Introduction
Common Trends
Estimating Cointegrating Vectors
Testing For Cointegration
Error-Correction Representation

# Cointegration Basic Ideas and Key results

Egon Zakrajšek Division of Monetary Affairs Federal Reserve Board

Summer School in Financial Mathematics
Faculty of Mathematics & Physics
University of Ljubljana
September 14–19, 2009

Introduction
Common Trends
Estimating Cointegrating Vectors
Testing For Cointegration
Error-Correction Representation

#### Motivation

Economic theory often suggests that certain pairs of economic or financial variables should be linked by a long-run economic relationship.

Arbitrage arguments imply that the I(1) prices of certain financial time series are linked.

The framework of cointegration deals with regression models with I(1) data.

# **Idea Behind Cointegration**

Many economic or financial time series appear to be I(1):

- I(1) variables tend to diverge as  $T \to \infty$ , because their unconditional variances are proportional to T.
- $\bullet$  Thus, it may seem that I(1) variables could never be expected to obey any sort of long-run equilibrium relationship.

It is possible for two (or more) variables to be I(1), and yet a certain linear combination of those variables to be I(0)!

If that is the case, the I(1) variables are said to be cointegrated:

• If two or more I(1) variables are cointegrated, they must obey an equilibrium relationship in the long-run, although they may diverge substantially from that equilibrium in the short run.

### Some Examples

- The permanent income hypothesis (PIH) implies cointegration between consumption and income.
- Money demand models imply cointegration between money, nominal income, prices, and interest rates.
- Growth theory models imply cointegration between income, consumption, and investment.
- Purchasing power parity (PPP) implies cointegration between the nominal exchange rate and foreign and domestic prices.
- The Fisher equation implies cointegration between nominal interest rates and inflation.
- The expectations hypothesis of the term structure implies cointegration between nominal interest rates at different maturities.

#### Cointegration

Consider two time series  $y_{1,t}$  and  $y_{2,t}$ , known to be I(1):

• Let  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  denote T-vectors:

$$y_1 = \begin{bmatrix} y_{1,1} \\ y_{1,2} \\ \vdots \\ y_{1,T} \end{bmatrix} \qquad y_2 = \begin{bmatrix} y_{2,1} \\ y_{2,2} \\ \vdots \\ y_{2,T} \end{bmatrix}$$

•  $y_{1,t}$  and  $y_{2,t}$  will be cointegrated if there exists a vector  $\eta \equiv (1, \eta_2)'$  such that, when  $y_{1,t}$  and  $y_{2,t}$  are in equilibrium:

$$[y_1 \ y_2] \eta \equiv y_1 - \eta_2 y_2 = 0$$

- The  $\eta$  is called a cointegrating vector.
- It is clearly not unique!

# Cointegration

Realistically,  $y_{1,t}$  and  $y_{2,t}$  are likely to be changing over time systematically as well as stochastically.

Cointegrating relationship:

$$Y\eta = X\beta$$

- $Y = [y_1 y_2]$
- X = nonstochastic matrix (i.e., constant, trends)

### Cointegration

Relationship  $[y_1 y_2]\eta = 0$  cannot be expected to hold exactly for all t.

#### Equilibrium error:

$$\nu_t = y_t' \eta - x_t' \beta$$

In the general case when  $Y = [y_1 \ y_2 \dots y_m]$ , the m series  $y_{1,t}, y_{2,t}, \dots, y_{m,t}$  are said to be cointegrated if there exists a vector  $\eta$  such that the equilibrium error  $\nu_t$  is I(0).

#### Common Trends

Consider the following two trend-stationary I(1) series:

$$y_{1,t} = \alpha_1 + \beta_1 t + u_{1,t}$$
  
 $y_{2,t} = \alpha_2 + \beta_2 t + u_{2,t}$ 

•  $\{u_{1,t}\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}$  and  $\{u_{2,t}\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty}$  are white noise processes.

Linear combination of  $y_{1,t}$  and  $y_{2,t}$  with  $\eta = (1, -\eta)'$ :

$$\nu_t = (\alpha_1 - \eta \alpha_2) + (\beta_1 - \eta \beta_2)t + u_{1,t} - \eta u_{2,t}$$

- $\nu_t$ , in general, is still I(1).
- The only way the  $\nu_t$  series can be made I(0) is if  $\eta = \beta_1/\beta_2$ .
- The effect of combining the two series is to remove the common linear trend.

#### Common Trends

Consider the following two independent random walk processes:

$$y_{1,t} = w_{1,t}$$
$$y_{2,t} = w_{2,t}$$

- $\bullet \ w_{i,t} = w_{i,t-1} + \epsilon_{i,t}$
- $\epsilon_{i,t}$ , i = 1, 2, are two independent white noise processes.

Any linear combination of  $y_{1,t}$  and  $y_{2,t}$  must involve random walks  $w_{1,t}$  and  $w_{2,t}$ :

- $y_{1,t}$  and  $y_{2,t}$  cannot be cointegrated unless  $w_{1,t} = w_{2,t}$
- Once again,  $y_{1,t}$  and  $y_{2,t}$  must have a common trend.

#### Common Trends

In a bivariate case, the end result is that if  $y_{1,t}$  and  $y_{2,t}$  are cointegrated, then they must share exactly one common stochastic or deterministic trend.

This observation, readily generalizes to multivariate cointegration:

- A set of m series that are cointegrated can be written as a covariance-stationary component plus a linear combination of a smaller set of common trends.
- The effect of cointegration is to purge these common trends from the resultant series.

#### Obvious econometric questions:

- How to estimate the cointegrating vector  $\eta$ ?
- How to test whether two or more variables are in fact cointegrated?

### **Estimating Cointegrating Vectors**

Cointegrating relationship between m series  $(y_{1,t}, y_{2,t}, \dots, y_{m,t})$  can, in general, be written as

$$\nu_t = y_t' \eta - x_t' \beta$$

Rewrite the above equation as a linear regression and use OLS to estimate  $\eta$ :

$$y_1 = X\beta + Y^*\eta^* + \nu$$

- Coefficient of  $y_1$  is arbitrarily normalized to unity.
- $Y^* = [y_2 \, y_3 \dots y_m].$
- Parameter vector  $\eta^*$  is equal to minus the (m-1) free elements of the parameter vector  $\eta$ .

### **Estimating Cointegrating Vectors**

Two potentially serious problems:

- Endogeneity: If  $(y_{1,t}, y_{2,t}, \dots, y_{m,t})$  are cointegrated, they are surely determined jointly. The error term  $\nu_t$  will almost certainly be correlated with the regressors!
- Spurious regression: We are regressing an I(1) variable on one or more other I(1) variables!

Nevertheless, OLS may be used to obtain a consistent estimate of a normalized cointegrating vector  $\eta$ .

# Properties of the OLS Estimator of $\eta^*$

Important caveats (see Stock (1987) and Phillips (1991)):

- $T(\hat{\eta}^* \eta^*)$  converges in distribution to a nonnormal RV not necessarily centered at zero.
- The OLS estimator  $\hat{\eta}^*$  is consistent and converges to  $\eta^*$  at rate T instead of the usual rate  $\sqrt{T}$ . That is,  $\hat{\eta}^*$  is super consistent.
- Asymptotically, there is no simultaneity bias.
- In general, the asymptotic distribution of  $T(\hat{\eta}^* \eta^*)$  is asymptotically biased and nonnormal. The usual OLS standard errors are not correct.
- Even though the asymptotic bias goes to zero as  $T \to \infty$ ,  $\hat{\eta}^*$  may be substantially biased in finite samples.
- The OLS estimator  $\hat{\eta}^*$  is also not efficient.

# Dynamic OLS (DOLS)

Asymptotically more efficient estimates of  $\eta^*$  may be obtained by DOLS (see Stock & Watson 1993):

$$y_1 = X\beta + Y^*\eta^* + \sum_{j=-p}^p \Delta Y_{-j}^* \gamma_j + \nu$$

- DOLS specification simply adds p leads and p lags of the first difference of  $Y^*$  to the standard cointegrating regression.
- The addition of leads and lags removes the deleterious effects that short-run dynamics of the equilibrium process  $\nu_t$  have on the estimate of the cointegrating vector  $\eta^*$ .

# Properties of DOLS Estimator of $\eta^*$

- The DOLS estimator  $\hat{\eta}^*$  is consistent, asymptotically normally distributed, and efficient.
- Asymptotically valid standard errors for the individual elements of  $\hat{\eta}^*$  are given by their corresponding HAC (e.g., Newey-West) standard errors.
- If T is not large relative to p(m-1), there may be so many additional regressors that finite-sample properties of the DOLS estimator  $\hat{\eta}^*$  will actually be quite poor.

# **Testing for Cointegration**

#### Basic idea (Engle & Granger 1987):

- If  $(y_{1,t}, y_{2,t}, \dots, y_{m,t})$  are cointegrated, the true equilibrium error process  $\nu_t$  must be I(0).
- If they are not cointegrated, then  $\nu_t$  must be I(1).
- Test the null hypothesis of no cointegration against the alternative of cointegration by performing a unit root test on the equilibrium error process  $\nu_t$ .

# Residual-Based Cointegration Tests

#### Engle-Granger (EG) 2-step procedure:

- Choose the normalization (i.e.,  $\eta_1 = 1$ ).
- Form the cointegrating residual  $\nu_t = Y_t' \eta^*$ .
- Test whether or not  $\nu_t$  has a unit root—that is, is an I(1) process.
- Rejection of the null hypothesis at a pre-specified significance level implies that the m series are cointegrated.

#### Two cases to consider:

- The proposed cointegrating vector  $\eta$  is pre-specified.
- The proposed cointegrating vector  $\eta$  is estimated from the data and an estimate of the cointegrating residual is formed:

$$\hat{\nu}_t = Y_t' \hat{\eta}$$

Tests using the pre-specified cointegrating vector are much more powerful!

# Testing for Cointegration when $\eta^*$ is Unknown

ADF test:

$$\Delta \hat{\nu}_t = (\alpha - 1)\hat{\nu}_t + \sum_{j=1}^p \theta_j \Delta \hat{\nu}_{t-j} + e_t$$

- $\bullet \hat{\nu}_t = y_{1t} X'\hat{\beta} Y'_{2t}\hat{\nu}$
- $\hat{\eta} = \text{OLS}$  or DOLS estimate of the cointegrating vector  $\eta$

# Testing for Cointegration when $\eta^*$ is Unknown

#### Key results:

- Phillips and Ouliaris (1990) show that residual-based unit root tests applied to the estimated cointegrating residuals do not have the usual Dickey-Fuller distributions under the null hypothesis of no-cointegration.
- Because of the spurious regression phenomenon under the null hypothesis, the distribution of these tests have asymptotic distributions that depend on:
  - Deterministic terms in the regression used to estimate  $\eta$ .
  - (m-1) = number of varibles  $Y_{2t}$ .
- These distributions are known as Phillips-Ouliaris distributions and critical values have been tabulated.

#### **Error-Correction Model**

#### Consider:

- $Y_t = [y_{1t} \ y_{2t}]' = \text{bivariate } I(1) \text{ process}$
- $Y_t$  is cointegrated with  $\eta = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\eta_2 \end{bmatrix}'$

Error-Correction Model (ECM) (Engle & Granger (1987)):

$$\Delta y_{1t} = \alpha_1 + \lambda_1 [y_{1t-1} - \eta y_{2t-1}]$$

$$+ \sum_j \beta_{1j} \Delta y_{1t-j} + \sum_j \gamma_{1j} \Delta y_{2t-j} + e_{1t}$$

$$\Delta y_{2t} = \alpha_2 + \lambda_2 [y_{1t-1} - \eta y_{2t-1}]$$

$$+ \sum_j \beta_{2j} \Delta y_{1t-j} + \sum_j \gamma_{2j} \Delta y_{2t-j} + e_{2t}$$

#### **Error Correction Model**

- ECM links the long-run equilibrium relationship between  $y_{1t}$  and  $y_{2t}$  implied by cointegration with the short-run dynamic adjustment mechanism that describes how the two series react when they move out of long-run equilibrium.
- Parameters  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  measure the speed of adjustment of  $y_{1t}$  and  $y_{2t}$  to the long-run equilibrium, respectively.