTION

- (1) Prior to analyzing the complete data set, a time-stamped preregistration was posted in an independent, institutional registry for the data analysis plan. Yes
- (2) The manuscript includes a URL to all preregistrations that concern the present study. **No**
- (3) The study was preregistered...

The preregistration fully describes...

- (4) all inclusion and exclusion criteria for participation (e.g., English speakers who achieved a certain cutoff score in a language test).

 Not answered
- (5) all procedures for assigning participants to conditions.

Not answered

(6) all procedures for randomizing stimulus materials.

- Not answered
- (7) all procedures for ensuring that participants and experimenters were kept naive (blinded) to potentially biasing information.

 Not answered
- (8) a rationale for the sample size used (e.g., an a priori power analysis).

Not answered

(9) your measures of interest (e.g., friendliness).

- Not answered
- (10) how the data were planned to be preprocessed (e.g., transformed, cleaned, normalized, smoothed). **Not** answered
- (11) how missing data (e.g., dropouts) were planned to be handled.

Not answered

(12) the intended statistical analysis for each research question (This may require, for example, information about the sidedness of the tests, inference criteria, corrections for multiple testing, model selection criteria, prior distributions etc.).

Not answered

Comments about your Preregistration

METHODS SECTION

The manuscript fully describes...

(14) the rationale for the sample size used (e.g., an a priori power analysis).	Not answered
(15) how participants were recruited.	Not answered
(16) how participants were selected (e.g., eligibility criteria).	Not answered
(17) what compensation was offered for participation.	Not answered
(18) how participant dropout was handled (e.g., replaced, omitted, etc).	Not answered
(19) how participants were assigned to conditions.	Not answered
(20) how stimulus materials were randomized.	Not answered
(21) how participants, experimenters, and data-analysts were kept naive to potentially be Not answered	iasing information.
(22) the study design, procedures, and materials to allow independent replication.	Not answered

(24) any changes to the preregistration (such as changes in eligibility criteria, group membership cutoffs, or

Not answered

Not answered

Comments about your Methods section

experimental procedures)?

(23) your measures of interest (e.g., friendliness).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION SECTION

The manuscript...

- (26) distinguishes sharply between "confirmatory" (i.e., prespecified) and "exploratory" (i.e., not prespecified) analyses.

 Not answered
- (27) describes how violations of statistical assumptions were handled.

Not answered

- (28) justifies all statistical choices (e.g., including or excluding covariates; applying or not applying transformations; use of multi-level models vs. ANOVA).

 Not answered
- (29) reports the sample size for each cell of the design.

Not answered

(30) reports how incomplete or missing data were handled.

Not answered

(31) presents protocols for data preprocessing (e.g., cleaning, discarding of cases and items, normalizing, smoothing, artifact correction).

Not answered

Comments about your Results and Discussion

DATA, CODE, AND MATERIALS AVAILABILITY SECTION

The following have been made publicly available...

- (32) the (processed) data, on which the analyses of the manuscript were based. Not answered
- (33) all code and software (that is not copyright protected). Not answered
- (34) all instructions, stimuli, and test materials (that are not copyright protected). Not answered
- (35) The manuscript includes a statement concerning the availability and location of all research items, including data, materials, and code relevant to your study (such as the TOP statement by Aalbersberg et al., 2018; https://osf.io/sm78t/).

 Not answered

Comments about your Data, Code, and Materials

References

Aalbersberg, I. J., Appleyard, T., Brookhart, S., Carpenter, T., Clarke, M., Curry, S., ... & Freedman, L. (2018). Making science transparent by default: Introducing the TOP Statement. https://osf.io/sm78t

Simons, D. J., Shoda, Y., & Lindsay, D. S. (2017). Constraints on generality (COG): A proposed addition to all empirical papers. Perspectives~on~Psychological~Science,~12(6),~1123-1128.~DOI:~10.1177/1745691617708630,~https://psyarxiv.com/w9e3r/