

Congratulations! You passed!

Grade received 90% **Latest Submission Grade** 90% **To pass** 80% or higher

Go to next item

∠⁷ Expand

✓ Correct
Yes

1.	True/False: Suppose you learn a word embedding for a vocabulary of 20000 words. Then the embedding vectors could be 1000 dimensional, so as to capture the full range of variation and meaning in those words.	1/
	True	
	○ False	
	∠ ⁷ Expand	
	Correct The dimension of word vectors is usually smaller than the size of the vocabulary. Most common sizes for word vectors range between 50 and 1000.	
2.	What is t-SNE?	1/
	A supervised learning algorithm for learning word embeddings	
	A linear transformation that allows us to solve analogies on word vectors	
	A non-linear dimensionality reduction technique	
	An open-source sequence modeling library	

x (input text)	y (happy?)
I'm feeling wonderful today!	1
I'm bummed that my cat is ill.	0
Really enjoying this!	1

True/False: Then even if the word "upset" does not appear in your small training set, your RNN might reasonably be expected to recognize "I'm upset" as deserving a label y = 0.

- True
- False

∠⁷ Expand

✓ Correct

Yes, word vectors empower your model with an incredible ability to generalize. The vector for "upset" would contain a negative/unhappy connotation which will probably make your model classify the sentence as a "0".

4. Which of these equations do you think should hold for a good word embedding? (Check all that apply)

1/1 point

$$oxed{oxed} e_{boy} - e_{brother} pprox e_{sister} - e_{girl}$$

$$ightharpoons e_{boy} - e_{brother} pprox e_{girl} - e_{sister}$$

✓ Correct
Yes!

$$igspace e_{boy} - e_{girl} pprox e_{brother} - e_{sister}$$

✓ Correct
Yes!

$$oxed{e}_{boy} - e_{girl} pprox e_{sister} - e_{brother}$$

	∠ ⁷ Expand	
	✓ CorrectGreat, you got all the right answers.	
5.	Let E be an embedding matrix, and let o_{1234} be a one-hot vector corresponding to word 1234. Then to get the embedding of word 1234, why don't we call $E*o_{1234}$ in Python?	1/1 point
	None of the above: calling the Python snippet as described above is fine.	
	This doesn't handle unknown words (<unk>).</unk>	
	It is computationally wasteful.	
	\bigcirc The correct formula is E^T*o_{1234}	
	∠ [¬] Expand	
	✓ CorrectYes, the element-wise multiplication will be extremely inefficient.	
6.	When learning word embeddings, words are automatically generated along with the surrounding words.	1/1 point
	☐ True	
	False	
	∠ [¬] Expand	
	 Correct We pick a given word and try to predict its surrounding words or vice versa. 	

7. True/False: In the word2vec algorithm, you estimate P(t/c), where t is the target word and c is a context word. t

and c are chosen from the training set using c as the sequence of all the words in the sentence before t.

1/1 point

False					
☐ True					
Expand					
Correct t and c are chosen from the training set to be nearby words.					
Suppose you have a 10000 word vocabulary, and are learning 500-dimensional word embeddings. The word2vec model uses the following softmax function:					
$P(t \mid c) = rac{e^{ heta_t^T e_c}}{\sum_{t'=1}^{10000} e^{ heta_t^T e_c}}$					
Which of these statements are correct? Check all that apply.					
$m{arphi}_t$ and e_c are both 500 dimensional vectors.					
✓ Correct					
$igcup_t$ and e_c are both 10000 dimensional vectors.					
extstyle hinspace hinspacee hinspace hinspace hinspace hinspace hinspace hinspace hi					
✓ Correct					
After training, we should expect $ heta_t$ to be very close to e_c when t and c are the same word.					

∠ ⁷ Expand

8.

⊘ Correct

Great, you got all the right answers.

9. Suppose you have a 10000 word vocabulary, and are learning 500-dimensional word embeddings. The GloVe model minimizes this objective:

0 / 1 point

1/1 point

$$\min \sum_{i=1}^{10,000} \sum_{j=1}^{10,000} f(X_{ij}) (heta_i^T e_j + b_i + b_j' - log X_{ij})^2$$

True/False: θ_i and e_j should be initialized to 0 at the beginning of training.

True	
○ False	
∠ [™] Expand	
No, \$\$\theta_i\$\$ and \$\$e_j\$\$ should be initialized randomly at the beginning of training.	
10. You have trained word embeddings using a text dataset of s_1 words. You are considering using these word embeddings for a language task, for which you have a separate labeled dataset of s_2 words. Keeping in mind the using word embeddings is a form of transfer learning, under which of these circumstances would you expect the word embeddings to be helpful?	
\bigcirc $s_1 >> s_2$	
$\bigcirc \ \ s_1 << s_2$	
∠ [™] Expand	
Correct \$\$s_1\$\$ should transfer to \$\$s_2\$\$	