

AME, **E23**

Assignment 2

High-dimensional Linear Models and Convergence in Economic Growth

November 2023

Division of responsibilities:

xx: Anna Kathrine Deding Nielsen

xx: Barbara Bille Tagmose

xx: Martin Per Erik Kihlstedt

Contents

| 1 | Introduction | 1 | | | |
|------------|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| 2 | 2 Econometric theory | | | | |
| | 2.1 Sparsity | 2 | | | |
| | 2.2 Lasso | 2 | | | |
| | 2.2.1 Post-Double Lasso | 3 | | | |
| | 2.2.2 Choosing the hyperparameter | 3 | | | |
| 3 Analysis | | | | | |
| | 3.1 Variable selection | 4 | | | |
| | 3.2 Results | 5 | | | |
| 4 | Discussion and concluding remarks | 5 | | | |

1 Introduction

In this paper we examine the economic theory of convergence. The theory states, that countries starting out relatively poor will have a faster growth rate in GDP. As in Barro (1991) we examine this through the equation stated in (1).

$$g_i = \beta y_{i0} + \mathbf{z}_i \boldsymbol{\gamma} + u_i \tag{1}$$

Where the average annual growth rate of GDP per capita in country i (in 1970-2020) is denoted g_i , the log of initial GDP per capita (in 1970), y_{i0} and a vector of control variables, \mathbf{z}_i . The unobservable error term is denoted u_i . If the theory of convergence is correct, we would expect a negative coefficient of β .

We examine the validity of the theory of convergence by estimating a Lasso of the model. First, we present the econometric theory behind this method, and then our estimation results. We find a statistically significant negative coefficient of β , which backs up the theory. Lastly, we discuss our findings. These should be seen in the light of possible bias, stemming from exclusion of relevant observations and/or controls.

2 Econometric theory

We use a dataset containing data on 214 countries, with a long list of variables. Considering the upper bound of the sample size, n, and the possible number of explanatory variables, p, we encounter a high dimensional framework. In this section we'll refer to the vector of the model (1) parameters as $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \{\beta, \gamma\}$ and explanatory variables as $\boldsymbol{X_i} = \{y_{i0}, \boldsymbol{z_i}\}$. If we estimate the model using OLS, we obtain a measurement error as presented in (2) (Riis-Vestergaard Sørensen, 2023a).

$$E\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_{i}'\hat{\theta}-X_{i}'\theta)^{2}\right] = \frac{\sigma^{2}p}{n}$$
(2)

¹The last equality demands the assumptions of full rank, u being independent of y and z, and $u \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$.

With a sizeable $p, \frac{p}{n} \not\to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Because of this, the OLS estimator makes a poor predictor in high dimensions and, in this case, thus of g_i and we must consider estimating otherwise.

2.1 Sparsity

We can work around the complications above, by assuming that the number of non-zero parameters in θ is *sparse*. Ideally, sparsity reduces the number of explanatory variables, so that only those relevant, (s), are maintained. Reducing p to s allows us to overcome the issue of $\frac{p}{n} \not\to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, as long as $\frac{s}{n} \approx 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Exact sparsity is defined as in (3).

$$s = \sum_{j=0}^{p} \mathbf{1} \{ \theta_j \neq 0 \} \text{ is small}$$
 (3)

Approximate sparsity, on the other hand, is that most parameters approximately equal to zero, but a few parameters far from. This is generally the assumption made in applied settings.

2.2 Lasso

If we make the assumption of sparsity we must then determine the relevant variables. This can be done using the Lasso estimator, which does not only estimate θ , but also performs variable selection. The estimator is defined as in (4) (Hastie et al., 2017).

$$\hat{\theta}(\lambda) \in \underset{b \in R^p}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (g_i - X_i' b)^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^p |b_j| \right\}$$
 (4)

Where $\lambda \geq 0$ is a *penalty level* to be selected. We may note that the left term corresponds to the MSE. The right term, λ multiplied with the L1-norm of b, when minimized over b, will move less relevant coefficients to zero. Thus, in (4), θ will be estimated by balancing simplicity, i.e., removing irrelevant variables based on our λ , and model fit.

For consistency, Lasso relies first on the condition that $\mathbf{X}'u/n$, must be "small". This condition is fulfilled by choosing the appropriate hyperparameter λ , which will be discussed in section 2.2.2. Second, $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}/n$ should be well behaved, i.e., invertible. However, the

assumption of sparsity ensures that condition is met as long as the submatrix of relevant regressors $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{j}}'\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{j}}/n$ is invertible. So even if $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}/n$ is singular, the condition may still be met depending on the choice of $X_{\mathbf{j}}$'s.

2.2.1 Post-Double Lasso

It is usually a challenge to do inference based on Lasso estimates. This is so since we are lacking many of the traditional features for constructing confidence intervals. The problem can be dealt with b by using the Post-Double Lasso (PDL). Estimation with PDL is done in a few steps (Riis-Vestergaard Sørensen, 2023b). We seek to estimate how initial GDP per capita, y_{i0} , affects the growth rate, g_i , controlling for a variety of characteristics, z_i . First we run $y_{i0} = z'_i \hat{\psi} + v$ to estimate $\hat{\psi}$ (with E[v|Z] = 0) using Lasso. We then run $g_i = \hat{\beta}y_{i0} + z'_i \hat{\gamma} + u_i$, (with $E[u|y_0, z] = 0$). We then estimate $\check{\beta}$ as in (5).

$$\check{\beta} := \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i0} - z_i' \hat{\psi})(g_i - z_i' \hat{\gamma})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i0} - z_i' \hat{\psi}) y_{i0}}$$
(5)

We note that this approach mimics that of an IV estimation, hence accounting for some correlation in X_i . (5) in effect corresponds to estimating the impact of y_{i0} on g_i (i.e., $\check{\beta}$). Thus, assuming sparsity and regularity, PDL will satisfy $\frac{\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}-\beta_0)}{\sigma_0} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ as $n \to \infty$ even in a high dimensional scenario. We estimate the variance by $\check{\sigma}^2 := \frac{n^{-1} \cdot \sum_i \hat{u}_{ii}^2 v_i^2}{(n^{-1} \sum_i v_i^2)^2}$ and are able to do inference and construct confidence intervals.

2.2.2 Choosing the hyperparameter

When estimating using the Lasso, we need to chose the optimal level of penalization, and hence the hyperparameter, λ . There are several ways to determine this. We resort to using the (BCCH)-rule defined by Belloni, Chen, Chernozhukov, and Hansen (2012). In order to estimate λ^{BCCH} we choose the significance level $\alpha = 0.05$ and set c = 1.1. First, we estimate a pilot hyperparameter, λ^{pilot} as in (6).

$$\lambda^{\text{pilot}} = \frac{2c}{\sqrt{n}} \Phi^{-1} (1 - \frac{\alpha}{2p}) \max_{1 \le j \le p} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (g_i - \bar{g})^2 X_{ij}^2}$$
 (6)

Using λ^{pilot} , we run a pilot Lasso, and obtain the residuals $\hat{u}_i = Y_i - X_i'\hat{\beta}^{\text{pilot}}$. These are now used in the estimation of the final hyperparameter, λ^{BCCH} , as in (7).

$$\lambda^{\text{BCCH}} = \frac{2c}{\sqrt{n}} \Phi^{-1} (1 - \frac{\alpha}{2p}) \max_{1 \le j \le p} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{u}_{i}^{2} X_{ij}^{2}}$$
 (7)

Compared to methods like sample splitting and cross-validation, which are good for out-of-sample prediction, this rule is better when we want to deal with variable selection and model parameters. Additionally, with this rule, we do not need to know the variance of u_i or assume homoskedastic of u_i , as is the case with the BRT rule. The last is favorable, since it is hard to imagine the variance of i.e. initial GDP being the constant across countries.

3 Analysis

In this section we present our process of selecting relevant variables for our study. After this, we present the estimation of the coefficient of the growth rate of GDP per capita, β using the empirical framework presented in section 2. We are testing the null-hyphotesis of $\mathcal{H}_0: \beta \geq 0$ against the alternative, $\mathcal{H}_A: \beta < 0$. A rejection of \mathcal{H}_0 supports the theory of convergence.

3.1 Variable selection

In selecting relevant variables for our estimation of model (1), we face a trade-off. On the one hand, we wish to include as many relevant explanatory variables as possible. The variables contain less data for some countries, so some observations would have to be excluded if more variables are used. On the other hand, we wish to have as many countries included in the analysis as possible, for the aim of inference.

Other papers have found, that i.e. institutions, democracy and genetic diversity play a role in determining economic performance (Acemoglu et al., 2001; Acemoglu et al., 2019; Ashraf & Galor, 2013). We take this into account by including variables that measure the strength of these factors. The 48 variables for 75 countries included in our analysis are presented in Table 2. The variables in z_i are cubed and interacted, in order to take correct specification into account. As a result of this, we end up with 18,871 control variables in total. The 75

countries are presented in Table 3.

3.2 Results

In Table 1 our estimated coefficient on initial GDP is presented. The coefficient, β is -0.16, implying that an increase in initial GDP (in 1970) at 1 pct. results in a -0.16 pct. point decrease in the annual growth in GDP per capita. As seen, this is significant at a 95 pct. level. This result backs up the theory of convergence.

4 Discussion and concluding remarks

Our result should be viewed in the light of the variables and observations that we included. As mentioned in section 3.1, we faced a trade-off regarding a large feature matrix and a large sample-size. Because of this, we ended up only including 75 countries in our estimations. If the observations that we end up excluding have certain systematic attributes, our conclusion in favour of the theory of convergence could be biased. Also, we must consider the possibility of us having excluded some relevant variables in the selection process. This can result in omitted variable bias, where some excluded variables actually have power in predicting the growth rate in GDP, g_i . However this should be less severe than estimating with Post Single Lasso (PSL), due to the IV nature of the PDL. However the PDL only allows us to estimate a single parameter, whereas PSL allows us to interpret the roles of the controls as well.

Our focus on democratic and institutional factors could be misleading since it seems reasonable to assume that the interplay of a range factors create differing conditions for growth across countries. Correspondingly, this poses a problem to our consistency assumption for Lasso, since it might simply not be the case that $\mathbf{X}'u/n$ is small.

However it is also conceivable that our analysis has taken too many factors into account. It is worth keeping in mind that no countries have identical conditions for economic growth, making it difficult to isolate the effect of initial GDP in a reliable manner. A potential way to mitigate these issues would be to e.g., perform multiple analyses, comparing our results to groupings of fewer countries with as similar conditions for growth as possible. Such an analysis could at least provide complementary insight on the reliability of our results.

Tables and Graphs

Table 1: Estimation result

| β | -0.166 |
|-----------------------------|------------------|
| | (-0.196, -0.135) |
| Polynomial degrees | 3 |
| Number of observations | 75 |
| Number of control variables | 47 (18,871) |

Note: $\lambda=1.53$ is calculated according to the BCCH-rule. Number of controls incl. third-order polynomials and interactions in parenthesis.

Table 2: Selected variables

| Variable name | Label | Source |
|------------------------|--|--------|
| ls_bl | Percentage of population with at most secondar | ANRR |
| lh_bl | Percentage of population with tertiary educati | ANRR |
| demCGV | Democracy measure by CGV | ANRR |
| demBMR | Democracy measure by BMR | ANRR |
| dem | Democracy measure by ANRR | ANRR |
| demreg | Average democracy in the region *initial regime | ANRR |
| distcr | mean distance to coast or river | ANRR |
| distc | mean distance to coast | ANRR |
| distr | mean distance to river | ANRR |
| tropicar | % land area in geographical tropics | ANRR |
| africa | dummy=1 for Africa | AR |
| asia | dummy=1 for Asia | AR |
| landlock | =1 if landlocked | AR |
| goldm | Natural minerals: gold | AR |
| iron | Natural mineral: iron | AR |
| silv | Natural mineral: silver | AR |
| zinc | Natural mineral: zinc | AR |
| oilres | oil reserves | AR |
| yellow | =1 if vector yellow fever present today | AR |
| | | |

.

| pop1000 | Population in 1000 CE | QG |
|--------------------|---|----|
| pop1500 | Population in 1500 CE | QG |
| pd1000 | Population density in 1000 CE | QG |
| pd1500 | Population density in 1500 CE | QG |
| pdiv | Predicted genetic diversity | QG |
| pdiv_aa | Predicted genetic diversity (ancestry adjusted) | QG |
| cenlong | Geodesic centroid longitude | QG |
| area | Total land area | |
| area_ar | Arable land area | QG |
| abslat | Absolute latitude | QG |
| suitavg | Land suitability for agriculture | QG |
| suitgini | Land suitability Gini | QG |
| elevavg | Mean elevation | QG |
| elevstd | Standard deviation of elevation | QG |
| rough | Terrain roughness | QG |
| temp | Temperature | QG |
| precip | Precipitation | QG |
| dister | Mean distance to nearest waterway | QG |
| kgatr | Percentage of population living in tropical zones | QG |
| malfal | Percentage of population at risk of contractin | QG |
| pprotest | Share of Protestants in the population | QG |
| pcatholic | Share of Roman Catholics in the population | QG |
| pmuslim | Share of Muslims in the population | QG |
| uvdamage | Ultraviolet exposure | QG |
| africa | Africa dummy | QG |
| asia | Asia dummy | QG |
| oceania | Oceania dummy | QG |
| americas | Americas dummy | QG |
| \ln_{yst} | Log [Neolithic transition timing] | QG |
| $investment_rate$ | Capital formation (% of GDP per year, avg. of \dots | WB |
| $lgdp_initial$ | GDP per capita in 1970 (log) | WB |
| pop_growth | Annual growth in population, 1970-2020 | WB |

Note: The table presents the dependent variable, g_i , the independent, y_{i0} and the 47 controls. WB: World Bank, ANRR: Acemoglu et al. (2019), AR: Assenova and Regele (2017).

Table 3: Country codes for included obs.

| ARG | AUS | AUT | BDI | BEL |
|----------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| BEN | BOL | BRA | BWA | CAF |
| CHL | CHN | CIV | CMR | COG |
| COL | CRI | DEU | DNK | DOM |
| DZA | ECU | EGY | ESP | FRA |
| GAB | GBR | GHA | GMB | GRC |
| GTM | HND | HTI | IDN | IND |
| IRL | IRN | IRQ | ITA | KEN |
| KOR | LKA | LSO | MAR | MEX |
| MLI | MMR | MRT | MYS | NER |
| NIC | NLD | NOR | NPL | PAK |
| PAN | PER | PHL | PRT | PRY |
| RWA | SAU | SDN | SEN | SLE |
| SLV | SWE | TGO | THA | TUN |
| TUR | USA | ZAF | ZMB | ZWE |

Literature

Acemoglu D., Johnson S., and Robinson J. (2001). The colonial origins of comparative development: An empirical investigation. American economic review, 91(5):1369–1401.

Acemoglu D., Naidu S., Restrepo P., and Robinson J. (2019). Democracy does cause growth. Journal of political economy, 127(1):47–100.

Ashraf Q. and Galor O. (2013). The out of africa hypothesis, human genetic diversity, and comparative economic development. American Economic Review, 103(1):1–46.

Assenova V. & Regele M. (2017). Revisiting the effect of colonial institutions on comparative economic development. PloS one, 12(5):e0177100.

Barro, Robert J (1991). Economic growth in a cross section of countries. The quarterly journal of economics, 106(2):407–443, 1991.

Belloni, A., Chen, D., Chernozhukov, V., & Hansen, C. (2012). Sparse models and methods for optimal instruments with an application to eminent domain. Econometrica, 80(6), 2369–2429.

Hastie, T., Tibshirani, R., & Friedman, J. (2017). The Elements of Statistical Learning: Data Mining, Inference, and Prediction. CRC press.

Riis-Vestergaard Sørensen, J. (2023a). Linear model in high dimensions, 1: Introduction and implementation. University Lecture, UCPH

Riis-Vestergaard Sørensen, J. (2023b). Linear model in high dimensions, 2: Estimation and Inference. University Lecture, UCPH