

Introduction

I have developed a Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) for binary image classification. The objective is to distinguish between two classes using a dataset comprising PDF resumes obtained from Kaggle. This one-page documentation summarizes the key aspects of the approach, including dataset details, model architecture, training strategy, and evaluation metrics.

Dataset Details

The dataset consists of PDF resumes sourced from Kaggle. Those pdfs are converted into png images using pdfimage library. The images were preprocessed and used for binary image classification.

Kaggle link: <https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/snehaanbhawal/resume-dataset>

Model Architecture

The CNN model follows a sequential architecture with the following key components:

- **Convolutional Layers (Conv2D):** Three convolutional layers with the 'valid' padding scheme, utilizing L2 regularization to prevent overfitting.
- **Max Pooling Layers (MaxPooling2D):** Max pooling layers applied after each convolutional layer to reduce spatial dimensions.
- **Dropout Layers:** Employed for regularization to enhance model generalization.
- **Flatten Layer:** Used to flatten the output for input into the dense layers.
- **Dense Layers:** Two dense layers follow the flattened output, with the final layer utilizing the sigmoid activation function for binary classification.

Training Strategy

1. Data Augmentation

Data augmentation techniques, including rescaling, zooming (zoom_range=0.2), and horizontal and vertical shifting (width_shift_range=0.2, height_shift_range=0.2), were applied to enhance model robustness.

2. Loss Function and Optimization

Binary cross-entropy loss function was employed, suitable for binary classification tasks. The Adam optimizer was chosen for efficient weight updates during training.

3. Regularization

L2 regularization was incorporated into the convolutional layers to mitigate overfitting.

4. Early Stopping

Callbacks for early stopping were implemented to halt training when the model's performance on the validation set ceased to improve, preventing overfitting and reducing training time.

5. Evaluation Metrics

The model achieved a test accuracy of **73%** and a train accuracy of **89%** after approximately **4 hours of training**. The confusion matrix, classification report were employed for detailed performance analysis.

Conclusion

The documented approach reflects a thoughtful consideration of dataset properties, model design, and training strategy, leading to a model capable of effectively classifying binary images. The achieved accuracy metrics indicate a balance between model performance and generalization.