

Follow-up Meeting Minutes

Publications Office – FwC10649 – 33874 – Maintenance of the ESPD EDM

Project:	FwC10649 – 33874 – Maintenance of the ESPD EDM	Meeting Date/Time:	2019-09-27 10:00 – 11:30
Meeting Type:	ESPD 4th Meeting OP-GROW with MS	Meeting Location:	https://ecwacs.webex.com/join/sromeu
Meeting Coordinator:	Sofia BERENGUER	Issue Date:	2019-09-27

Attendee Name (<i>present</i>)	Initials	Organisation / Email
Sofia BERENGUER	SB	OP
Maria FONT	MF	everis, Spain
Enric STAROMIEJSKI	ES	everis, Spain
Guifré GUSTA	GG	everis, Spain
José Pedro REVENGA	JP	everis, Spain
Cécile GUASCH	CE	GROW
Marc Christopher SCHMIDT	MC	GROW
Olimpia DAMBEAN	OD	GROW
Ákos ZÁMBÓ	AZ	Hungary
Claudia COJMAN	CL	Romania
José Luis CUEVA	JLC	Spain
Miglena	MI	-
Robin ANDERSON BOSTROM	RA	Sweden
Zoltán VASADY	ZV	-

Summary of Meeting Objectives

- Discussion of the ESPD-EDM GitHub issues that have not been discussed in the previous meeting.

Meeting Agenda

- Discussion of GitHub issues.

Discussion of GitHub issues:

Github Issue	Decision
#94	<p>The ePO (e-Procurement Ontology), currently being developed by the Publications Office, provides a flexible approach to this problem. Everyone is more than welcome to join the ePO working group and contribute to its refinement. You can consult the current version (ongoing work) at its GitHub repository and Wiki: https://github.com/eprocurementontology/eprocurementontology/wiki.</p> <p>Additionally, the Publications Office and the ESPD working group are working together with the UBL TC to introduce the results of the ePO in UBL-3.0.</p> <p>A dedicated eMeeting will be held to discuss this topic.</p>

	To be implemented in version 3.0.0.
#197	No changes will be implemented for now. However, an example will be provided in the issue before closing it. To close the issue.
#199	ESPD and eCertis should be aligned in the future. Currently, ESPD is very complex and it should be simplified by retrieving the information from eCertis. An eMeeting will be held to align the data models that will need to converge in v3.0.0. To be implemented in version 3.0.0.
#242	The issue is divided in three parts: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Part a) and c): will not change as exclusion grounds are to be answered always negatively to confirm that there is no law offence. • Part b): the Criterion data structure #7 and #8 can indeed be improved by moving the group (d) inside the one above that encompassed (a) (b) and (c). This change will be implemented in version 3.0.0, because this would break the backwards compatibility and interoperability.
#243	The row containing the question "please, describe them" (e.g. Criterion 9, row number 8 of the Basic model) should be removed from the EDM data structure. This would be also the case for the purely National Criteria in the Basic model, but not in the Extended. To be implemented in version 3.0.0.
#246	Same issue as #242, part a) and c). This issue must be closed as exclusion grounds are to be answered always negatively to confirm that there is no law offence. To close the issue.
#249	Unfortunately, introducing the changes required in v2.1.1 would break backwards compatibility with currently existing solutions. We should review this as a major change in v3.0.0. A dedicated eMeeting will be held to discuss this topic. To be implemented in version 3.0.0.
#250	This discussion is about a code list. As codes are totally externalised from the data model, adding new concepts to the current EO role code list would not impact on the existing applications. However, the mapping of the newly added codes with previous versions of the ESPD could cause semantic loss or semantic field mismatching. A dedicated eMeeting will be held to discuss about these roles as there is a need of a common understanding of the roles. To be implemented in version 3.0.0.
#251	It is a very good idea, but it must be implemented on v3.0.0. Given the fact that as per today e-Certis is evolving and the ELI model is being proposed to replace the element cac:Legislation, this idea of replacing the whole set of data related to this legislation inside the Criterion with "controlled" identifiers (e.g. the ELI identifier) is even better. A dedicated eMeeting will be held to discuss this topic. To be implemented in version 3.0.0.
#254	In v2.1.1, this issue will be added into CriteriaTaxonomy as an extension, as it does not break the backwards compatibility. In v3.0.0, this will be implemented in the correct way.

General questions:

- Robin Anderson (RA) what is the conclusion of the issues related to the wording of Criterion. Marc Christopher Schmidt (MC) answers that the Regulation says that the wording of the Criterion can be change if the meaning is the same. Furthermore, EDM data structure needs to have a consistency on how to answer all Criterion questions.
- RA asks if it is possible to inactivate the Purely National Criteria from the ESPD. MC answers that it is possible, it is not necessary to provide this section.
- Enric Staromiejski (EST) confirms that one ESPD could have multiple lots.
- SB explains that after the seminar in Luxembourg, there will be a proper planning of the next eMeetings and the topic(s) to be discussed.

Action Points:

AP#	Name Description	Due Date	Who
1	To specify which open issues are related to UBL.	04-10-2019	everis
2	To send a calendar with the next eMeetings and the topics to be discussed.	30-11-2019	OP

Proposed Agenda for Next Meeting:	Proposed Next Meeting Date:	TBD
I. Discuss topics related to v3.0.0.		