

Agents:

Agents = {Agent1, Agent2, Agent3, Agent4}

Propositions:

Propositions = {Proposition Name (decision), p1 (decision), p2, p3, p4}

Incompatible Propositions:

Objectively incompatible propositions:  $\langle\langle p1, \text{Proposition Name} \rangle\rangle \in \text{IncompProp}$ .

PropBaseClean for Each Agent:

Agent4 = {p1, p2, p3, p4, Proposition Name}

Agent2 = {p1, p2, p3, p4, Proposition Name}

Agent3 = {p1, p2, p3, p4, Proposition Name}

Agent1 = {p1, p2, p3, p4, Proposition Name}

Rules

Rules: p2  $\rightarrow$  p1; p3, p4  $\rightarrow$  Proposition Name

Reasoning Chains of All Agents

Agent4 =  $\langle\langle\{p1, p2, p2 \rightarrow p1\}, p1\rangle\rangle$

Agent2 =  $\langle\langle\{p1, p2, p2 \rightarrow p1\}, p1\rangle\rangle$

Agent3 =  $\langle\langle\{p1, p2, p2 \rightarrow p1\}, p1\rangle\rangle$

Agent1 =  $\langle\langle\{p1, p2, p2 \rightarrow p1\}, p1\rangle\rangle$

Observations

Chains of Agent4, Agent2, Agent3, Agent1 are the same and they constitute Consortium1, p1, where

Consortium1 = < p1, p2 >, p1 → p1, p2 → p1, .

The Court's Ruling

The Court's ruling:

Decision = p1

MajorityJudges<<<{p1, p2, p2 -> p1}, p1>>,p1> =  
{Agent4, Agent2, Agent3, Agent1}

There are neither plurality nor concurring judges.