

# CSE 6363 - HW01

Bardia Mojra

February 15, 2021

## Exercise 1

### MLE and MAP

Poisson distribution (PMF):

$$P_{\lambda}(k) = \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!}$$

Part a: MLE of Poisson distribution

$$\begin{aligned} P_{MLE}(\lambda \mid k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n) &= \prod_{i=1}^n f_K(k_i \mid \lambda); \text{ where } f_K = P_{\lambda}(k) = \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!} \\ \Rightarrow P_{MLE}(\lambda \mid k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{k_i})}{k_i!} \end{aligned}$$

For optimization, we use the log function and we end up with log-likelihood:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{MLE}(\lambda \mid k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n) &= \log\left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{k_i})}{k_i!}\right) \\ \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n \ln\left((e^{-\lambda})\left(\frac{1}{k_i!}\right)(\lambda^{k_i})\right) &\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n [(-\lambda) - \ln(k_i!) + k_i \ln(\lambda)] \\ \Rightarrow \ln \mathcal{L} = P_{MLE}(\lambda \mid k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n) &= -n\lambda - \sum_{i=1}^n [\ln(k_i!)] + \ln(\lambda) \sum_{i=1}^n k_i \end{aligned}$$

Thus, one can generalize the following case:

$$\frac{\partial \ln \mathcal{L}}{\partial \hat{\lambda}} = -n + \frac{\sum_{i=0}^n k_i}{\hat{\lambda}} \Rightarrow -n + \frac{\sum_{i=0}^n k_i}{\hat{\lambda}} = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\lambda}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n k_i$$

And since  $\lambda$  is equal to the expected value (mean) and variance we can estimate  $\lambda$  as:

$$\hat{\lambda}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n k_i = E[K] = \text{Var}[K]$$

Part b: Calculate  $P_{MLE}(\lambda | D)$ , where  $D = \{2, 5, 0, 3, 1, 3\}$ :

$$P_{MLE}(\lambda | D) = \hat{\lambda}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n k_i \Rightarrow P_{MLE}(\lambda | D) = \frac{2 + 5 + 0 + 3 + 1 + 3}{6} = \frac{14}{6}$$

$$\Rightarrow P_{MLE}(\lambda | D) = \hat{\lambda} = 2.33$$

Part c: Derive an optimization for a MAP using conjugate prior and the Gamma distribution. The Gamma distribution is given as:

$$P_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta\lambda}; \text{ where } \alpha = 2, \beta = 1$$

For this part, we use the Bayesian theorem to calculate the posterior distribution considering the prior density of  $\lambda$ ,  $P(\lambda)$ , and likelihood of data being a match for a given expected value,  $P(D | \lambda)$ .

$$P(\lambda | D) \propto P(\lambda)P(D | \lambda)$$

Derive likelihood for Poisson distribution and prepare for Bayes' equation:

$$P(D | \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{k_i}}{k_i!} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n e^{-\lambda} \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda^{k_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^n k_i!} = \frac{e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n k_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^n k_i!}$$

And we are given:

$$P(\lambda | \alpha, \beta) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta\lambda}; \text{ where } \alpha = 2, \beta = 1, \lambda > 0,$$

So we can substitute and also make n negative for  $e^{n\lambda}$ :

$$P(\lambda | D) \propto \left( \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta\lambda} \right) \left( \frac{e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n k_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^n k_i!} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow P(\lambda | D) \propto \left( \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha) \prod_{i=1}^n k_i!} \right) (\lambda^{\alpha-1+\sum_{i=1}^n k_i} e^{-\lambda(n+\beta)}); \text{ where } \alpha = 2, \beta = 1, k_i \in D$$

With the last move, now we have the first parenthesis remain constant for a given data and distribution ( $D$  and  $\lambda$ ) so proportional probability would be the second parenthesis.

So for posterior distribution, we would have, which looks like a  $\beta$  distribution therefore we can also define estimations for its parameters:

$$\Rightarrow P(\lambda | D) \propto (\lambda^{\alpha-1+\sum_{i=1}^n k_i} e^{-\lambda(\beta+n)})$$

$$\Rightarrow P(\lambda | D) \propto (\lambda^{\hat{\alpha}-1} \cdot e^{-\lambda\hat{\beta}}); \hat{\alpha} = \alpha + \sum_{i=1}^n k_i, \hat{\beta} = \beta + n$$

For  $\hat{\lambda} = 2.33$  and  $\alpha = 2, \beta = 1$ , we would have:

$$P_{MAP}(\lambda | D) \propto (\lambda^{\hat{\alpha}-1} \cdot e^{-\lambda \hat{\beta}}) = (\lambda | \alpha + \sum_{i=1}^n k_i, \beta + n); \text{ where } \sum_{i=1}^n k_i = 14$$

$$P_{MAP}(\lambda | D) \propto (2.33^{(2-1+14)} \cdot e^{-(2.33)(1+6)}) = 2.3^{15} \cdot e^{-7(2.3)} \\ \Rightarrow P_{MAP}(\lambda | D, \alpha, \beta) \approx 0.026$$

## Exercise 2

### K Nearest Neighbor

The following data provides, height, weight, age and gender.

D = {  
 ((170, 57, 32), W),  
 ((190, 95, 28), M),  
 ((150, 45, 35), W),  
 ((168, 65, 29), M),  
 ((175, 78, 26), M),  
 ((185, 90, 32), M),  
 ((171, 65, 28), W),  
 ((155, 48, 31), W),  
 ((165, 60, 27), W),  
 ((182, 80, 30), M),  
 ((175, 69, 28), W),  
 ((178, 80, 27), M),  
 ((160, 50, 31), W),  
 ((170, 72, 30), M),  
 }

a. Using Cartesian distance as the similarity measure. Show predictions for the following test data. For values of K for 1, 3, and 5. Include distance calculation, neighbor selection, and predictions.

$$D_{test} = \{(162, 53, 28), (168, 75, 32), (175, 70, 30), (180, 85, 29)\}$$

For distance calculation, neighbor selection for k of 1, 3, and 5 please refer to **simple\_knn\_out.txt**.

b. For algorithm implementation please refer to **simple\_knn.py**. The following command could be used to run the KNN algorithm on predefined data set: **python simple\_knn.py**.

c. For distance calculation, neighbor selection for k of 1, 3, and 5 please refer to **simple\_knn\_out.txt**.

## Exercise 3

### Gaussian Naive Bayes Classification

a. Using Gaussian Naive Bayes and data from problem 2 learn Gaussian distribution for each feature, i.e. for the following:

$$p(\text{height}|W), p(\text{height}|M), p(\text{weight}|W), p(\text{weight}|M), p(\text{age}|W), p(\text{age}|M)$$

- a. Make similar predictions for problem 2b.
- b. Implement.
- c. Repeat 2c.
- d. Compare the two classifiers and discuss their comparative performance.