Continuous Regularization Methods

Aditya Ganeshan

Indian Institute of Technology, Roorkee

December 13, 2016



Table of Content

A-Priori Parameter Choice Rules

Introduction
Theorems
Examples

Saturation and Converse Results Introduction

The Discrepancy Principle Introduction Theorems



A-Priori Parameter Choice Rules

We will consider the class of linear regularization methods based on spectral theory for self-adjoint operators.

Let $\{E_{\lambda}\}$ be the spectral family of T^*T . If T^*T is continuously invertible, then the best approximate solution, $x^{\dagger} = T^{\dagger}y$ can be written as

$$x^{\dagger} = \int \frac{1}{\lambda} dE_{\lambda} T^* y \tag{1}$$

A-Priori Parameter Choice Rules

We will consider the class of linear regularization methods based on spectral theory for self-adjoint operators.

Let $\{E_{\lambda}\}$ be the spectral family of T^*T . If T^*T is continuously invertible, then the best approximate solution, $x^{\dagger} = T^{\dagger}y$ can be written as

$$x^{\dagger} = \int \frac{1}{\lambda} dE_{\lambda} T^* y \tag{1}$$

Now, if Tx = y is ill-posed, then the integral does not exist, since the integrand $1/\lambda$ has a pole in 0, which belongs to the spectrum of T^*T .

Now, for regularization we replace the integrand $1/\lambda$ by a parameter-dependent family of functions $g_{\alpha}(\lambda)$ which are

- at least piece wise continuous on $[0, ||T||^2]$.
- continuous for the right in points of discontinuity

Now, if Tx = y is ill-posed, then the integral does not exist, since the integrand $1/\lambda$ has a pole in 0, which belongs to the spectrum of T^*T .

Now, for regularization we replace the integrand $1/\lambda$ by a parameter-dependent family of functions $g_{\alpha}(\lambda)$ which are

- at least piece wise continuous on $[0, ||T||^2]$.
- continuous for the right in points of discontinuity.

This gives us,

$$x_{\alpha} = \int g_{\alpha}(\lambda) dE_{\lambda} T^* y, \tag{2}$$

and, for noisy data y^{δ} with $\|y^{\delta} - y\| < \delta$

$$x_{\alpha}^{\delta} = \int g_{\alpha}(\lambda) dE_{\lambda} T^* y^{\delta}. \tag{3}$$

Therefore we can write the regularization methods $\{R_{\alpha}\}$ as,

$$R_{\alpha} = \int g_{\alpha}(\lambda) dE_{\lambda} T^*. \tag{4}$$

This gives us,

$$x_{\alpha} = \int g_{\alpha}(\lambda) dE_{\lambda} T^* y, \tag{2}$$

and, for noisy data y^{δ} with $||y^{\delta} - y|| < \delta$

$$x_{\alpha}^{\delta} = \int g_{\alpha}(\lambda) dE_{\lambda} T^* y^{\delta}. \tag{3}$$

Therefore we can write the regularization methods $\{R_{\alpha}\}$ as,

$$R_{\alpha} = \int g_{\alpha}(\lambda) dE_{\lambda} T^*. \tag{4}$$



This gives us,

$$x_{\alpha} = \int g_{\alpha}(\lambda) dE_{\lambda} T^* y, \tag{2}$$

and, for noisy data y^{δ} with $||y^{\delta} - y|| < \delta$

$$x_{\alpha}^{\delta} = \int g_{\alpha}(\lambda) dE_{\lambda} T^* y^{\delta}. \tag{3}$$

Therefore we can write the regularization methods $\{R_{\alpha}\}$ as,

$$R_{\alpha} = \int g_{\alpha}(\lambda) dE_{\lambda} T^*. \tag{4}$$



Now for the residual $x^{\dagger} - x_{\alpha}$ we have,

$$x^{\dagger} - x_{\alpha} = \int (1 - g_{\alpha}(\lambda)) dE_{\lambda} x^{\dagger}$$

Hence, we define,

$$r_{\alpha}(\lambda) = 1 - \lambda g_{\alpha}(\lambda), \tag{5}$$

$$r_{\alpha}(0) = 1,\tag{6}$$

$$x^{\dagger} - x_{\alpha} = r_{\alpha}(T^*T)x^{\dagger} \tag{7}$$

Theorem (4.1)

Let for all $\alpha > 0$, and an $\varepsilon > 0$, $g_{\alpha} : [0, ||T||^2] \to R$ fulfills the following assumptions

- g_{α} is piece wise continuous.
- There is a C > 0 such that

$$|\lambda g_{\alpha}(\lambda)| \leqslant C \tag{8}$$

and,

$$\lim_{\alpha \to 0} g_{\alpha}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \tag{9}$$

for all $\lambda \in [0, ||T||^2]$.



Theorem (Continued)

Then, for all $y \in D(T^{\dagger})$,

$$\lim_{\alpha \to 0} g_{\alpha}(T^*T)T^*y = x^{\dagger} \tag{10}$$

and, If $y \notin D(T^{\dagger})$,

$$\lim_{\alpha \to 0} \|g_{\alpha}(T^*T)T^*y\| = +\infty \tag{11}$$

Theorems

Theorem (4.2)

Let g_{α} and C be as defined in theorem 4.1. For any $\alpha > 0$, let

$$G_{\alpha} = \sup \{ |g_{\alpha}(\lambda)| \mid \lambda \in [0, ||T||^2 \}$$
 (12)

Then,

$$||Tx_{\alpha} - Tx_{\alpha}^{\delta}|| \le C\delta \tag{13}$$

and

$$\|x_{\alpha} - x_{\alpha}^{\delta}\| \le \delta \sqrt{CG_{\alpha}}.$$
 (14)

Remark

Thus, for the total error we have the estimate

$$\|x_{\alpha}^{\delta} - x^{\dagger}\| \le \|x_{\alpha} - x^{\dagger}\| + \delta\sqrt{CG_{\alpha}}$$

By Theorem 4.1, the first term in this estimate goes to zero if $y \in D(T^{\dagger})$.

However, since $g_{\alpha}(\lambda) \to 1/\lambda$ as $\alpha \to 0$

$$\lim_{\alpha \to 0} G_{\alpha} = +\infty$$

Hence for any fixed $\delta > 0$, $\delta \sqrt{CG_{\alpha}}$ explodes $\rightarrow \|x_{\alpha} - x_{\alpha}^{\delta}\|$ explodes.



Theorems

Theorem (4.3)

Let g_{α} fulfill the assumptions of theorem 4.1. μ , $\rho > 0$. Let $\omega_{\mu} : (0, \alpha_0) \to R$ be such that for all $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_0)$ and $\lambda \in [0, ||T||^2]$,

$$\lambda^{\mu}|r_{\alpha}(\lambda)| \leq \omega_{\mu}(\alpha) \tag{15}$$

holds. Then for all $x \in X_{\mu,\rho}$,

$$||x_{\alpha} - x^{\dagger}|| \le \omega_{\mu}(\alpha)\rho \tag{16}$$

$$||Tx_{\alpha} - Tx^{\dagger}|| \le \omega_{\mu + \frac{1}{2}}(\alpha)\rho$$
 (17)

Corollary (4.4)

Let the assumptions of Theorem 4.3 hold with

$$\omega_{\mu}(\alpha) = c\alpha^{\mu} \tag{18}$$

for some c > 0, and assume that G_{α} fulfills,

$$G_{\alpha} = O(\frac{1}{\alpha}) \quad as \quad \alpha \to 0$$
 (19)

Then, with parameter choice rule

$$\alpha \sim \left(\frac{\delta}{\rho}\right)^{\frac{2}{2\mu+1}} \tag{20}$$

the regularization method (R_{α}, α) is of optimal order in $X_{\mu,\rho}$.

Example

We consider the initial value problem

$$\mu'_{\delta}(t) + T^*T\mu_{\delta}(t) = T^*y^{\delta}, \quad t \in R_0^+$$

 $\mu_{\delta}(0) = 0$

Here, $\mu_{\delta}: R_0^+ \to X$. We denote by $x_{\alpha}^{\delta} = \mu_{\delta}(\frac{1}{\alpha})$.

Examples

$$v(t) = \int \gamma(t, \lambda) dE_{\lambda} T^* y^{\delta}$$

$$\gamma(t, \lambda) = \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda t}}{\lambda}$$

Showalter's Method

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-sT^*T} ds T^* y = T^{\dagger} y \tag{21}$$

Examples

Let for $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_0), \lambda \in [0, ||T||^2]$,

$$g_{\alpha} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\lambda}, & \lambda \geqslant \alpha \\ 0, & \lambda < \alpha \end{cases}$$

and we get,

$$x_{\alpha}^{\delta} = g_{\alpha}(T^*T)T^*y^{\delta} = \int_{\alpha}^{\|T\|^2} \frac{1}{\lambda} dE_{\lambda}T^*y^{\delta}$$

This is the truncated singular value expansion.

Examples

Theorem (4.5)

Assume that $T: L^2(I) \to Y$ is bounded, where I is a compact interval of R, with

$$R(T^*) \subseteq C(I)$$

where C(I) is the space of continuous functions on I with supremum norm, and that

$$(x)^{\dagger} \in R(T^*).$$

Then x_{α} converges to x^{\dagger} in C(I), i.e. uniformly on I.

Table of Content

A-Priori Parameter Choice Rules
Introduction
Theorems
Examples

Saturation and Converse Results Introduction

The Discrepancy Principle Introduction Theorems



In the previous section we have seen that for regularization methods for which (18) holds,

$$||x_{\alpha} - x^{\dagger}|| = O(\alpha^{\mu}) \tag{22}$$

if

$$x^{\dagger} \in X_{\mu} \tag{23}$$

The statement that (23) is not only sufficient but also necessary for (22) is called a converse result.

The term saturation is used to describe the behavior of some regularization methods for which,

$$||x_{\alpha} - x^{\dagger}|| = O(\delta^{\frac{2\mu}{2\mu+1}}) \tag{24}$$

does not hold for all $\mu > 0$, but only upto a finite value μ_0 , called the "Qualification" of the the method. Equivalently, μ_0 is the largest values such that

$$\lambda^{\mu}|r_{\alpha}(\lambda)| = O(\alpha^{\mu})$$

holds for all $0 < \mu \leqslant \mu_0$



Theorem (4.6)

Let x_{α} be as defined earlier and g_{α} fulfills the assumptions of Theorem 4.1. Assume that μ is such that

$$\lambda^{\mu}|r_{\alpha}(\lambda)| \geqslant \gamma \alpha^{\mu}$$

$$\lambda \in [c\alpha, ||T||^{2}]$$

Then
$$||x_{\alpha} - x^{\dagger}|| = O(\alpha^{\mu})$$
 implies $x^{\dagger} \in X_{\mu}$

Lemma (4.7)

If,

$$||E_t x^{\dagger}||^2 = \int_0^t 1d||E_{\lambda} x^{\dagger}||^2 = O(t^{2\mu})$$
 (25)

holds, then

$$x^{\dagger} \in \bigcup_{v < \mu} X_v \tag{26}$$

Proposition (4.8)

let g_{α} fulfill the assumptions of Theorem 4.1. Assume,

$$G_{\alpha} \leqslant \frac{\hat{c}}{\alpha}, \quad \alpha > 0$$
 (27)

holds with a suitable constant $\hat{c} > 0$, then $||x_{\alpha} - x^{\dagger}|| = O(\alpha^{\mu})$ implies (25) and (26).

Table of Content

A-Priori Parameter Choice Rules Introduction Theorems Examples

Saturation and Converse Results Introduction

The Discrepancy Principle Introduction Theorems



The "Discrepancy Rule" is due to Marozov, and is a a-posteriori parameter choice rule.

Let g_{α} and r_{α} be as defined earlier. Furthermore, let

$$\tau > \sup\{|r_{\alpha}(\lambda)| \mid \alpha > 0, \lambda \in [0, ||T||^2]\}$$
 (28)

The regularization parameter defined via the *discrepancy Principle* is

$$\alpha(\delta, y^{\delta}) = \sup\{\alpha > 0 \mid ||Tx_{\alpha}^{\delta} - y^{\delta}|| \le \tau\delta\}$$
 (29)

Intuition

We want to solve Tx = y, but instead of y we only have noisy data y^{δ} and we know that $||y - y^{\delta}|| \le \delta$.

Thus it doesnot make sense to ask for an approximate solution \hat{x} with the discrepancy $||T\hat{x} - y^{\delta}|| < \delta$. A residual of the order of δ is the best we can ask for.

Introduction

Assumptions

▶
$$y \in R(T)$$

•
$$\mu_0 > \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\bullet \ \omega_{\mu} \sim \alpha^{\mu} \ \ \textit{for} \ \ 0 < \mu \leqslant \mu_0$$

Theorem (4.9)

The regularization method (R_{α}, α) , where α is defined via the discrepancy principle, is convergent for all $y \in R(T)$, and of optimal order in $X_{\mu,\rho}$, for $\mu \in (0, \mu_0 - 1/2]$

Proposition (4.10)

Let K be compact, $R_{\alpha} = (K^*K + \alpha I)^{-1}K^*$, α be defined by the discrepancy rule. If

$$||x_{\alpha}^{\delta} - x^{\dagger}|| = o(\sqrt{\delta}) \tag{30}$$

holds for all $y \in R(T)$ and $y^{\delta} \in Y$, then R(K) is finite dimensional.