# Natural Language For Communication

A brief overview

# **Applications**

#### Agents for:

- summarization
- computer-aided instruction
- machine translation
- sentiment analysis
- speech understanding
- interface search engines

**—** ...

# Levels of analysis in understanding natural language

#### Prosody

- rhythm and intonation of language (e.g. sarcasm)
- Phonology
  - basic sounds and how they combine to form words
- Morphology
  - rules for formation of words (e.g. plurals, tense)
- Syntax
  - rules for forming phrases and sentences
- Semantics
  - meaning of words and how these combine to form meaning of sentences
- Pragmatics
  - study of how language is used, role of context in meaning

# Levels of analysis in understanding natural language

- Prosody
- Phonology
- Morphology
- Syntax
- Semantics
- Pragmatics

speech recognition

surface form

meaning

natural language understanding

#### Communication as action

#### Speech actions:

- inform other agents about what it knows
- query other agents to gather knowledge
- answer questions
- request or Command other agents to perform actions
- promise or offer to do actions
- acknowledge requests and offers
- share feelings and experiences
- Planning and plan recognition

#### What makes it hard?

- Formal languages:
  - no ambiguity at sentence level
  - compositional semantics
- Natural languages:
  - highly ambiguous
  - not necessarily "grammatical"
  - constantly evolving
  - semantics or meaning is non-compositional and context-dependent

#### What makes it hard?

- Pragmatics: role of context in meaning of language
  - beliefs & goals of participants
  - culture
  - current situation
  - conventions
  - background knowledge
  - world knowledge
  - language knowledge
  - shared knowledge

**–** ...

Lexical (word sense) ambiguity

The man went to the bank to get some cash.

The man went to the bank and jumped in.

A bank is a place for money or the side of a river

Syntactic ambiguity—phrase with multiple parses

John saw the Rockies flying to Vancouver.

Is John or the Rockies flying?

He saw her duck.

Is duck a verb or a noun?

Salespeople sold the dog biscuits.

Syntactic ambiguity leads to semantic ambiguity

Referential ambiguity

I took the cake from the table and ate it.

What was eaten: the table or the cake? Replace "ate" with "cleaned"

Intersentencial referential ambiguity

After John proposed to Alex, they found a justice of the peace and got married. For the honeymoon, they went to Hawaii.

Who is in the group that the pronoun "they" refers to?

Figure of speech—metonymy

Let me have your ear.

A thing or concept is not called by its own name, but by the name of something closely associated with it

Figure of speech—metaphor

The inside of the car was a refrigerator.

A phrase with one literal meaning is used to suggest a different meaning by way of an analogy

Pragmatic ambiguity

I'll meet you at the coffee shop next Friday.

Suppose it is Saturday. What day is "next Friday"?

Conventions, knowledge of culture

Q. Are you sure you don't mind?

 $A_1$ . Oh, no.

 $A_2$ . Well, yes.

 $A_3$ . Yes.

Shared background knowledge

Tom: Who do you like tonight, Toronto or Montreal?

Mary: Leafs. You?

 Consider the word "open" in the window of a store and on a large banner hanging outside a store





What does the word mean?

Conventions on cooperative answers

Q. Can I switch to the other section of the course?

 $A_1$ . Yes.

A<sub>2</sub>. Yes, but you should know that it is taught by the same professor.

Conventions on cooperative answers

Q. How many students failed CS 586 last term?

A<sub>1</sub>. None.

 $A_2$ . It wasn't offered last term.

Situation, knowledge of other agent's knowledge

Q. Can you open the door?

A. Yes.

Goals, beliefs, shared knowledge

Q. Do you know what time it is?

A. Yes.

#### Syntax—grammar

S → NP VP | aux NP verb NP
 NP → pronoun | noun | det NP | det NP PP
 VP → verb | aux verb | VP adj | VP NP | VP PP
 PP → prep NP | prep NP PP

# Syntax—lexicon (vocabulary)

```
pronoun ___ me | you | I | it | they
           → dog | biscuits | gold | salespeople | east
noun
det
        \longrightarrow the \mid a
          → is are
aux
          → see | smell | shoot | sold | is
verb
          → to | in | on | near
prep
adj
           → right | left | east | south | dead | smelly
```

#### Semantics

- Assume compositional semantics is adequate
  - semantics of any phrase is a function of the semantics of its sub-phrases
- Basic idea
  - parsing drives translation into meaning representation (logical form)

## Noun phrase (NP) semantics

- Basic paradigm: construct a meaning representation for set of all possible referents of NP in domain
- For each noun, adjective, and PP that appears in the NP, and recursively for each embedded NP
  - build / retrieve logical from
  - add to existing logical form

## Example sentence semantics

The smelly wumpus in the living room is dead.

```
\exists !x (Wumpus(x) \land Location(x, livingroom) \land Smelly (x) \land Dead(x))
```

Is the smelly wumpus in the living room dead?

```
Query \leftarrow \exists !x (Wumpus(x) \land ...)
```

Is there a dead wumpus in the living room?

```
\exists x (Query(x) \leftarrow Wumpus(x) \land ...)
```

#### More information

#### Current research:

- Proceedings of the 2016 Conference of the Association for Computational Linguistics
- http://aclweb.org/anthology/N/N16/

#### Text books:

- Speech and Language Processing, 2nd Edition,
   D. Jurafsky and J. H. Martin
- Foundations of Statistical Natural Language Processing,
   C. D. Manning and H. Schütze