Evaluation form

| Expert Information |
|-----------------------|
| Date: |
| Name: |
| Email: |
| Organization: |
| Role/Position: |
| Background knowledge: |
| Years of experience: |

| Criteria | Strongly Disagree | Slightly Disagree | Neutral | Slightly Agree | Strongly Agree | Comments |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|---------|-------------------|-------------------|----------|
| Effectiveness | | | | | | |
| I think the framework is effective for designing digital nudges to change software engineers' behaviour towards DQ. | | | | | | |
| Operational Feasibility | | | | | | |
| I think the framework is feasible and practical for use in industry. | | | | | | |
| Usefulness | | | | | | |
| I think the framework is useful for designing digital nudges to change software engineers' behaviour towards DQ. | | | | | | |
| Ease of Use | | | | | | |
| I think it is easy to use and follow the framework to design digital nudges to change software engineers' behaviour towards DQ. | | | | | | |
| Understandability | | | | | | |
| I think the framework is understandable. | | | | | | |

| Completeness | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| I think the components and elements presented in the framework cover all aspects impacting/must be involved in designing digital nudges for better data quality review. | | | |
| Accuracy | | | |
| I think the components and elements presented in the framework are accurate. | | | |
| I think there is no overlap detected between the components. | | | |