

## **EntityWorks**

### **Governing Position of Record**

**Status:** Foundational Governance Artefact

**Scope:** Authoritative and Ongoing

**Applicability:** All EntityWorks publications, frameworks, classifications, and activities

© 2026 EntityWorks Ltd. All rights reserved.

This document may be cited and referenced in full or in part for informational, academic, regulatory, or evaluative purposes, provided attribution to EntityWorks Ltd is preserved.

---

### **1. Purpose of This Record**

This document establishes the **governing position of record** for EntityWorks.

It sets out the role EntityWorks occupies, the form of authority it exercises, and the constraints it places upon itself in relation to the conceptual space it defines.

Its purpose is to remove ambiguity regarding **governance posture, interpretive responsibility, and institutional restraint**. It exists to ensure that EntityWorks' authority is understood as intentional, limited, and durable.

This is a constitutional artefact.

It is neither promotional nor advisory.

---

### **2. Role and Domain**

EntityWorks is an independent, standards-level interpretive infrastructure organisation.

Its function is to define, publish, and steward canonical terminology, classifications, and reference frameworks concerned with how AI systems form, stabilise, and propagate representations of people, organisations, relationships, and ideas within machine-mediated informational environments.

EntityWorks operates at the level of **definition and reference**.

Its outputs provide shared language and structural clarity that enable analysis, governance, and accountability by others.

---

### **3. Nature of Authority**

The authority exercised by EntityWorks is **interpretive and linguistic** in nature.

It derives from:

- the formal definition of previously unarticulated conditions,
- the establishment of explicit conceptual boundaries,
- internal coherence across published frameworks,
- stable and public availability of reference material.

This authority is confined to the governance of language and meaning.

It is not exercised over behaviour, implementation, or outcomes.

EntityWorks does not seek to influence how its frameworks are applied in practice. It governs the clarity of description, not the direction of response.

---

### **4. Structural Restraint and Non-Intervention**

EntityWorks maintains a posture of **structural restraint**.

This posture reflects the principle that interpretive authority depends on separation from intervention. Where explanation and prescription are combined, reference integrity erodes.

Accordingly, EntityWorks maintains a clear distinction between:

- describing conditions and responding to them,
- defining concepts and operationalising them,
- governing language and influencing conduct.

This restraint is foundational. It preserves neutrality, longevity, and trust in the reference layer EntityWorks provides.

---

## **5. Independence and Incentive Discipline**

EntityWorks recognises that governance at the infrastructure layer requires independence from delivery-driven incentives.

Its activities are structured so that:

- interpretive outputs are not shaped by optimisation goals,
- authority is not contingent on adoption or enforcement,
- reference integrity is not compromised by commercial interest.

Where economic activity exists, it is constrained such that it cannot distort definitions, collapse boundaries, or create dependence between interpretation and outcome.

Preservation of interpretive integrity takes precedence over expansion, influence, or revenue.

---

## **6. Responsibility and Seriousness of Context**

The conditions addressed by EntityWorks have material significance.

AI-mediated representations increasingly inform decisions, risk assessment, trust formation, and institutional behaviour. The absence of shared, disciplined language in this space creates uncertainty and misinterpretation at scale.

EntityWorks accepts responsibility for ensuring that:

- concepts are precisely named,
- terms retain stable meaning over time,
- boundaries between adjacent ideas remain explicit,
- reference frameworks are not diluted through misuse or opportunistic reinterpretation.

This responsibility is exercised through definition and stewardship, not direction or prescription.

---

## **7. Relationship to the Broader Ecosystem**

EntityWorks exists alongside regulators, policymakers, researchers, standards bodies, and commercial actors.

It does not replace, supervise, or direct these institutions.

It supplies a neutral interpretive layer that may be referenced, examined, or contested.

EntityWorks does not require adherence to its frameworks.

Their authority rests on clarity, consistency, and endurance.

---

## **8. Durability and Governance Continuity**

This Governing Position of Record is intended to be durable.

It may be clarified to address misinterpretation, but it will not be amended to accommodate delivery functions, advocacy positions, or expedient considerations.

Future governance artefacts issued by EntityWorks are subordinate to the principles set out here unless explicitly stated otherwise.

---

## **9. Governing Position**

EntityWorks occupies a custodial role within an emerging interpretive domain.

It governs language where intervention would compromise trust, and it exercises restraint where action would undermine authority.

This posture is intentional, continuous, and foundational to all EntityWorks publications.

---

## **End of Governing Position of Record**