ODD+D protocol ALABAMA-ABM

Uυ	protocot ע+עי	ALABAMA-ABM	
		Guiding questions	Our model
	I.i Purpose	I.i.a What is the purpose of the study?	Method comparison (ABM vs. multi- objective landscape optimization), analysis of policy instruments and their influence on landscape configurations
		I.i.b For whom is the model designed?	Scientists
	I.ii Entities, state variables	I.ii.a What kinds of entities are in the model?	Plots, farmers
	and scales	I.ii.b By what attributes (i.e. state variables and parameters) are these entities characterised?	Farmers: plots owned, total yield from owned plots, income, income threshold Plots : location, owner (farmer), soil fertility, proximity to river, land cover (river, intensive grassland or extensive grassland), number of neighbouring extensive plots, profit potential given management options, yield, realized profit/contribution margin (yield + agrienvironmental payments)
		I.ii.c What are the exogenous factors / drivers of the model?	Payment levels (payment for extensive grassland, agglomeration bonus, bonus for extensive grassland along river), design of water quality bonus, relative productivity intensive vs extensive grassland, landscape persistence
		I.ii.d If applicable, how is space included in the model?	GIS (virtual landscape) via raster files
		I.ii.e What are the temporal and spatial resolutions and extents of the model?	Yearly time steps, 100 years, grassland allocation decisions are made once a year; one grid cell represents one plot, model landscape comprises 15x15 cells, up to 10 farms (with randomly assigned plots)
iew	Liii Process overview and scheduling	I.iii.a What entity does what, and in what order?	1. Initialization: import raster files and translate them into patch attributes; allocate patches (=plots) to farms (randomly selected, same number of plots per farm); calculate income of farms from initial landscape configuration; (optional) set income threshold for each farmer (random from range between average income from initial landscape minus 1 standard deviation to average income plus 3 standard deviations) 2. Check income threshold reached: if
Overview			farmer's income (from last year) is above threshold, no further changes in management of her plots are made 3. Potential profit calculation: calculate
Ū			potential profit for each plot (intensive & extensive) given current land allocation (i.e. other farm's plots as managed in

			1 1 1 1
			previous year) and including base payment
			and boni; includes a correction for
			increasing agglomeration bonus by
			switching neighbouring own plots to
			extensive
			4. Allocation: allocate extensive/intensive
			management to a limited number of plots
			(given specification of landscape
			persistence: either a predefined number of
			randomly selected plots or a predefined
			number of plots with highest potential for
			income increase)
			5. Yield calculation: calculate each plot's
			yield given allocation
			6. Agglomeration: check how many
			neighbouring plots are managed
			extensively
			7. Reception of payments: calculate
			payments received by each plot 8. Calculation of income: calculate total
			yield and income for each farm
			9. Calculation of agri-environmental
			payment budget
			10. Evaluate ecosystem services (ES):
			translate landscape configuration into ES
	XX ' 773'	** ***	realizations (R models) [after 100 ticks]
	II.i Theoretical	II.i.a Which general concepts,	It's a relatively simple model trying to
	and Empirical	theories or hypotheses are	show that for heterogeneous landscapes,
	Background	underlying the model's design	you need spatially differentiated incentives.
		at the system level or at the	The farmers' behaviour is boundedly
		level(s) of the submodel(s)	rational in a very simple sense (income
		(apart from the decision	threshold).
		model)? What is the link to	
		complexity and the purpose of	
		the model?	
		II.i.b On what assumptions	Simple microeconomic model with
		is/are the agents' decision	minimal bounded rationality (satisficing):
		model(s) based?	below threshold income maximizing,
			myopic farmers; above threshold
			continuation of last chosen strategy (i.e.
			management allocation pattern).
		II.i.c Why is a/are certain	Simplicity.
Š		decision model(s) chosen?	
epi			
Design Concepts			
ŭ		II.i.d If the model / a	NA
ign		submodel (e.g. the decision	
)es		model) is based on empirical	
		data, where does the data	
		come from?	
		II.i.e At which level of	NA
		aggregation were the data	1477
		aggregation were the data available?	
		availauic:	

II.ii Individual Decision Making Making II.ii Individual Decision Making II.ii Individual Decision Making II.ii Individual Decision Making II.ii Individual II.ii Individual Making II.ii Individual III.ii Individual II.ii Individual	
Decision Making making? On which level of aggregation is decision-making modeled? Are multiple levels of decision making included? II.ii.b What is the basic II.ii.b What is the basic II.ii.b What is the basic	d) on plot
Making aggregation is decision- making modeled? Are multiple levels of decision making included? II.ii.b What is the basic Income maximization up to three	
Making aggregation is decision- making modeled? Are multiple levels of decision making included? II.ii.b What is the basic Income maximization up to three	
making modeled? Are multiple levels of decision making included? II.ii.b What is the basic Income maximization up to three	
multiple levels of decision making included? II.ii.b What is the basic Income maximization up to three	
making included? II.ii.b What is the basic Income maximization up to three	
II.ii.b What is the basic Income maximization up to three	
r	1 11
	shold
rationality behind agents'	
decision-making in the	
model? Do agents pursue an	
explicit objective or have	
other success criteria?	
Income function	
II.ii.c How do agents make	
their decisions?	
II.ii.d Do the agents adapt NA	
their behavior to changing	
endogenous and exogenous	
state variables? And if yes,	
how?	
II.ii.e Do social norms or NA	
cultural values play a role in	
the decision-making process?	
the decision-making process:	
II.ii.f Do spatial aspects play a Agglomeration bonus and bonus	s for
role in the decision process? extensive grassland in proximity	
depend on spatial patterns (and p	
in farmers' decisions)	play a fole
play a role in the decision	
process?	
II.ii.h To which extent and Agents do not know how other a	agents will
how is uncertainty included in decide in the current period, they	y only
the agents' decision rules? know the allocation in the last pe	eriod
II.iii Learning II.iii.a Is individual learning NA	
included in the decision	
process? How do individuals	
change their decision rules	
over time as consequence of	
their experience?	
II.iii.b Is collective learning NA	
implemented in the model?	
II.iv Individual II.iv.a What endogenous and Payment rates, soil fertility, land	l-use
Sensing exogenous state variables are allocation in last period; no error	
individuals assumed to sense	
and consider in their	
decisions? Is the sensing	
process erroneous?	1
II.iv.b What state variables of Land-use allocation in last perio	ou; no
which other individuals can errors	
an individual perceive? Is the	
sensing process erroneous?	

	II.iv.c What is the spatial scale of sensing?	Local (neighbouring plots)
	II.iv.d Are the mechanisms by which agents obtain information modeled explicitly, or are individuals simply assumed to know these variables?	Not modelled.
	II.iv.e Are costs for cognition and costs for gathering information included in the model?	Not explicitly; implicitly, cognitive burden is the reason for income threshold beyond which farmers cease to make new decisions
II.v Individual Prediction	II.v.a Which data uses the agent to predict future conditions?	Extrapolation from last period
	II.v.b What internal models are agents assumed to use to estimate future conditions or consequences of their decisions?	NA
	II.v.c Might agents be erroneous in the prediction process, and how is it implemented?	Since they only consider neighbouring plots, they cannot take into account reactions of other farmers to changes in land allocation farther away
II.vi Interaction	II.vi.a Are interactions among agents and entities assumed as direct or indirect?	Indirect
	II.vi.b On what do the interactions depend?	Spatial distances (neighborhood)
	II.vi.c If the interactions involve communication, how are such communications represented?	NA
	II.vi.d If a coordination network exists, how does it affect the agent behaviour? Is the structure of the network imposed or emergent?	NA
II.vii Collectives	II.vii.a Do the individuals form or belong to aggregations that affect, and are affected by, the individuals? Are these aggregations imposed by the modeller or do they emerge during the simulation?	NA
	II.vii.b How are collectives represented?	NA

	II.viii	II.viii.a Are the agents	In one variant of the model (where
	Heterogeneity	heterogeneous? If yes, which	BOUNDED-RATIONALITY =
	Tieterogeneity	state variables and/or	"heterogeneity"), they have different
		processes differ between the	income thresholds.
		agents?	meone unesholds.
			See above.
		II.viii.b Are the agents	See above.
		heterogeneous in their	
		decision-making? If yes,	
		which decision models or	
		decision objects differ	
	т.	between the agents?	
	II.ix	II.ix.a What processes	Farmers' income thresholds are generated
	Stochasticity	(including initialization) are	randomly. Also, the assignment of plots to
		modeled by assuming they are	farmers is random. In one model variant
		random or partly random?	(where PERSISTENCE = "random"), the
			plots on which farmers are allowed to
			change management in each period are
	11	II waa Wilaat data ama a 11 a 4 1	chosen randomly. The land-use allocation is translated in a
	II.x Observation	II.x.a What data are collected	
	Observation	from the ABM for testing,	measure of biodiversity (based on
		understanding, and analyzing	configuration of extensive grassland plots)
		it, and how and when are they collected?	and water quality (based on proximity of
		conected?	extensive/intensive grassland plots from
			river); grass production is calculated by
			summing the production of each plot; also,
			the budget needed to finance the agri-
		II 1- W/1 1 1(-	environmental payments is calculated.
		II.x.b What key results,	Landscape pattern
		outputs or characteristics of	
		the model are emerging from	
	II.i	the individuals? (Emergence) III.i.a How has the model	Windows 10, Netlogo 6.0.4, R 3.5.1
	Implementation	been implemented?	Willdows 10, Netlogo 0.0.4, K 3.3.1
	Details		
	Details	III.i.b Is the model accessible	https://github.com/BartoszBartk/magenta
		and if so where?	
	III.ii Initialization	III.ii.a What is the initial state	Landscape imported from raster files
		of the model world, i.e. at	(allocation pattern of management + soil
		time t=0 of a simulation run?	fertility gradient), 10 farmers with
			randomly distributed plots and (variant)
			randomly assigned income thresholds.
		III.ii.b Is initialization always	Distribution of plots among farmers is
S		the same, or is it allowed to	random, and has limited influence on
Details		vary among simulations?	results. Income thresholds are always
Dei			dependent on mean income from initialized
			landscape, and as such vary among
		TIT to a American to the first	simulations.
III)		III.ii.c Are the initial values	Arbitrarily.
		chosen arbitrarily or based on	
	TTT ::: T	data?	Landagana (nosta : £11-1),11 C (11)
	III.iii Input	III.iii.a Does the model use	Landscape (raster files): soil fertility
	Data	input from external sources	distribution (Gaussian), sinusoidal river
1		such as data files or other	along east–west axis

	models to represent processes that change over time?	
III.iv Submodels	III.iv.a What, in detail, are the submodels that represent the processes listed in 'Process overview and scheduling'? III.iv.b What are the model parameters, their dimensions and reference values?	R models: yield model, habitat index model, water quality model NetLogo submodels: bonus calculation, budget calculation. See table below.
	III.iv.c How were submodels designed or chosen, and how were they parameterized and then tested?	Based on literature, highly stylized (see below).

Submodels:

• Agricultural yield (AY) modelled as a function of production intensity level *P* (with the value of 1.5 for extensive grassland and 2 for intensive grassland) and soil fertility *F*, summarized over all 200 grassland grid cells *i*:

$$AY = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{200} \sqrt{P_i(1+F_i)} - 296.8974}{45.9032}$$

AY is normalized to range between 0 (all extensive) and 1 (all intensive). Within NetLogo, an analogous yield model is used for each grassland plot, without normalization.

• Habitat index (HI) was estimated as total area of the two largest patches of extensive grassland (A_{2X}) divided by 200 (i.e. the number of grassland cells):

$$HI = \frac{A_{2X}}{200}$$

assuming that both increasing extent and connectivity of extensive grassland is beneficial for biodiversity. Patches were defined as contiguous extensive grassland cells using the 4-neighbor rule (King's case). HI can range between 0 (all intensive) and 1 (all extensive).

• Water quality (WQ) was a function of Euclidean distance (D) of intensive grassland cells i to their respective closest river cells:

$$WQ = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{I} \frac{1}{D_i}}{0.8635082}$$
 if $I > 0$ or $WQ = 1$ if $I = 0$

where I is the total number of intensive grassland cells. Decreasing the number of intensive grassland cells and/or increasing their distances to the river would thus increase WQ, which is normalized to range between 0 (all intensive) and 1 (all extensive).

- Agglomeration bonus is calculated by multiplying the bonus level with the share of extensive neighbouring plots.
- Water quality bonus is normally extended if the plot is within a predefined proximity to river (see parameter DIST) or (optionally, mainly for testing purposes) by a function following the WQ function.

Parameters

Parameter	Name in NetLogo model	Values/range
Persistence	persistence	"random", "profit"
Limit of changeable plots per period per farmer	change-lim	1–20
Rationality type	bounded-rationality?	TRUE, FALSE
Income threshold type (only if	bounded-threshold	"heterogeneity", "uniform"
bounded-rationality = TRUE)		
Type of water bonus	water-bonus	"simple", "as ES model"
Number of agents	no-agents	1–10
Base payment level	base-p	0-0.25
Agglomeration bonus level	bonus-agg	0-0.25
Water quality bonus	bonus-wat	0-0.25

Distance from river of plots rewarded with water quality	dist	0, 1, 2
bonus		
Income threshold of each agent	income-thresh	random