Optimization Results

Zhaniya Abdraiym: I was given such a table of suggestions:

Category	Suggestion	Expected Impact
Loop Optimization	Move non-dependent computations outside the main loop	Reduces per-iteration constant factor
I/O Handling	Use buffered I/O or in-memory aggregation before writing to file	Minimizes disk latency
Data Reuse	Cache generated input arrays for repeated trials	Improves consistency and reduces setup time
Testing Efficiency	Employ average of multiple runs to smooth timing variance	Enhances statistical reliability

1. __

```
tracker.incrementAssignments(4);
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    tracker.incrementComparisons();
    tracker.incrementArrayAccesses();
    int value = arr[i];
    tracker.incrementAssignments();
    tracker.incrementComparisons();
    if (maxEndingHere + value < value) {</pre>
       tracker.incrementAssignments();
       maxEndingHere = value;
       tracker.incrementAssignments();
       tracker.incrementAssignments();
        maxEndingHere = maxEndingHere + value;
        tracker.incrementAssignments();
    tracker.incrementComparisons();
    if (maxSoFar < maxEndingHere) {</pre>
       tracker.incrementAssignments();
       maxSoFar = maxEndingHere;
       start = s;
       end = i;
        tracker.incrementAssignments(2);
tracker.incrementComparisons();
```

```
tracker.incrementAssignments( n: 4);

for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    tracker.incrementComparisons();  // i < n
    tracker.incrementArrayAccesses();  // arr[i]
    int value = arr[i];
    tracker.incrementAssignments();

long candidate = maxEndingHere + value;
    tracker.incrementAssignments();

tracker.incrementComparisons();

if (candidate < value) {
    maxEndingHere = value;
    s = i;
    tracker.incrementAssignments( n: 2);
} else {
    maxEndingHere = candidate;
    tracker.incrementAssignments();
}

tracker.incrementComparisons();
if (maxEndingHere > maxSoFar) {
    maxSoFar = maxEndingHere;
    start = s;
    end = i;
    tracker.incrementAssignments( n: 3);
}

}
```

Before After

Change	Reason	Impact
Introduced Map <string, int[]=""> cache</string,>	Reuses same dataset	Eliminates redundant data generation

Replaced FileWriter with BufferedWriter	Buffered writes	Major I/O speedup
Removed per-line flush()	Write aggregation	Lower latency
Averaged over multiple trials	Smooths variance	Reliable runtime results

2. __

```
private BufferedWriter writer; 9 usages
v public class PerformanceTracker {
        private long comparisons = 0;
                                                                                                       public void enableFileOutput(String filePath) throws IOException {
        private long assignments = 0;
       private long arrayAccesses = 0;
        public void incrementComparisons() { comparisons++; }
        public void incrementComparisons(long n) { comparisons += n; }
        public void incrementAssignments() { assignments++; }
       public void incrementAssignments(long n) { assignments += n; }
public void incrementArrayAccesses() { arrayAccesses++; }
        public void incrementArrayAccesses(long n) { arrayAccesses += n; }
       public long getComparisons() { return comparisons; }
public long getAssignments() { return assignments; }
public long getArrayAccesses() { return arrayAccesses; }
        public void reset() { comparisons = 0; assignments = 0; arrayAccesses = 0; }
        public String toString() {
           return String.format("comparisons=%d, assignments=%d, arrayAccesses=%d",
                   comparisons, assignments, arrayAccesses);
        public String toCsvLine() {
```

Before After

Change	Reason	Impact
Added BufferedWriter and CSV output support	Enables efficient file writing	Reduces I/O latency
Removed per-line flushing	Writes aggregated data	Up to 90% faster on large logs
Maintained backward compatibility	Keeps in-memory tracking unchanged	No risk to existing use

```
for (int size : sizes) {
   int[][] datasets = new int[][] {
            randomArray(size, Math.max(10, size/10), rnd),
            sortedArray(size),
            reverseSortedArray(size),
           nearlySortedArray(size, rnd)
   String[] names = new String[] {"random", "sorted", "reverse", "nearly-sorted"};
   for (int di = 0; di < datasets.length; di++) {
       int[] data = datasets[di];
       long[] times = new long[5];
       long[] comps = new long[5];
       long[] assigns = new long[5];
       long[] accesses = new long[5];
       int trialCount = times.length;
       for (int t = 0; t < trialCount; t++) {</pre>
           PerformanceTracker tracker = new PerformanceTracker();
            int[] copy = Arrays.copyOf(data, data.length);
           long start = System.nanoTime();
           Result r = KadanesAlgorithm.kadane(copy, tracker);
           long end = System.nanoTime();
           times[t] = end - start;
           comps[t] = tracker.getComparisons();
            assigns[t] = tracker.getAssignments();
            accesses[t] = tracker.getArrayAccesses();
            fw.write(String.format("%d,%s,%d,%d,%d,%d,%d,%d,%d,%d\n",
                    size, names[di], times[t], comps[t], assigns[t], accesses[t],
                    r.start, r.end, r.maxSum));
            fw.flush();
```

Before

Change	Reason	Impact
Introduced Map <string, int[]=""> cache</string,>	Reuses same dataset	Eliminates redundant data generation
Replaced FileWriter with BufferedWriter	Buffered writes	Major I/O speedup
Removed per-line flush()	Write aggregation	Lower latency
Averaged over multiple trials	Smooths variance	Reliable runtime results

Conclusion Table:

File	Optimization Applied	Benefit
KadanesAlgorithm.java	Loop optimization & reduced redundancy	Faster single-pass computation
PerformanceTracker.java	Buffered file output	Lower I/O overhead
BenchmarkRunner.java	Data caching, trial averaging, buffered output	Reusable datasets, smoother results

Optimization Results

Baldauren Zaman:

No.	Optimization	File / Class	Description	Expected Benefit
1	Buffered I/O instead of	cli/BenchmarkRunner.java	Replaced FileWriter with	Reduced file I/O
	direct FileWriter		BufferedWriter and	latency (up to
	flushes		delayed flush operations	80–90% faster on
			until the end of each n	large datasets).
			iteration.	
2	Batch metric updates	metrics/PerformanceTracke	Aggregated	Reduced method call
		r.java,	comparisons/updates	overhead (up to 15%
		algorithms/BoyerMooreMa	locally inside loops and	runtime
		jorityVote.java	updated the global tracker	improvement).
			once per iteration.	
3	Base array caching by	cli/BenchmarkRunner.java	Cached generated random	Consistent data input
	seed		arrays for each seed to	and ~5% faster
			reuse across trials and	setup.
			algorithms.	
4	CSV summary	cli/BenchmarkRunner.java	Computed averages,	Simplified
	aggregation		medians, and standard	performance
			deviations into	analysis.
			metrics_summary_ns.csv.	
5	Optional verification	BoyerMooreMajorityVote	Added verify flag to	Useful for fast
	flag		toggle second-pass	benchmarking on
			verification.	synthetic data.

Measured Results:

Input Size (n)	Before Avg Time (ms)	After Avg Time (ms)	Speedup (%)
100	0.042	0.040	+4.8%
1 000	0.26	0.22	+15.4%
10 000	2.68	2.30	+14.2%
100 000	28.9	24.4	+15.6%

Observation:

Most improvements are noticeable on large input sizes, where I/O latency and per-iteration metric updates dominate total runtime.

Complexity Validation:

Case	Time Complexity	Space Complexity	Comments
Original Boyer–Moore	$\Theta(n)$	O(1)	Single-pass, constant space.

Optimized Version	$\Theta(n)$	 Same asymptotic cost, but smaller constant factors.
Without Verification	$\Theta(n)$	Identical time complexity; reduced runtime by 30–40% on large inputs.

BenchmarkRunner.java (FileWriter - BufferedWriter)

Before:

After:

BoyerMooreMajorityVote.java (Batched Metric Updates)

```
for (int i = 1; i < arr.length; i++) {
    tracker.incrementArrayAccesses( n: 1);
    tracker.incrementComparisons();
    if (arr[i] == candidate) {
        count++;
        tracker.incrementUpdates();
    } else {
        count--;
        tracker.incrementUpdates();
        if (count == 0) {
            candidate = arr[i];
            count = 1;
            tracker.incrementUpdates();
        }
    }
}</pre>
```

Before:

```
long localComparisons = 0;
      long localUpdates = 0;
      int candidate = 0;
      int count = 0;
      for (int v : arr) {
          // we account 1 comparison per element
          localComparisons++;
          if (count == 0) {
              candidate = v;
              count = 1;
              localUpdates++; // candidate assic
          } else if (v == candidate) {
              count++;
              localUpdates++;
          } else {
              count--;
      tracker.addComparisons(localComparisons);
      tracker.addUpdates(<u>localUpdates</u>);
After:
```

BoyerMooreMajorityVote.java (Optional Verification)

```
for (int x : arr) {
    tracker.incrementArrayAccesses( n: 1);
    tracker.incrementComparisons();
    if (x == candidate) freq++;
}

if (freq > arr.length / 2) return candidate;
else return null;
Before:
```

```
long localArrayAccesses = 0;
long occ = 0;
for (int v : arr) {
    localArrayAccesses++;
    if (v == candidate) occ++;
}
tracker.addArrayAccesses(localArrayAccesses);

if (occ > arr.length / 2) {
    return candidate;
} else {
    return null;
}
```

After:

PerformanceTracker.java

Added new methods for metric batch updates.

After:

Conclusions

The optimized implementation of **Boyer–Moore Majority Vote** achieved measurable performance gains while maintaining the same asymptotic complexity.

Metric	Before	After	Improvement
Average runtime (100k elements)	28.9 ms	24.4 ms	+15.6%
I/O latency per trial	High	Minimal	-80%
Method call overhead	Present	Batched	-12%
Verification overhead	Optional	Configurable	User-controlled

Final remark:

After applying these optimizations, the algorithm demonstrates efficient constant factors and scalable behavior for large datasets while preserving correctness and deterministic performance.