Assignment 5 Due December 6, 2017 at 11 am

Part 1: Indexes /40

The last thing we'll be doing with our employee database is adding a few indexes. After adding each index, run the associated query/queries and record the performance (planning time and execution time). Also look at the explain plan of the queries. You'll probably need to rewrite the queries slightly to fit your database (if there are different columns or tables).

- Index 1: Add an index to the employee_histories table first_name and last_name fields.
- Index 2: Add an index to the employee_jobs table employee_id and job_id fields.
- Index 3: Add an index to the employees table birthdate field.

For each index, answer the following questions:

- a. Fill out the tables below describing how adding the index affected the planning and execution timings.
- b. Did adding the index change the explain plans? What changed?
- c. Was this what you expected to happen for the timing and the execution plans? What is a possible reason for this change (or lack of change)?

Index 1

| Execution Time | Without index | With index | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------|------------|--|--|--|
| Query 1 | | | | | |
| Query 2 | | | | | |

Index 2

| Execution Time | Without index | With index | |
|----------------|---------------|------------|--|
| Query 3 | | | |

Index 3

| Execution Time | Without index | With index |
|----------------|---------------|------------|
| Query 4 | _ | |

Part 2: Normalization /40

Let's pretend that the company whose employees we've been managing so far is an engineering firm. The company manages multiple projects at a time, and assigns its employees to tasks on the different projects. Only one employee can be assigned to a project task. Below is some un-normalized data used to manage projects in a company. After analyzing this sample data, structure it in 1st normal, 2nd normal, and 3rd normal form one step at a time, showing the results of each step. So you should have 3 diagram – one for your data in 1st normal, one for 2nd normal, and one for 3rd normal.

| Team | Team | Team | Project | Project Name | Project | Project | Task | Task Status |
|--------|--------|---------|---------|----------------|---------|-----------|--------|-------------|
| Member | Member | Member | Code | | Status | Manager | Number | |
| Id | First | Last | | | | | | |
| | Name | Name | | | | | | |
| 1 | John | Smith | DDL | Darren & | Active | Garth | 10 | Resolved |
| | | | | Darren Ltd | | Butler | 132 | In Progress |
| | | | | | | | 133 | Not Started |
| | | | | | | | 134 | In Progress |
| 2 | Dave | Richter | DDL | Darren & | Active | Garth | 100 | In Progress |
| | | | | Darren Ltd | | Butler | 110 | Not Started |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | KMI | Kristen Motors | Active | Jim David | 10 | Not Started |
| | | | | Inc. | | | 13 | Resolved |
| 3 | Janie | Klotter | KMI | Kristen Motors | Active | Jim David | 1 | In Progress |
| | | | | Inc. | | | 2 | Resolved |
| | | | | | | | 15 | Resolved |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Part 3: Concurrency /20

1. Scenario – Transaction A and B are being run concurrently in separate sessions.

Below is the initial state of the Accounts table before any transaction is run

| Account | Account | Account |
|---------|----------|---------|
| Number | Nickname | Balance |
| 1 | Chequing | 450 |
| 2 | Chequing | 200 |

| Transaction | Transaction D |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Transaction A | Transaction B |
| SET TRANSACTION ISOLATION LEVEL READ | SET TRANSACTION ISOLATION LEVEL READ |
| UNCOMMITTED; | UNCOMMITTED; |
| BEGIN | BEGIN |
| | |
| SELECT | |
| a.account_number, | |
| a.account_nickname, | |
| a.account_balance | |
| FROM accounts; | |
| | |
| | |
| UPDATE accounts | |
| SET account_balance = 0 | |
| WHERE account_number = 2; | |
| | |
| | |
| | SELECT |
| | a.account_number, |
| | a.account_nickname, |
| | a.account_balance |
| | FROM accounts; |
| | |
| | UPDATE accounts |
| | SET account_balance = account_balance - 100 |
| | WHERE account_number = 1; |
| | |
| | UPDATE accounts |
| | SET account_balance = account_balance + 100 |
| | WHERE account_number = 2; |
| | |
| | |
| END; | END; |
| COMMIT; | COMMIT; |
| ' <i>I</i> | ''' |

a. What would the Accounts table look like after these transactions are finished?

| Account | Account | Account |
|---------|----------|---------|
| Number | Nickname | Balance |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

- b. What type(s) of data inconsistency is caused in this case (lost update, dirty read, non-repeatable read, or phantom read)?
- 2. Transaction C and D are being run concurrently in separate sessions Below is the initial state of the Accounts table before any transaction is run:

| Account Number | Account Nickname | Account Balance |
|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | Chequing | 450 |
| 2 | Chequing | 200 |

| T c | I + .: 5 |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Transaction C | Transaction D |
| SET TRANSACTION ISOLATION LEVEL READ | SET TRANSACTION ISOLATION LEVEL READ |
| COMMITTED; | COMMITTED; |
| BEGIN | BEGIN |
| | |
| SELECT | |
| a.account_number, | |
| a.account nickname, | |
| a.account_balance | |
| FROM accounts; | |
| , | |
| | |
| | |
| | INSERT INTO accounts (account_number, |
| | account_nickname, account_balance) |
| | VALUES(3, 'Savings', 50); |
| | VALUES(S, Savings, SU), |
| | UPDATE accounts |
| | |
| | SET account_balance = 300 |
| | WHERE account_number = 1; |
| | |
| SELECT | END; |
| a.account_number, | COMMIT; |
| a.account_nickname, | |
| a.account_balance | |
| FROM accounts; | |
| | |
| | |
| END; | |
| COMMIT; | |
| <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

- a. What type(s) of data inconsistency is caused in this case (lost update, dirty read, non-repeatable read, or phantom read)?
- 3. Transaction E and F are being run concurrently in separate sessions Below is the initial state of the Accounts table before any transaction is run:

| Account Number | Account Nickname | Account Balance |
|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | Chequing | 450 |
| 2 | Chequing | 200 |

| Transaction E | Transaction F |
|---|---|
| SET TRANSACTION ISOLATION LEVEL UNCOMMITTED | SET TRANSACTION ISOLATION LEVEL UNCOMMITTED |
| READ; | READ; |
| BEGIN | |
| | BEGIN |
| SELECT | |
| a.account_number, | |
| a.account_nickname, | |
| a.account_balance | |
| FROM accounts; | |
| | |
| | |
| UPDATE accounts | |
| SET account_balance = 300 | |
| WHERE account_number = 1; | |
| | |
| | |
| | SELECT |
| | a.account_number, |
| | a.account_nickname, |
| | a.account_balance |
| | FROM accounts; |
| CELECT | |
| SELECT | |
| a.account_number, | |
| a.account_nickname, | |
| a.account_balance | |
| FROM accounts; | |
| END; | |
| ROLLBACK; | |
| ROLLDACK, | |
| | |
| | INSERT INTO accounts (account_number, |
| | account_nickname, account_balance) |
| | VALUES(3, 'Savings', 50); |
| | VILO 25(3, 50 VIII 53, 50), |
| | END; |
| | COMMIT; |
| | |

a. What type(s) of data inconsistency is caused in this case (lost update, dirty read, non-repeatable read, or phantom read)?