

CSE 527 HW 1

Chenchao Xu

CSE 527 Homework 1 Chenchao Xu. ID: 1622998

1. (a). D : disease ; \bar{D} : non-disease ; P : positive (testing) ; \bar{P} : negative (testing)

Given: $P(P|D) = 0.99$, $P(\bar{P}|\bar{D}) = 0.99$; $P(D) = 0.0001$; $P(\bar{D}) = 0.9999$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Calculate. } P(D|P) &= \frac{P(P|D) \cdot P(D)}{P(P)} \\ &= \frac{P(P|D) \cdot P(D)}{P(P|D) \cdot P(D) + (1 - P(P|D)) \cdot P(\bar{D})} \quad (1) \\ &= \frac{0.99 \times 0.0001}{0.99 \times 0.0001 + (1 - 0.99) \times 0.9999} \approx 0.0098\end{aligned}$$

Why good news?

$$\text{from Equation (1): } P(D|P) = \frac{1}{1 + \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{P(P|D)} - 1\right)}_{\text{let it } = c > 0} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{P(D)} - 1\right)}$$

$$\frac{2P(D|P)}{2P(D)} = \left[\frac{c}{(1-c)P(D) + c} \right]^2 > 0 \quad (\text{for } \forall P(D)).$$

~~The smaller~~ The rarer the disease is, the smaller the chances will be.

(b) to prove $P(A, B|E) = P(A|B, E) \cdot P(B|E)$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{left} &= \frac{P(A, B, E)}{P(E)} & \text{right} &= \frac{P(A, B, E) \cdot P(B|E)}{P(B, E)} \\ & & &= \frac{P(A, B, E) \cdot P(B|E)}{P(B|E) \cdot P(E)} = \frac{P(A, B, E)}{P(E)}\end{aligned}$$

left = right

proven!

to prove $P(A|B, E) = \frac{P(B|A, E) \cdot P(A|E)}{P(B|E)}$

$$\text{right} = \frac{\frac{P(A, B, E)}{P(A, E)} \cdot \frac{P(A, E)}{P(E)}}{\frac{P(B, E)}{P(E)}} = \frac{P(A, B, E)}{P(B, E)} = P(A|B, E) = \text{left}.$$

proven!

2. a). $P(x_1, x_2, x_3)$

$\theta_{x_1, x_2} = \text{up}, x_3 = \text{up}, \theta_{x_1, x_2} = \text{up}, x_3 = \text{down}, \theta_{x_1, x_2} = \text{down}, x_3 = \text{up}, \theta_{x_1, x_2} = \text{down}, x_3 = \text{down}$

b) $L(\theta:D) = P(D|\theta)$

$$= L(x[1], \dots, x[M]; \theta_{x_1|p_{a1}}, \dots, \theta_{x_i|p_{ai}})$$

$$= \prod_{t=1}^M P(\theta_{x_t}, \dots, x_t)$$

$$= \prod_{t=1}^M \prod_{i=1}^N \theta_{x_t|p_{ai}}^{x_t} (1 - \theta_{x_t|p_{ai}})^{1-x_t} P(x_t|p_{ai})$$

c) $L(\theta:D) = \prod_{i=1}^N (\theta_{x_i|p_{ai}}^{M[x_i, p_{ai}]} \cdot (1 - \theta_{x_i|p_{ai}})^{M[p_{ai}] - M[x_i, p_{ai}]})$

$$\log L(\theta:D) = \sum_{i=1}^N (M[x_i, p_{ai}] \cdot \log \theta_{x_i|p_{ai}} + (M[p_{ai}] - M[x_i, p_{ai}]) \cdot \log(1 - \theta_{x_i|p_{ai}}))$$

$$\frac{\partial (\log L(\theta:D))}{\partial \theta_{x_i|p_{ai}}} = \frac{M[x_i, p_{ai}]}{\theta_{x_i|p_{ai}}} - \frac{M[p_{ai}] - M[x_i, p_{ai}]}{1 - \theta_{x_i|p_{ai}}} = 0$$

$$\hat{\theta}_{x_i|p_{ai}} = \frac{M[x_i, p_{ai}]}{M[p_{ai}]}$$

3 a) Model 1: θ_{Gal80} ; $\theta_{Gal4 \# Gal80 = high}$; $\theta_{Gal4 \# Gal80 = low}$;
 $\theta_{Gal2 \# Gal4 = high}$; $\theta_{Gal2 \# Gal4 = low}$.

Model 2: θ_{Gal80} ; θ_{Gal4} ; $\theta_{Gal2 \# Gal80 = high, Gal4 = high}$; $\theta_{Gal2 \# Gal80 = high, Gal4 = low}$;

$\theta_{Gal2 \# Gal80 = low, Gal4 = high}$; $\theta_{Gal2 \# Gal80 = low, Gal4 = low}$.

(b) Model 1

$$L(\theta; D) = \prod_m P(x_{80}[m], x_4[m], x_2[m]; \theta)$$

$$= \prod_m \{ P(x_{80}[m]) \cdot P(x_4[m] | x_{80}[m]=1) \cdot P(x_2[m] | x_{80}[m]=0) \cdot P(x_2[m] | x_4[m]=1) \cdot P(x_2[m] | x_4[m]=0) \}$$

$$= \theta_{80}^{M[1]} \cdot (1-\theta_{80})^{M[0]} \cdot \theta_4^{M[1]} \cdot (1-\theta_4)^{M[0]} \cdot \theta_2^{M[1]} \cdot (1-\theta_2)^{M[0]}$$

Model 2.

$$L(\theta; D) = \prod_m P(x_{80}[m], x_4[m], x_2[m]; \theta)$$

$$= \prod_m \{ P(x_{80}[m]) \cdot P(x_4[m]) \cdot P(x_2[m] | x_{80}[m]=0, x_4[m]=0) \cdot P(x_2[m] | x_{80}[m]=0, x_4[m]=1) \cdot P(x_2[m] | x_{80}[m]=1, x_4[m]=0) \cdot P(x_2[m] | x_{80}[m]=1, x_4[m]=1) \}$$

$$= \theta_{80}^{M[1]} \cdot (1-\theta_{80})^{M[0]} \cdot \theta_4^{M[1]} \cdot (1-\theta_4)^{M[0]} \cdot \theta_{2|80=0, 4=0}^{M[0]} \cdot (1-\theta_{2|80=0, 4=0})^{M[0]} \cdot \theta_{2|80=0, 4=1}^{M[1]} \cdot (1-\theta_{2|80=0, 4=1})^{M[0]} \cdot \theta_{2|80=1, 4=0}^{M[1]} \cdot (1-\theta_{2|80=1, 4=0})^{M[0]} \cdot \theta_{2|80=1, 4=1}^{M[1]} \cdot (1-\theta_{2|80=1, 4=1})^{M[0]}$$

(c) For both model 1 and model 2

According to 2 (c) $\hat{\theta}_{x_i|p_{ai}} = \frac{M[x_i, p_{ai}]}{M[p_{ai}]}$

Eg. Model 2.

$$\hat{\theta}_{2|80=1, 4=1} = \frac{M[x_2=1, 80=1, 4=1]}{M[80=1, 4=1]}$$

in this set, numbers of samples that 80=1, 4=1
numbers of all the samples that satisfy the condition that 80=1, 4=1

Model 1

+-----+		
M1_theta_80		
+-----+		
0.482		
+-----+		
+-----+-----+		
M1_theta_4		
+-----+-----+		
M1_80 = 0 0.69		
M1_80 = 1 0.333		
+-----+-----+		
+-----+-----+		
M1_theta_2		
+-----+-----+		
M1_4 = 0 0.37		
M1_4 = 1 0.707		
+-----+-----+		
+-----+-----+		
Likelihood(Log)		
+-----+-----+		
Model 1 -218.54		
Model 2 -223.13		
+-----+-----+		

Model 2

+-----+	
M2_theta_80	
+-----+	
0.482	
+-----+	
+-----+	
M2_theta_4	
+-----+	
0.518	
+-----+	
+-----+	
+-----+	
	M2_theta_2
+-----+	
M2_80 = 0, M2_4 = 0	0.333
M2_80 = 0, M2_4 = 1	0.8
M2_80 = 1, M2_4 = 0	0.389
M2_80 = 1, M2_4 = 1	0.5
+-----+	

(e) Likelihood: Model 1 > Model 2; Model 1 is better than Model 2 according to MLE.