

Functional Programming

Lecture 13: Parser combinators

Twan van Laarhoven

13 December 2021

Outline

- Parser combinators



Parsing expressions

Recall the datatype of expressions

```
data Expr
  = Lit Integer    — a literal
  | Add Expr Expr  — addition
  | Mul Expr Expr  — multiplication
  | Div Expr Expr  — integer division
```

Front-end is missing: parser that turns input string (concrete syntax) into expression tree (abstract syntax)

```
>>> parse "4 + 7 * 11"
Just (Add (Lit 4) (Mul (Lit 7) (Lit 11)))
>>> parse "(4 + 7) * 11"
Just (Add (Mul (Lit 4) (Lit 7)) (Lit 11))
```



Parsers as functions

A parser (for expressions) can be represented as a function of type

type Parser = String → Expr



Parsers as functions

A parser (for expressions) can be represented as a function of type

type Parser = String → Expr

However, parser might not always consume the entire input string



Parsers as functions

A parser (for expressions) can be represented as a function of type

type Parser = String → Expr

However, parser might not always consume the entire input string

type Parser = String → (Expr, String)

can return any unconsumed part of the argument string



Parsers as functions

A parser (for expressions) can be represented as a function of type

type Parser = String → Expr

However, parser might not always consume the entire input string

type Parser = String → (Expr, String)

can return any unconsumed part of the argument string

Similarly, a parser may fail

type Parser = String → Maybe (Expr, String)



Parsers as functions

A parser (for expressions) can be represented as a function of type

type Parser = String → Expr

However, parser might not always consume the entire input string

type Parser = String → (Expr, String)

can return any unconsumed part of the argument string

Similarly, a parser may fail

type Parser = String → Maybe (Expr, String)

Finally, different parsers will likely return different types of values

type Parser a = String → Maybe (a, String)



Ad hoc parsing

Suppose we have a parser for natural numbers

```
parseNat :: Parser Int
```

we can use this parser to build a parser for a non-empty list of natural numbers

```
parseNatList :: Parser [Int] —= String → Maybe ([Int], String)
```

```
parseNatList ('[':cs0) = case parseNat cs0 of
```

```
  Nothing      → Nothing
```

```
  Just (n,cs1) → case parseNats cs1 of
```

```
    Just (ns,'']':cs2) → Just (n:ns,cs2)
```

```
    Nothing             → Nothing
```

where

```
parseNats "" = Just ([], "")
```

```
parseNats (' ','':cs1) = case parseNat cs1 of
```

```
  Nothing      → Nothing
```

```
  Just (n,cs2) → case parseNats cs2 of
```

```
    Nothing      → Nothing
```

```
    Just (ns,cs3) → Just (n:ns,cs3)
```

```
parseNats cs = Just ([], cs)
```

```
parseNatList _ = Nothing
```



Parser combinators

Idea: provide some basic parsers and some combinators to glue them together

Parsing a single character

```
>>> (char '0') "01"  
Just ('0', "1")
```

```
>>> (char '1') "01"  
Nothing
```

Returning “semantic” values

```
>>> (char '0' *> pure 0) "01"  
Just (0, "1")
```

Parsing alternative choices

```
>>> let bit = (char '0' *> pure 0)  
          <|> (char '1' *> pure 1)
```

```
>>> bit "01"  
Just (0, "1")
```

```
>>> bit "10"  
Just (1, "0")
```



The Parser type

We want the parser type to be made into an instance of type classes.

```
type Parser a = String → Maybe (a, String)
```

Can't make type synonyms an instance, so, introduce a new type:

```
newtype Parser a = P { parse :: String → Maybe (a, String) }
```

Reminder: this is the same as

```
newtype Parser a = P (String → Maybe (a, String))
```

```
parse :: Parser a → String → Maybe (a, String)
```

```
parse (P pf) = pf
```

Also: there is a small technical difference between **data** and **newtype**, but it doesn't matter here.



Parser instances

We will use the operations of classes **Functor**, **Applicative** and **Monad** (and more) as combinators.

So, we want the parser type to be made into instances of these type classes

```
{-# LANGUAGE DeriveFunctor #-}  
newtype Parser a = P { parse :: String → Maybe (a, String) }  
    deriving (Functor)
```

A simple parsing primitive

```
item :: Parser Char  
item = P (\input → case input of  
    []      → Nothing  
    (x:xs) → Just (x, xs))
```



Examples

```
>>> parse item ""
```

```
Nothing
```

```
>>> parse item "abc"
```

```
Just ('a', "bc")
```

```
>>> parse (fmap toUpper item) "abc"
```

```
Just ('A', "bc")
```

```
>>> parse (fmap toUpper item) ""
```

```
Nothing
```



```
newtype Parser a = P
{ parse :: String → Maybe (a, String) }
```

More instances

We make the parser type into an Applicative

```
instance Applicative Parser where
```

```
  pure :: a → Parser a
```

```
  pure x = P (\inp → Just (x,inp))
```

```
  <*> :: Parser (a → b) → Parser a → Parser b
```

```
  fp <*> xp = P (\inp1 → case parse fp inp1 of
```

```
    Nothing      → Nothing
```

```
    Just (f,inp2) → case parse xp of
```

```
      Nothing      → Nothing
```

```
      Just (x,inp3) → Just (f x, inp3))
```



```
newtype Parser a = P
{ parse :: String → Maybe (a, String) }
```

More instances

We make the parser type into an Applicative

```
instance Applicative Parser where
  pure :: a → Parser a
  pure x = P (\inp → Just (x,inp))
  <*> :: Parser (a → b) → Parser a → Parser b
  fp <*> xp = P (\inp1 → do — Maybe monad
    (f,inp2) ← parse fp inp1
    (x,inp3) ← parse xp inp2
    return (f x, inp3))
```

Note: Ghc can derive Functor, but not Applicative



Examples

Trivial parser

```
>>> parse (pure 1) "abc"  
Just (1,"abc")
```

Parser consuming three characters, discarding the second

```
three :: Parser (Char,Char)  
three = pure (\x _y z → (x,z)) <*> item <*> item <*> item
```

then

```
>>> parse three "abcdef"  
Just (('a','c'),"def")  
  
>>> parse three "ab"  
Nothing
```



Convenience combinators

Let's (re)introduce

$$(<\$) :: (\text{Functor } f) \Rightarrow b \rightarrow f a \rightarrow f b$$
$$(<*) :: (\text{Applicative } f) \Rightarrow f b \rightarrow f a \rightarrow f b$$
$$(*>) :: (\text{Applicative } f) \Rightarrow f a \rightarrow f b \rightarrow f b$$
$$x <\$ p = (\backslash_ \rightarrow x) <\$> p$$
$$p <* q = (\backslash x _ \rightarrow x) <\$> p <*> q$$
$$p *> q = (\backslash_ y \rightarrow y) <\$> p <*> q$$

We can now write

$$\text{three} :: \text{Parser } (\text{Char}, \text{Char})$$
$$\text{three} = (,) <\$> \text{item} <*> \text{item} <*> \text{item}$$

Mnemonic: operators without $>$ ignore the result of the parser after it.



```
newtype Parser a = P
{ parse :: String → Maybe (a, String) }
```

Monad instance

instance Monad Parser **where**

$(\gg=) :: \text{Parser } a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow \text{Parser } b) \rightarrow \text{Parser } b$

$p \gg= f = P (\backslash \text{inp}_1 \rightarrow \text{do} \text{ --- } \text{Maybe monad}$
 $(x, \text{inp}_2) \leftarrow \text{parse } p \text{ inp}_1$
 $\text{parse } (f \ x) \text{ inp}_2)$

Now we can use the do notation.

$\text{three}' :: \text{Parser } (\text{Char}, \text{Char})$

$\text{three}' = \text{do } x \leftarrow \text{item}$
 item
 $y \leftarrow \text{item}$
 $\text{return } (x, y)$



Making choices

Applicative and Monad operators combine parsers in sequence

- output string from each parser in the sequence becomes input string for the next
- if one parser fails, the sequence fails

We can also combine parsers in parallel

- all parsers get the same input
- if one parser succeeds, the combination succeeds



Making choices

Use the choice operator from class `Alternative`

```
class (Applicative f) => Alternative f where
  empty :: f a
  (<|>) :: f a -> f a -> f a
```

The operation `<|>` is associative, and has `empty` as unit element

Notice the similarity with class `Monoid`

```
class Monoid m where
  mempty :: m
  (<|>)   :: m -> m -> m
```



Alternative instance for Maybe

```
instance Alternative Maybe where
  empty :: Maybe a
  empty = Nothing
  (<|>) :: Maybe a → Maybe a → Maybe a
  Nothing <|> my = my
  Just x <|> _ = Just x
```

Examples

```
>>> Just 1 <|> Just 2
Just 1
```

```
>>> Nothing <|> Just 2
Just 2
```

```
>>> Just 1 <|> Nothing
Just 1
```

```
>>> Nothing <|> Nothing
Nothing
```



```
newtype Parser a = P
{ parse :: String → Maybe (a, String) }
```

A choice for Parser

```
instance Alternative Parser where
```

```
empty :: Parser a
```

```
empty = P (\inp → Nothing)
```

```
(<|>) :: Parser a → Parser a → Parser a
```

```
p1 <|> p2 = P (\inp → case parse p1 inp of
    Nothing      → parse p2 inp
    Just result1 → Just result1)
```



```
newtype Parser a = P
{ parse :: String → Maybe (a, String) }
```

A choice for Parser

instance Alternative Parser **where**

empty :: Parser a

empty = P (\inp → empty)

(<|>) :: Parser a → Parser a → Parser a

p1 <|> p2 = P (\inp → parse p1 inp <|> parse p2 inp)



```
newtype Parser a = P
{ parse :: String → Maybe (a, String) }
```

A choice for Parser

```
instance Alternative Parser where
```

```
empty :: Parser a
```

```
empty = P (\inp → empty)
```

```
(<|>) :: Parser a → Parser a → Parser a
```

```
p1 <|> p2 = P (\inp → parse p1 inp <|> parse p2 inp)
```

Examples

```
>>> parse empty "abc"
```

```
Nothing
```

```
>>> parse (item <|> pure 'd') "abc"
```

```
Just ('a', "bc")
```

```
>>> parse (empty <|> pure 'd') "abc"
```

```
Just ('d', "abc")
```



Derived primitives

Checking for characters that satisfy predicate p

```
sat :: (Char → Bool) → Parser Char
sat p = do x ← item
        if p x then return x else empty
```

More primitives

```
digit :: Parser Char
digit = sat isDigit

letter :: Parser Char
letter = sat isAlpha

alphanum :: Parser Char
alphanum = sat isAlphaNum
```

```
upper :: Parser Char
upper = sat isUpper

lower :: Parser Char
lower = sat isLower

char :: Char → Parser Char
char x = sat (== x)
```



Derived primitives (continued)

A parser for recognizing a complete string

```
string :: String → Parser String
string []      = pure []
string (x:xs) = pure (:) <*> char x <*> string xs
```

Applying a parser several times: many (0 or more), some (at least once)

```
many :: (Alternative f) ⇒ f a → f [a]  — works for f = Parser
many x = some x <|> pure []

some :: (Alternative f) ⇒ f a → f [a]
some x = pure (:) <*> x <*> many x
```



More primitives

Parser for identifiers, numbers, and spacing

```
ident :: Parser String
ident = (:) <$> lower <*> many alphanum

nat :: Parser Int
nat = read <$> some digit

int :: Parser Int
int = negate <$ char '-' <*> nat
    <|> nat

space :: Parser ()
space = many (sat isSpace) *> pure ()
```

Examples:

```
>>> parse ident "ab4 def"
Just ("ab4", " def")

>>> parse nat "123 abc"
Just (123, " abc")

>>> parse int "-123 abc"
Just (-123, " abc")

>>> parse (space *> nat)
    " 123"
Just (123, "")
```



Handling spaces

Primitive that ignores spaces before and after applying a parser

```
token :: Parser a → Parser a  
token p = space *> p <*> space
```

Primitives that ignore spaces

```
natural :: Parser Int  
natural = token nat  
symbol :: String → Parser String  
symbol xs = token (string xs)
```

Handling spaces

Primitives that ignore spaces

```
natural :: Parser Int
```

```
symbol :: String → Parser String
```

Example: parsing an non-empty list of natural numbers

```
nats :: Parser [Int]
```

```
nats = do symbol "["
```

```
    n ← natural
```

```
    ns ← many (do { symbol ","; natural })
```

```
    symbol "]"
```

```
    return (n:ns)
```



A parser for expressions

Grammar in EBNF

```
expr    ::= term  
         | term "+" expr  
term     ::= factor  
         | factor "*" term  
factor  ::= digit { digit }  
         | "(" expr ")"
```



A parser for expressions

Translation into Haskell (first (incomplete) attempt)

```
expr, term, factor :: Parser ()
```

```
expr = term
```

```
  <|> do { term; symbol "+"; expr }
```

```
term = factor
```

```
  <|> do { factor; symbol "*"; term }
```

```
factor = do { natural; return () }
```

```
  <|> do { symbol "("; expr; symbol ")"; return () }
```



A parser for expressions – continued

Add “actions” to construct expression tree

expr, term, factor :: Parser Expr

expr = term

<|> do { t ← term; symbol "+"; e ← expr; return (Add t e) }

term = factor

<|> do { f ← factor; symbol "*"; t ← term; return (Mul f t) }

factor = do { n ← natural; return (Lit n) }

<|> do { symbol "("; e ← expr; symbol ")"; return e }



A parser for expressions – continued

Add “actions” to construct expression tree (Applicative style)

expr, term, factor :: Parser Expr

expr = term

<|> Add <\$> term <*> symbol "+" <*> expr

term = factor

<|> Mul <\$> factor <*> symbol "*" <*> term

factor = Lit <\$> natural

<|> symbol "(" <*> expr <*> symbol ")"



A parser for expressions – continued

Add “actions” to construct expression tree (Applicative style)

```
expr, term, factor :: Parser Expr
```

```
expr = term
```

```
  <|> Add <$> term <*> symbol "+" <*> expr
```

```
term = factor
```

```
  <|> Mul <$> factor <*> symbol "*" <*> term
```

```
factor = Lit <$> natural
```

```
  <|> symbol "(" <*> expr <*> symbol ")"
```

Let's try this parser

```
>>> parse expr "123"
```

```
Just (Lit 123, "")
```



A parser for expressions – continued

Add “actions” to construct expression tree (Applicative style)

expr, term, factor :: Parser Expr

expr = term

<|> Add <\$> term <*> symbol "+" <*> expr

term = factor

<|> Mul <\$> factor <*> symbol "*" <*> term

factor = Lit <\$> natural

<|> symbol "(" <*> expr <*> symbol ")"

Let's try this parser

>>> parse expr "123 + 5"

Just (Lit 123, "+" 5) — *unexpected!*



What's wrong?

($\langle| \rangle$) is left-biased, if the left parser succeeds, then ($\langle| \rangle$) succeeds as well without trying the right parser

expr = term

$\langle| \rangle$ **do** { $t \leftarrow \text{term}$; symbol "+"; $e \leftarrow \text{expr}$; **return** (Add t e) }

the second parser will never succeed if the first didn't.

We first modify our grammar by factoring out common parts.

expr ::= term ("+" expr | "")

term ::= factor ("*" term | "")

factor ::= digit { digit } | "(" expr ")"



A parser for expressions: correct version

Translation into Haskell is straightforward

```
expr = do t ← term
      Add t <$ symbol "+" <*> expr <|> return t
term = do f ← factor
      Mul f <$ symbol "*" <*> term <|> return f
factor = Lit <$> natural
        <|> symbol "(" *> expr <*> symbol ")"
```

Now

```
>>> parse expr "123 + 5"
Just (Add (Lit 123) (Lit 5), "")
```



A parser for expressions: correct version

More examples

```
>>> parse expr "123 + 5"  
Just (Add (Lit 123) (Lit 5), "")
```

```
>>> parse expr "1 + 2*3"  
Just (Add (Lit 1) (Mul (Lit 2) (Lit 3)), "")
```

```
>>> parse expr "123 + 5 +"  
Just (Add (Lit 123) (Lit 5), "+")
```



More combinators

One or more items, separated by sep

```
sepBy1 :: Parser a → Parser b → Parser [a]
p 'sepBy1' sep = (:) <$> p <*> many (sep *> p)
```

Zero or more items, separated by sep

```
sepBy :: Parser a → Parser b → Parser [a]
p 'sepBy' sep = p 'sepBy1' sep <|> pure []
```

Example:

```
>>> parse (int 'sepBy' symbol ",") "1,2,-3"
Just ([1,2,-3], "")
```



Top level parser

Make sure the whole input is consumed

```
parseAll :: Parser a → String → Maybe a
parseAll p inp = case parse p inp of
    Just (x, []) → Just x
    _             → Nothing
```

Example

```
>>> parse expr "1 + "
Just (Lit 1, "+ ")
>>> parseAll expr "1 + "
Nothing
>>> parseAll expr "1 + 2"
Just (Add (Lit 1) (Lit 2))
```



Another pitfall: left-recursion

Consider this grammar

$\text{expr} ::= \text{term } "+" \text{ expr} \mid \text{term } "-" \text{ expr} \mid \text{term}$

We want to parse "1 - 2 + 3" as $(1 - 2) + 3$, not $1 - (2 + 3)$.



Another pitfall: left-recursion

Consider this grammar

$$\text{expr} ::= \text{term } "+" \text{ expr} \mid \text{term } "-" \text{ expr} \mid \text{term}$$

We want to parse "1 - 2 + 3" as $(1 - 2) + 3$, not $1 - (2 + 3)$.

Need a left-recursive grammar

$$\text{expr} ::= \text{expr } "+" \text{ term} \mid \text{expr } "-" \text{ term} \mid \text{term}$$

Another pitfall: left-recursion

Consider this grammar

$\text{expr} ::= \text{term } "+" \text{ expr} \mid \text{term } "-" \text{ expr} \mid \text{term}$

We want to parse "1 - 2 + 3" as $(1 - 2) + 3$, not $1 - (2 + 3)$.

Need a left-recursive grammar

$\text{expr} ::= \text{expr } "+" \text{ term} \mid \text{expr } "-" \text{ term} \mid \text{term}$

In Haskell:

```
expr = do { t1 <- expr; symbol "+"; t2 <- term; return (Add t1 t2) }  
      <|> do { t1 <- expr; symbol "-"; t2 <- term; return (Sub t1 t2) }  
      <|> term
```



Another pitfall: left-recursion

Consider this grammar

$\text{expr} ::= \text{term } "+" \text{ expr} \mid \text{term } "-" \text{ expr} \mid \text{term}$

We want to parse "1 - 2 + 3" as $(1 - 2) + 3$, not $1 - (2 + 3)$.

Need a left-recursive grammar

$\text{expr} ::= \text{expr } "+" \text{ term} \mid \text{expr } "-" \text{ term} \mid \text{term}$

In Haskell:

```
expr = do { t1 <- expr; symbol "+"; t2 <- term; return (Add t1 t2) }  
      <|> do { t1 <- expr; symbol "-"; t2 <- term; return (Sub t1 t2) }  
      <|> term
```

To parse an expr, first parse an expr...



Left-recursion – solution

All leaves are terms, so

$$\text{expr} ::= \text{term} \{ \text{"+" term} \mid \text{"-"} \text{term} \}$$

Left-recursion – solution

All leaves are terms, so

$$\text{expr} ::= \text{term} \{ "+" \text{ term} \mid "-" \text{ term} \}$$

In Haskell

```
expr :: Parser Expr
```

```
expr = foldl (\t suffix → suffix t) <$> term <*> many exprSuffix
```

```
exprSuffix :: Parser (Expr → Expr)
```

```
exprSuffix = (\t2 → \t1 → Add t1 t2) <$> symbol "+" <*> term  
            <|> (\t2 → \t1 → Sub t1 t2) <$> symbol "-" <*> term
```



Left-recursion – solution

All leaves are terms, so

```
expr ::= term exprSuffix  
exprSuffix ::= "+" term exprSuffix | "-" term exprSuffix | ""
```

In Haskell

```
expr :: Parser Expr  
expr = do { t1 <- term; exprSuffix t1 }  
  where  
    exprSuffix t1  
      = do { symbol "+"; t2 <- term; exprSuffix (Add t1 t2) }  
        <|> do { symbol "-"; t2 <- term; exprSuffix (Sub t1 t2) }  
        <|> return t1
```



Left-recursion – solution

All leaves are terms, so

```
expr ::= term exprSuffix  
exprSuffix ::= "+" term exprSuffix | "-" term exprSuffix | ""
```

In Haskell

```
expr :: Parser Expr  
expr = manyInfixL term (Add <$ symbol "+" <|> Sub <$ symbol "-")  
manyInfixL :: Parser a → Parser (a → a → a) → Parser a  
manyInfixL px po = px >>= go  
  where  
    go x1 = do { f ← po; x2 ← px; go (f x1 x2) }  
             <|> pure x1
```



Take away

Summary

- Specify a parser with combinators
- Close to EBNF grammar
- Two styles
 - Applicative: `Add <$>term <*symbol "+"<*>term`
 - Monadic: `do {t1 ← term; symbol "+"; t2 ← term; return (Add t1 t2)}`
- Libraries for more combinators, error handling, etc.

