

Homework #1 - Due date: 18th October 2019

Student: Oriol Barbany Mayor

Solution 1: Bases and Matrix Representation of Linear Operators**Part (a)**

- (i) In order to have $\text{span}(\{\psi_0(t)\}) = \text{span}(\{\varphi_0(t)\})$, we need $\psi_0(t)$ to be a constant, say $\psi_0(t) = c$ with $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, and we want $\psi_0(t)$ to have unit norm.

$$\|\psi_0(t)\| := \sqrt{\langle \psi_0(t), \psi_0(t) \rangle} = \sqrt{\int_{-1}^1 c^2 dt} = \sqrt{[c^2 t]_{-1}^1} = c\sqrt{2} = 1 \iff c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (1)$$

- (ii) Let's check that $\varphi_1(t) \perp \psi_0(t)$:

$$\langle \varphi_1(t), \psi_0(t) \rangle = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} t dt = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\frac{t^2}{2} \right]_{-1}^1 = 0 \quad (2)$$

Now, let $\psi_1(t) = b\varphi_1(t)$ for $b \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\psi_1(t)$ has unit norm.

$$\|\psi_1(t)\| := \sqrt{\langle \psi_1(t), \psi_1(t) \rangle} = \sqrt{\int_{-1}^1 b^2 t^2 dt} = \sqrt{\left[b^2 \frac{t^3}{3} \right]_{-1}^1} = b\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} = 1 \iff b = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \quad (3)$$

- (iii) Finally, let $\psi_2(t) = at^2 + bt + c$ for $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a \neq 0$ in order to span all the polynomials up to order 2. We need $\psi_2(t) \perp \psi_0(t)$ and $\psi_2(t) \perp \psi_1(t)$ in order to form a bases:

$$\langle \psi_2(t), \psi_0(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{-1}^1 at^2 + bt + c dt = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[a \frac{t^3}{3} + b \frac{t^2}{2} + ct \right]_{-1}^1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(a \frac{2}{3} + 2c \right) = 0 \iff c = \frac{-a}{3} \quad (4)$$

$$\langle \psi_2(t), \psi_1(t) \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \int_{-1}^1 at^3 + bt^2 + ctdt = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \left[a \frac{t^4}{4} + b \frac{t^3}{3} + c \frac{t^2}{2} \right]_{-1}^1 = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} b \frac{2}{3} = 0 \iff b = 0 \quad (5)$$

Finally we want an orthonormal bases so we need $\|\psi_2(t)\| = 1$. Applying the results in (4), (5), we get:

$$\|\psi_2(t)\| := \sqrt{\langle \psi_2(t), \psi_2(t) \rangle} = \sqrt{\int_{-1}^1 \left(at^2 - \frac{a}{3} \right)^2 dt} = \sqrt{\left[\frac{a^2 t^5}{5} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{a^2 t^3}{3} + \frac{a^2 t}{9} \right]_{-1}^1} \quad (6)$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{a^2 8}{45}} = 1 \iff a = \frac{3\sqrt{10}}{4} \quad (7)$$

So $\Psi = \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}t, \frac{3\sqrt{10}}{4}t^2 - \frac{\sqrt{10}}{4} \right\}$ is an orthonormal bases for H .

Part (b)

- (iv) Let $\Gamma_\Phi : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be the matrix that performs differentiation on the expansion coefficients of Φ . Then, as we are using the usual base for polynomials, we know that $\frac{d}{dt}\Phi = \{0, 1, 2t\}$, and thus

$$\Gamma_\Phi = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (8)$$

- (v) (a) Let $A : H \rightarrow H$ be the differentiation operator,

$$\Gamma_\Psi = \Psi^* A \Psi = \begin{bmatrix} \langle A\psi_0, \psi_0 \rangle & \langle A\psi_1, \psi_0 \rangle & \langle A\psi_2, \psi_0 \rangle \\ \langle A\psi_0, \psi_1 \rangle & \langle A\psi_1, \psi_1 \rangle & \langle A\psi_2, \psi_1 \rangle \\ \langle A\psi_0, \psi_2 \rangle & \langle A\psi_1, \psi_2 \rangle & \langle A\psi_2, \psi_2 \rangle \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle \frac{d}{dt}\psi_0, \psi_0 \rangle & \langle \frac{d}{dt}\psi_1, \psi_0 \rangle & \langle \frac{d}{dt}\psi_2, \psi_0 \rangle \\ \langle \frac{d}{dt}\psi_0, \psi_1 \rangle & \langle \frac{d}{dt}\psi_1, \psi_1 \rangle & \langle \frac{d}{dt}\psi_2, \psi_1 \rangle \\ \langle \frac{d}{dt}\psi_0, \psi_2 \rangle & \langle \frac{d}{dt}\psi_1, \psi_2 \rangle & \langle \frac{d}{dt}\psi_2, \psi_2 \rangle \end{bmatrix} \quad (9)$$

since Ψ defines an orthonormal bases.

- (b) Numerically, we have that

$$\Gamma_\Psi = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \rangle & \langle \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \rangle & \langle \frac{3\sqrt{10}}{2}t, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \rangle \\ \langle 0, \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}t \rangle & \langle \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}, \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}t \rangle & \langle \frac{3\sqrt{10}}{2}t, \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}t \rangle \\ \langle 0, \frac{3\sqrt{10}}{4}t^2 - \frac{\sqrt{10}}{4} \rangle & \langle \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}, \frac{3\sqrt{10}}{4}t^2 - \frac{\sqrt{10}}{4} \rangle & \langle \frac{3\sqrt{10}}{2}t, \frac{3\sqrt{10}}{4}t^2 - \frac{\sqrt{10}}{4} \rangle \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{15} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (10)$$

Solution 2: Norms of Oblique Projections

- (i)

Fact 1. If $\Pi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is an orthogonal projection in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} ,

$$\|\Pi x\| \leq \|x\|, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{H} \quad (11)$$

Using Fact 1, we have that,

$$\|\Pi\| := \max_{x: \|x\|=1} \|\Pi x\| \leq \max_{x: \|x\|=1} \|x\| = 1 \quad (12)$$

Π is an orthogonal projection iff $\Pi\Pi = \Pi$ and $\Pi^T = \Pi$. Mixing both properties, we have that $\Pi^T\Pi = \Pi\Pi = \Pi$. Let's prove that $I - \Pi$ is also an orthogonal projection

$$(I - \Pi)^T = I^T - \Pi^T = I - \Pi \quad (13)$$

$$(I - \Pi)(I - \Pi) = II - \Pi I - I\Pi + \Pi\Pi = I - 2\Pi + \Pi = I - \Pi \quad (14)$$

By idempotence (so this also holds for oblique projections) and definition of the spectral norm,

$$\|\Pi x\| = \|\Pi(\Pi x)\| \leq \|\Pi\| \|\Pi x\| \iff \|\Pi\| \geq 1 \quad (15)$$

So putting together (12), (15) we get that $\|\Pi\| = 1$. Moreover, since $(I - \Pi)$ is also an orthogonal projection as proved before,

$$\|\Pi\| = \|I - \Pi\| = 1 \quad (16)$$

(ii)

$$\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 := \langle Pu, Pu \rangle + \langle (I - P)u, (I - P)u \rangle \quad (17)$$

$$= \langle Pu, Pu \rangle + \langle u, (I - P)u \rangle - \langle Pu, (I - P)u \rangle \quad (18)$$

$$= \langle Pu, Pu \rangle + \langle (I - P)u, u \rangle^* - \langle (I - P)u, Pu \rangle^* \quad (19)$$

$$= \langle Pu, Pu \rangle^* + \langle u, u \rangle^* - \langle Pu, u \rangle^* - \langle u, Pu \rangle^* + \langle Pu, Pu \rangle^* \quad (20)$$

where I only used fundamental properties of the inner product and in the last equality, I use the fact that a norm is real (so $\|x\|^2 = \langle x, x \rangle = \langle x, x \rangle^*$).

By rearranging terms in (20), we get that

$$\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 = \|u\| - (\langle Pu, u \rangle^* - \langle Pu, Pu \rangle^*) - (\langle u, Pu \rangle^* - \langle Pu, Pu \rangle^*) \quad (21)$$

$$= \|u\| - (\langle u, Pu \rangle - \langle Pu, Pu \rangle) - \langle (I - P)u, Pu \rangle^* \quad (22)$$

$$= \|u\| - \langle (I - P)u, Pu \rangle - \langle Pu, (I - P)u \rangle \quad (23)$$

$$= \|u\| - (\langle Pu, (I - P)u \rangle^* + \langle Pu, (I - P)u \rangle) \quad (24)$$

$$:= \|u\| - 2\operatorname{Re}\langle x, y \rangle \quad (25)$$

(iii) In the case that $x = 0$,

$$\|Pu\| := \|x\| = 0 \leq \|I - P\| \quad (26)$$

which follows from non-negativity of norms.

Let $P' := I - P$. We have that

$$P'^2 = (I - P)(I - P) = I - P - P + P^2 = I - P := P' \quad (27)$$

so P' is an oblique projection.

If $y := (I - P)u = 0$, we have that $u = Pu$, and hence

$$\|Pu\| = \|u\| = 1 \leq \|I - P\| \quad (28)$$

where the inequality holds since the norm of any projection is greater or equal than one as proved in (15).

(iv)

$$\|w\|^2 := \|\tilde{x} + \tilde{y}\|^2 := \langle \tilde{x} + \tilde{y}, \tilde{x} + \tilde{y} \rangle = \langle \tilde{x}, \tilde{x} + \tilde{y} \rangle + \langle \tilde{y}, \tilde{x} + \tilde{y} \rangle \quad (29)$$

$$= \langle \tilde{x}, \tilde{x} \rangle^* + \langle \tilde{y}, \tilde{x} \rangle^* + \langle \tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \rangle^* + \langle \tilde{y}, \tilde{y} \rangle^* = \|y\|^2 + \langle y, x \rangle^* + \langle x, y \rangle^* + \|x\|^2 \quad (30)$$

$$= \|y\|^2 + \langle x, y \rangle + \langle x, y \rangle^* + \|x\|^2 = \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle x, y \rangle \quad (31)$$

$$= \|u\|^2 \quad (32)$$

where the last step follows from (25). Moreover, since $\|u\| = 1$ by construction, $\|w\| = 1$.

(v)

$$(I - P)w := (I - P)\frac{\|y\|}{\|x\|}x + (I - P)\frac{\|x\|}{\|y\|}y \quad (33)$$

$$= \frac{\|y\|}{\|x\|}x - \frac{\|y\|}{\|x\|}Px + \frac{\|x\|}{\|y\|}y - \frac{\|x\|}{\|y\|}Py \quad (P^2 = P \Rightarrow Px := PPu = Pu := x) \quad (34)$$

$$= \frac{\|x\|}{\|y\|}y - \frac{\|x\|}{\|y\|}Py := \frac{\|x\|}{\|y\|}(I - P)u - \frac{\|x\|}{\|y\|}P(I - P)u \quad (35)$$

$$= \frac{\|x\|}{\|y\|}u - \frac{\|x\|}{\|y\|}Pu - \frac{\|x\|}{\|y\|}Pu + \frac{\|x\|}{\|y\|}Pu \quad (P^2 = P) \quad (36)$$

$$= \frac{\|x\|}{\|y\|}(I - P)u := \frac{\|x\|}{\|y\|}y \quad (37)$$

So using (37), we have that

$$\|(I - P)w\| = \frac{\|x\|}{\|y\|} \|y\| = \|x\| := \|Pu\| \quad (38)$$

So taking equations (32), (38) and by definition of the spectral norm,

$$\|Pu\| = \|(I - P)w\| \leq \|I - P\| \|w\| = \|I - P\| \quad (39)$$

Applying again the definition of spectral norm and given that $\|u\| = 1$,

$$\|I - P\| \geq \|Pu\| \geq \|P\| \|u\| = \|P\| \quad (40)$$

which concludes the proof.

- (vi) Take $P' := I - P$ as in (27), where it was proved that P' is an oblique projection so (40) holds and we have that

$$\|I - P\| := \|P'\| \leq \|I - P'\| := \|I - (I - P)\| = \|P\| \quad (41)$$

By mixing (40) and (41), we have that $\|I - P\| = \|P\|$.

Solution 3: DFT Matrix

1. These matrices have coefficients

$$A_{k,n} = e^{-j\frac{2\pi kn}{N}} \quad ; \quad B_{n,k} = \frac{1}{N} e^{j\frac{2\pi kn}{N}} \quad (42)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ \vdots \\ X_N \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} & & \\ & A & \\ & & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} & & \\ & B & \\ & & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ \vdots \\ X_N \end{bmatrix} \quad (43)$$

with $n, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$.

2. Note that equality (b) is trivial to prove, since the coefficients of the matrices A and B as expressed in (42) satisfy $A_{k,n} = NB_{n,k}^*$, so $A = NB^*$.

If equality (a) is satisfied, then $AB = BA = I_{N \times N}$. Let's start by proving that A is a left-inverse of B .

$$(AB)_{i,j} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} e^{-j\frac{2\pi il}{N}} e^{j\frac{2\pi lj}{N}} \quad (44)$$

So here we can distinguish two cases. On the one hand, if $i = j$, sum simplifies to

$$(AB)_{i,j} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} 1 = 1 \quad (45)$$

On the other hand, if $i \neq j$,

$$(AB)_{i,j} = \frac{1 - (e^{j\frac{2\pi N}{N}})^{j-i}}{1 - e^{j\frac{2\pi(j-i)}{N}}} = \frac{1 - 1^{j-i}}{1 - e^{j\frac{2\pi(j-i)}{N}}} = 0 \quad (46)$$

so A is a left-inverse of B . Now, let's see if it's actually a proper inverse.

$$(BA)_{i,j} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} e^{-j\frac{2\pi lj}{N}} e^{j\frac{2\pi il}{N}} = (AB)_{j,i} = \mathbb{1}_{\{i=j\}} \quad (47)$$

and hence we can conclude that $A = B^{-1}$.

3. Let $b_k(n) := \frac{1}{N} e^{j \frac{2\pi k n}{N}}$. If $b_k := [b_k(0), \dots, b_k(N-1)]^T$ is an eigenvector of C , then $Cb_k = \lambda_k b_k$.

Let \mathcal{A}_k be the sequence resulting of applying the Inverse Discrete Fourier Transform to the sequence α_n for $k, n \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$.

$$(Cb_k)_0 = \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} b_k(l) \alpha_l = \alpha_0 b_k(0) + \alpha_1 b_k(1) + \dots \quad (48)$$

$$:= \mathcal{A}_k = N \mathcal{A}_k b_k(0) \quad (49)$$

so it seems $\lambda_k = N \mathcal{A}_k$.

Note that $b_k(n+1) = b_k(n) e^{j \frac{2\pi k}{N}}$ and that $b_k(N) := \frac{1}{N} e^{j 2\pi k} = \frac{1}{N} = b_k(0)$.

$$(Cb_k)_1 = \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} b_k(l) \alpha_{(1-l) \bmod N} = \alpha_{N-1} b_k(0) + \alpha_0 b_k(1) + \alpha_1 b_k(2) + \dots \quad (50)$$

$$= \alpha_{N-1} b_k(0) + e^{j \frac{2\pi k}{N}} (\alpha_0 b_k(0) + \alpha_1 b_k(1) + \dots) \quad (51)$$

$$= \alpha_{N-1} b_k(0) + e^{j \frac{2\pi k}{N}} N \mathcal{A}_k b_k(0) - e^{j \frac{2\pi k}{N}} \alpha_{N-1} b(N-1) = \alpha_{N-1} b_k(0) + N \mathcal{A}_k b(1) - \alpha_{N-1} b(N) \quad (52)$$

$$= N \mathcal{A}_k b(1) \quad (53)$$

The general form of $(Cb_k)_i$ is

$$(Cb_k)_i = \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} b_k(l) \alpha_{(i-l) \bmod N} \quad (54)$$

Let's finish the proof by induction on i . We can do so since by the circular property of the matrix, we can express $(Cb_k)_{i+1}$ in terms of $(Cb_k)_i$.

I already proved the base cases $i = 0, 1$ before. Now suppose by induction hypothesis that $(Cb_k)_i = N \mathcal{A}_k b_k(i)$ holds for the i -th coefficient and let $\beta = (i+1) \bmod N = 0$. Note that the sequence $\alpha_{(i+1-l) \bmod N}$ is $\alpha_\beta, \alpha_{\beta+1}, \dots, \alpha_{\beta+N-1}$ and the sequence $\alpha_{(i-l) \bmod N}$ is $\alpha_{\beta+1}, \alpha_{\beta+2}, \dots, \alpha_{\beta+N-1}, \alpha_\beta$.

$$(Cb_k)_{i+1} = \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} b_k(l) \alpha_{(i+1-l) \bmod N} \quad (55)$$

$$= \alpha_\beta b_k(0) + \alpha_{\beta+1} b_k(1) + \alpha_{\beta+2} b_k(2) + \dots \quad (56)$$

$$= \alpha_\beta b_k(0) + e^{j \frac{2\pi k}{N}} (\alpha_{\beta+1} b_k(0) + \alpha_{\beta+2} b_k(1) + \dots) \quad (57)$$

$$= \alpha_\beta b_k(0) + e^{j \frac{2\pi k}{N}} N \mathcal{A}_k b_k(i) - e^{j \frac{2\pi k}{N}} \alpha_\beta b(N-1) \quad \text{By induction hypothesis} \quad (58)$$

$$= \alpha_\beta b_k(0) + e^{j \frac{2\pi k}{N}} N \mathcal{A}_k b_k(i) - \alpha_\beta b(N) \quad (59)$$

$$= N \mathcal{A}_k b_k(i) e^{j \frac{2\pi k}{N}} = N \mathcal{A}_k b_k(i+1) \quad (60)$$

4. By the findings of the previous section, we know that

$$CB = C \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ b_0 & \dots & b_{N-1} \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix} = N \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ \mathcal{A}_0 b_0 & \dots & \mathcal{A}_{N-1} b_{N-1} \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix} \quad (61)$$

Since $A = B^{-1}$, $AB = I$ so

$$ACB = N \text{diag}(\mathcal{A}) = N \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}_0 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \mathcal{A}_{N-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad (62)$$

5. Given that we can run **FFT** in $\mathcal{O}(n \log_2 n)$, using the analytical result of the operation ACB obtained in (62) is computationally much cheaper than computing the direct matrix product in case of not having a circular matrix. In this case, we would require $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ operations (or in the best case $\mathcal{O}(n^{2.373})$, which is the asymptotically fastest known algorithm to perform $n \times n$ matrix multiplication).

Numerically, for $N = 1024$, which is a common number of DFT coefficients (power of 2 so **fft** performs better) the comparison is shown in Table 1.

Algorithm	Asymptotic number of operations
FFT (C circulant)	10,240
Matrix multiplication	1,073,741,824
Efficient matrix multiplication	$\sim 13,913,673$

Table 1: Comparison with non-circulant C