

Geometric EM

You are given a mixture model with mixture components c_1, c_2 which are linked to geometric distributions with parameters $\theta_{c_1}^{(0)} = 0.2, \theta_{c_2}^{(0)} = 0.6$. You observe the data set

$$\{0, 2, 2, 3\} .$$

Assume that the latent variables are i.i.d. and that $P(Y = c_1 | \Theta = \theta^{(0)}) = 0.2$.

- What is the (marginal) log-likelihood of this data set under the model? Feel free to use calculators.
- Find the most likely mixture component for each data point.
- Perform one EM iteration.
- Compute the marginal log-likelihood of the data with the updated parameters. The new value should be higher than the one computed in the beginning.

Geometric EM

- a) We observed data $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 = 0, 2, 2, 3$; and have initial parameters $\theta^{(0)} = (\theta_{c_1}^{(0)}, \theta_{c_2}^{(0)}, w_1^{(0)}, w_2^{(0)}) = (0.2, 0.6, 0.2, 0.8)$. Therefore, the log-likelihood is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \log P(X = x | \Theta = \theta^{(0)}) \\ &= \log P(X_1 = x_1 | \Theta = \theta^{(0)}) + \log P(X_2 = x_2 | \Theta = \theta^{(0)}) \\ & \quad + \log P(X_3 = x_3 | \Theta = \theta^{(0)}) + \log P(X_4 = x_4 | \Theta = \theta^{(0)}) \\ &= \log [P(X_1 = 0, Y_1 = c_1 | \Theta = \theta^{(0)}) + P(X_1 = 0, Y_1 = c_2 | \Theta = \theta^{(0)})] \\ & \quad + \log P(X_2 = 2 | \Theta = \theta^{(0)}) + \log P(X_3 = 2 | \Theta = \theta^{(0)}) \\ & \quad + \log P(X_4 = 3 | \Theta = \theta^{(0)}) \\ &= \log(0.2 \cdot 0.2 \cdot 0.8^0 + 0.8 \cdot 0.6 \cdot 0.4^0) \\ & \quad + 2 \cdot \log(0.2 \cdot 0.2 \cdot 0.8^2 + 0.8 \cdot 0.6 \cdot 0.4^2) \\ & \quad + \log(0.2 \cdot 0.2 \cdot 0.8^3 + 0.8 \cdot 0.6 \cdot 0.4^3) = -8.1836793 \end{aligned}$$

Geometric EM

$$\text{b) } P(Y = c_1 | X = 0, \Theta = \theta^{(0)}) = \frac{0.2 \cdot 0.2 \cdot 0.8^0}{0.2 \cdot 0.2 \cdot 0.8^0 + 0.8 \cdot 0.6 \cdot 0.4^0} = 0.0769231.$$

Also $P(Y = c_2 | X = 0, \Theta = \theta^{(0)}) = 1 - 0.0769231 = 0.9230769$.

Therefore, c_2 is the more likely mixture component when observing $X = 0$.

$$P(Y = c_1 | X = 2, \Theta = \theta^{(0)}) = \frac{0.2 \cdot 0.2 \cdot 0.8^2}{0.2 \cdot 0.2 \cdot 0.8^2 + 0.8 \cdot 0.6 \cdot 0.4^2} = 0.25$$

Also $P(Y = c_2 | X = 2, \Theta = \theta^{(0)}) = 1 - 0.25 = 0.75$. Therefore, c_2 is the more likely mixture component when observing $X = 2$.

$$P(Y = c_1 | X = 3, \Theta = \theta^{(0)}) = \frac{0.2 \cdot 0.2 \cdot 0.8^3}{0.2 \cdot 0.2 \cdot 0.8^3 + 0.8 \cdot 0.6 \cdot 0.4^3} = 0.4$$

Also $P(Y = c_2 | X = 3, \Theta = \theta^{(0)}) = 1 - 0.4 = 0.6$. Therefore, c_2 is the more likely mixture component when observing $X = 3$.

Go play with the [applet](#) in order to check that this makes sense!

Geometric EM

c) E-step

expected fractional count of c_1 :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_i \mathbb{1}(Y_i = c_1) \mid X = x, \Theta = \theta^{(0)}\right] &= 0.0769231 + 2 \cdot 0.25 + 0.4 \\ &= 0.9769231\end{aligned}$$

expected fractional count of c_2 :

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_i \mathbb{1}(Y_i = c_2) \mid X = x, \Theta = \theta^{(0)}\right] = 4 - 0.9769231 = 3.0230769$$

expected sufficient statistic $\sum_i x_i$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_i x_i \mathbb{1}(Y_i = c_1) \mid X = x, \Theta = \theta^{(0)}\right] \\ = 0 \cdot 0.0769231 + 2 \cdot 0.25 + 2 \cdot 0.25 + 3 \cdot 0.4 = 2.2\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_i x_i \mathbb{1}(Y_i = c_2) \mid X = x, \Theta = \theta^{(0)}\right] \\ = 0 \cdot 0.9230769 + 2 \cdot 0.75 + 2 \cdot 0.75 + 3 \cdot 0.6 = 4.8\end{aligned}$$

Geometric EM

M-step For the MLE of the categorical RV Y , we normalize the expected fractional counts computed in the E-step:

$$P(Y = c_1 \mid \Theta = \theta^{(1)}) = \frac{0.9769231}{0.9769231 + 3.0230769} = 0.2442308$$

$$P(Y = c_2 \mid \Theta = \theta^{(1)}) = 1 - 0.2442308 = 0.7557692$$

We use that the MLE of this version of the geometric distribution is given by $\theta_{MLE} = \frac{n}{n + \sum_i x_i}$, but now use the fractional counts and expected sufficient statistic computed in the E-step:

$$\text{new parameter of } c_1 : \frac{0.9769231}{0.9769231 + 2.2} = 0.3075061$$

$$\text{new parameter of } c_2 : \frac{3.0230769}{3.0230769 + 4.8} = 0.3864307$$

Geometric EM

The new log-likelihood of the data $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 = 0, 2, 2, 3$ is computed as in a) but now using the updated parameters

$$\theta^{(1)} = (\theta_{c_1}^{(1)}, \theta_{c_2}^{(1)}, w_1^{(1)}, w_2^{(1)}) = (0.3075061, 0.3864307, 0.2442308, 0.7557692):$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \log(0.2442308 \cdot 0.3075061 \cdot 0.6924939^0 \\ & \quad + 0.7557692 \cdot 0.3864307 \cdot 0.6135693^0) \\ & + 2 \cdot \log(0.2442308 \cdot 0.3075061 \cdot 0.6924939^2 \\ & \quad + 0.7557692 \cdot 0.3864307 \cdot 0.6135693^2) \\ & + \log(0.2442308 \cdot 0.3075061 \cdot 0.6924939^3 \\ & \quad + 0.7557692 \cdot 0.3864307 \cdot 0.6135693^3) = -7.2323861 \end{aligned}$$