

A CATEGORY OF GENERALIZED TAMBARA MODULES

BARTOSZ MILEWSKI

We start with a monoidal category \mathcal{M} and its action in a pair of categories \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} (we use the same infix symbol for both):

$$\begin{aligned}\bullet: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{C} &\rightarrow \mathcal{C} \\ \bullet: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{D} &\rightarrow \mathcal{D}\end{aligned}$$

A Tambara module is a profunctor $p: \mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ equipped with a family of transformations:

$$\alpha_{m, \langle a, b \rangle}: p\langle a, b \rangle \rightarrow p\langle m \bullet a, m \bullet b \rangle$$

natural in m and dinatural in $\langle a, b \rangle$, satisfying the unit and associativity conditions.

Tambara modules form a category. A morphism between Tambara modules (p, α) and (q, α') is a natural transformation:

$$\rho_{\langle a, b \rangle}: p\langle a, b \rangle \rightarrow q\langle a, b \rangle$$

satisfying the coherence conditions:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p\langle a, b \rangle & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{m, \langle a, b \rangle}} & p\langle m \bullet a, m \bullet b \rangle \\ \rho_{\langle a, b \rangle} \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_{\langle m \bullet a, m \bullet b \rangle} \\ q\langle a, b \rangle & \xrightarrow{\alpha'_{m, \langle a, b \rangle}} & q\langle m \bullet a, m \bullet b \rangle \end{array}$$

In what follows I'll consider, for the sake of simplicity, Tambara endomodules of the type $p: \mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$

1. GENERALIZED TAMBARA CATEGORY

We start with two monoidal categories \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} with two separate actions on two categories:

$$\begin{aligned}\bullet: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{C} &\rightarrow \mathcal{C} \\ \bullet: \mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{D} &\rightarrow \mathcal{D}\end{aligned}$$

The two monoidal actions can also be seen, after currying, as strict monoidal functors:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M} &\rightarrow [\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}] \\ \mathcal{N} &\rightarrow [\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}]\end{aligned}$$

Next we define a category of monoidal functors $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$. Given a functor c , we'll write its action on $m: \mathcal{M}$ as $c \cdot m$. This produces an object of \mathcal{N} . This action satisfies the usual associativity and unit conditions of a monoidal functor.

We lift this action to a functor from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} , or the action:

$$\bullet: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$$

satisfying the coherence conditions:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a & \xrightarrow{m \bullet} & m \bullet a \\ (c \cdot m) \bullet \downarrow & & \downarrow c \bullet \\ (c \cdot m) \bullet a & \xrightarrow{\cong} & c \bullet (m \bullet a) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a & \xrightarrow{c \bullet} & c \bullet a \\ (n \cdot c) \bullet \downarrow & & \downarrow n \bullet \\ (n \cdot c) \bullet a & \xrightarrow{\cong} & n \bullet (c \bullet a) \end{array}$$

We have a Tambara module p in $\mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C}$ with the structure:

$$\alpha_{m, \langle a, b \rangle}: p \langle a, b \rangle \rightarrow p \langle m \bullet a, m \bullet b \rangle$$

and another one q in $\mathcal{D}^{op} \times \mathcal{D}$ with the structure:

$$\beta_{n, \langle s, t \rangle}: q \langle s, t \rangle \rightarrow q \langle n \bullet s, n \bullet t \rangle$$

A morphism between Tambara modules is a functor $c: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and a family of functions:

$$\rho_{c, \langle a, b \rangle}: p \langle a, b \rangle \rightarrow q \langle c \bullet a, c \bullet b \rangle$$

satisfying the following coherence conditions:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p \langle a, b \rangle & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{m, \langle a, b \rangle}} & p \langle m \bullet a, m \bullet b \rangle \\ \rho_{c \cdot m, \langle a, b \rangle} \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_{c, \langle m \bullet a, m \bullet b \rangle} \\ q \langle (c \cdot m) \bullet a, (c \cdot m) \bullet b \rangle & \longrightarrow & q \langle c \bullet (m \bullet a), c \bullet (m \bullet b) \rangle \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p \langle a, b \rangle & \xrightarrow{\rho_{c, \langle a, b \rangle}} & q \langle c \bullet a, c \bullet b \rangle \\ \rho_{n \cdot c, \langle a, b \rangle} \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta_{n, \langle c \bullet a, c \bullet b \rangle} \\ q \langle (n \cdot c) \bullet a, (n \cdot c) \bullet b \rangle & \longrightarrow & q \langle n \bullet (c \bullet a), n \bullet (c \bullet b) \rangle \end{array}$$

2. REFERENCES

- D. Tambara, *Distributors on a tensor category*. Hokkaido Math. J. 35(2): 379-425 (May 2006)
- Craig Pastro and Ross Street. *Doubles for monoidal categories*. Theory and applications of categories, 21(4):61–75, 200