# 1. GMM (Gaussian Mixture Model)

#### Most suitable.

- . This is because the data exactly follows the assumption GMM makes: each class is generated from a Gaussian distribution.
- GMM models data as a mixture of Gaussians, estimating the mean and variance of each.
- · Since the diabetic and non-diabetic groups are separated (80 vs 220 mean), GMM should easily identify and separate the two distributions.
- It even works better than K-means in 1D when variances differ (20 vs 50 here).

#### 2. K-means

- · Works decently but not ideal.
- It minimizes Euclidean distance to centroids, so it assumes equal spherical variance which isn't true here (20 vs 50).
- It still classify reasonably well due to the large separation, but **boundary is suboptimal**.

### 3. DBSCAN

- Not ideal here.
- DBSCAN is designed for density-based clustering. In 1D, and especially with different variances, it's sensitive to parameters like eps and min\_samples.
- It potentially misclassify data at the boundary or treat sparse regions as noise.
- It also assumes clusters have high density regions which can be skewed with different standard deviations.

## **Conclusion:**

GMM > K-means >> DBSCAN