

1° Bismutung  $a + \bar{a} \in (A, \mathcal{Q}) \in \mathcal{Q}_2(\mathcal{Y}) \cap \mathcal{Q}_2(\mathcal{Y})^{\frac{1}{2}}(a, y) \cap \mathcal{V}(a)$   
 Inklusion z. observierung:  $\mathcal{Q}_2(a, d) \text{ resp. } \mathcal{Z} \downarrow$   
 Graph:  $\mathcal{Q}_2(x, d)$  mit  $y$  nur aus  $x \neq 0 : a \in A$

2°  $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{Y}_{\text{sym}}, \bar{a} \in (A, \mathcal{Q}) \in \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{V}$   $\square$  kann  $\mathcal{Q}$   $\square$   $\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{V}$

Chiracemio

→ No callg chiracemio I chiracemio suppone.

201 281. 2 I no ~~model~~ idit. 2 prela. model.

Witdy I no model p'issing I prelacione

notycong I me 59 one isomorfisme.

2.12.  $\exists$  prec. ans  $\Rightarrow \exists$  processes  
 Delay: finding hup in  $S_n(T)$  is asynchronous & possibly  
 2 diff. due to mobility.  
 Cnly  $\forall n \mid S_n(T) \mid \in \mathcal{R}_0$  - Cnly 1st proc.  
 may com.

$A \models T$  preserved  
 $B \models T$  part. mod.  
 Show  $T$  mod.  $\uparrow$  model,  $\downarrow$  no type preservation.  
 $p(x)$   $A \models$  no restriction.  $B \models$  restriction.  
 Concl.  $A \not\models B$ .

Test with  $T, A, B, C$  for  
 Polars, i.e.  $T \vdash P(C)$  model passing  $(D, C^D)$   
 from Zorn's Lemma, the result  $D$  is the Tarskian  
 test theory  $\approx B$ .