Benchmark Results Report

This report summarizes the benchmark analysis of VectorTree by comparing its performance with:

- 1. std::vector
- 2. a simple persistent vector

VectorTree is created to obtain a persistent version of std::vector for multithreaded usage. In other words, the target of VectorTree is to achieve the performance of std::vector while keeping the persistency to deal with the concurrency issues. Hence, the 1st container to compare with is std::vector. The 2nd one is a very simple definition for a persistent vector. The flowchart of any operation on the simple persistent vector is as follows:

- 1. create a copy of the original vector,
- 2. apply the request on the copy,
- 3. return the modified copy.

Four fundamental operations are inspected in this benchmark:

1. emplace back

- pop front: move<T> with O(N)

- pop_back
 pop front
- 4 traversal

The 3rd operation is actually not a property of the vector data structure such that std::vector interface does not include it. It requires one-step move operation on all elements which is linear, O(N). Its the same, even more problematic, in case of a tree of vectors. VectorTree solves this problem using swap-and-pop idiom while loosing the order of elements. Hence, the pop_front graphs showing a better performance for VectorTree, actually shows the performance support coming from the swap-and-pop idiom. In other words, consider the graphs for the pop front operation as the comparison between:

- swap-and-pop: front() = back(); pop back(): copy<T> with O(2*BufferSize)

Summary of the test parameters:

- 1. Inspected operations: emplace_back, pop_back, pop_front and traversal
- 2. Inspected objects: Two types are inspected: type_small and type_large
- 3. Original container size: BUFFER_SIZE_1 (32), BUFFER_SIZE_2 (1024) and BUFFER_SIZE_3 (32768)

The two object types are defined simply as follows:

- 1. type small: an object with one field of int
- 2. type large: an object with an array of 256 elements of int

The number of the three groups of test parameters are:

- 1. # of the inspected operations: 4
- 2. # of the inspected objects: 2
- 3. # of the inspected original container sizes: 3
- Hence, the combination of the test parameters yield:
- Test count = 4 * 2 * 3 = 24

Note that the tests are performed with a VectorTree of buffer size of 32.

The tests follow a simple algorithm:

- 1. Initialize a container with a predefined size, N
- 2. Apply the operation iteratively N times on this original container

3. Measure timing

A templated wrapper class is created to simulate a uniform interface for the

persistent vector) simulate the three containers to be compared.

inspected four operations which helps to simplify the google benchmark macros. A base template (VectorTree) and two specializations (std::vector and

DEFINE BENCHMARK macro defines a shortcut to the google benchmark

macros.

The tests are guite simple and the corresponding graphs are self-explanatory. Hence, i will not go through the results in detail.

In summary:

- For containers of small size, although VectorTree is the worst one, it performs efficiently (600ms): See graphs with BUFFER SIZE 1

- For containers of large size, VectorTree performs way better than the simple persistent vector:

See graphs with BUFFER SIZE 3 VectorTree approaches to std::vector

in case of the three fundamental operations:

See graphs with BUFFER SIZE 3 and especially with type large

- The swap-and-pop idiom provides an efficient solution to the pop front operation: See graphs with pop front































































































