6PM<sup>2</sup> Template v2.0.1 (Oct. 2013)

## **Follow-up Meeting Minutes**

# Publications Office – FwC10649 – 33874 – Maintenance of the ESPD EDM

Project:	FwC10649 – 33874 – Maintenance of the ESPD EDM	Meeting Date/Time:	2019-09-10 10:00 – 11:30
Meeting Type:	ESPD 3rd Meeting OP-GROW with MS	Meeting Location:	https://ecwacs.webex.co m/join/sromeu
Meeting Coordinator:	Sofia BERENGUER	Issue Date:	2019-09-10

Attendee Name (present)	Initials	Organisation / Email
Sofia BERENGUER	SB	OP
Maria FONT	MF	everis, Spain
Enric STAROMIEJSKI	ES	everis, Spain
Guifré GUSTA	GG	everis, Spain
Mireia BEAS-MOIX	MBM	everis, Spain
Ákos ZÁMBÓ	AZ	Hungary
Aleksandra	AL	Slovenia
Andra GHITULESCU	AG	
Claudia COJMAN	CL	Romania
Francesco SCATTARETICO	FS	Af Soluzioni (Italy)
Giampaolo SELLITTO	GS	Italy
Jennifer GUTSCHE	JG	
Laima PUJĂTE	LP	
Marius GOGESCU	MG	Romania
Mitja MEDVESCEK	MI	Slovenia
Olegs FILIPOVICS	OL	Latvia
Robin ANDERSON BOSTROM	RAB	Sweden
Vibeke ENGESAETH	VI	Norway

#### **Summary of Meeting Objectives**

• Discussion of the ESPD-EDM GitHub issues that have not been discussed in the previous meeting.

#### **Meeting Agenda**

• Discussion of GitHub issues – Enric Staromiejski, everis; Guifré Gusta, everis; Maria Font, everis.

#### **Discussion of GitHub issues:**

	thub sue	Decision	
<u>#2</u>	<u> 225</u>	The data about the criterion weight in criteria #40 and #50 is needed by the GUI for the contracting authority to be asked about the specific weight of one criterion. This means that the data structure is correct. However, this should be well explained in the documentation for version 2.1.1.	

<u>#239</u>	Decision is made that there will be a new criteria in the Procurement Documents named "SUPPLEMENTARY.SELECTION.CRITERIA". This new criteria must be checked from a legal perspective with DG GROW.  To be implemented in version 2.1.1.
#250	Check that this rule about the naming is well explained in the documentation. To be implemented in version 2.1.1.  In addition, Robin Anderson (ROB) will create a new issue if the law from Sweden related to CRITERION.OTHER.EO_DATA.RELIES_ON_OTHER_CAPACITIES differs from ESPD data structure.
<u>#199</u>	Answer the issue and check with Ansgar Mondorf if the current model does solve the problem once explained which the solution is.  If it is already solved, the issue will be closed and labelled as solved in version 2.1.0; otherwise, the issue will be solved in version 3.0.0.
#202	This issue requires a change of structure: remove the criterion and put it in as subcriterion of specific and generic turnover.  The resolution suggested is approved. To be implemented in version 3.0.0.
#220	This will aligned to the new eForms, and therefore needs to go to version 3.0.0.
#222	The data structure is correct and fits the purpose expressed in the mock-up. In any case, the contracting authority will ask for a ratio without providing a threshold. Decision is made to close this issue.
#223	Propose to UBL to include a new class "EOSubcontractedProportion".  To be implemented in version 3.0.0 and only for the extended model.
#235	For version 2.1.1 we could try and add QUAL_IDENTIFIER as in the code list ReponseDataType (check it with OP).  For version 3.0.0 we need to rethink whether these prefixes can be avoided at all. Then, we would need strong rules as making compulsory schemeID (identifiers).
#242	First point is already solved in 2.1.0.  The other two questions need to be discussed in the next meeting.

### **General questions:**

- Robin Anderson (RA) asks how the modifications in the ESPD data model would affect the ESPD Regulation.
- Enric Staromiejski (ES) explains that this question should be responded during the face-to-face meeting.

AP#	Name	Due Date	Who
	Description		
1	Legal check with March-Christopher (DG GROW) and DG GROW about issue #239.	18-09-2019	everis
2	Propose to UBL Technical Committee a new class "EOSubcontractedProportion" that could be compared with the "AllowedSubcontractedTerms".	30-09-2019	everis
3	Check with OP the addition of the code QUAL_IDENTIFIER in the code list ReponseDataType	30-09-2019	everis

Proposed Agenda for Next Meeting:	Proposed Next Meeting Date:	End of September (TBD)	
I. Discuss open issues.			