Retrieval-Augmented Thought Process as Sequential Decision Making

Thomas Pouplin, Hao Sun, Samuel Holt, Mihaela Van der Schaar

arXiv:2402.07812v1 [cs.CL] 12 Feb 2024

Group Members:

- ✓ Abdul Ahad Shaikh (20K-0319)
- ✓ Mohammad Basil Ali Khan (20K-0477)
- ✓ Muhammad Umer (20K-0225)

Contents

Executive Summary

- The RATP framework represents a significant advancement in leveraging large language models (LLMs) for question answering tasks by integrating external factual documents into interpretable thought processes.
- This approach eliminates the need for extensive LLM training or fine-tuning, thus lowering barriers to their utilization in diverse applications. The methodology employs Monte Carlo Tree Search (MCTS) to dynamically construct thought processes, facilitating efficient knowledge retrieval and integration during question answering.
- Experimental validation on medical datasets like emrQA demonstrates superior performance compared to baseline methods, showcasing increased accuracy and reduced hallucinations in answers.
- The implications of RATP are profound, enabling broader adoption of LLMs in sensitive domains such as healthcare and finance.
- Future directions include refining scoring models and advancing planning policies to further enhance performance and applicability.

Background

- The background of the RATP (Retrieve and Transform with Planning) framework highlights the challenges and opportunities in leveraging large language models (LLMs) for question answering tasks, particularly in integrating external knowledge sources seamlessly.
- Traditional LLM-based approaches often struggle with contextual understanding and may produce inaccurate or incomplete answers when faced with complex queries or unfamiliar topics.
- RATP addresses this by incorporating factual documents into a structured thought process, allowing for more accurate and interpretable responses.
- By utilizing Monte Carlo Tree Search (MCTS) for dynamic thought construction, RATP enhances knowledge retrieval and integration, making LLMs more effective and adaptable across various domains, including healthcare and sensitive data applications.
- This background underscores the significance of RATP in advancing the capabilities and accessibility of LLMs for real-world question answering tasks.

Experiments and Results

Scoring Model Comparison:

Objective: To compare the performance of two scoring models, self-critics and model-based estimators, in predicting the relevance or quality of generated thoughts.

Key Findings: The model-based estimators outperform self-critics in predicting the oracle score, indicating their effectiveness in assessing the quality of generated thoughts. This suggests that using pre-defined algorithms to evaluate thought relevance leads to better performance.

Methodology:

Thoughts generated by the Monte Carlo Tree Search (MCTS) algorithm are scored using both self-critics and model-based estimators. The accuracy of these models in predicting the oracle score, which represents the ideal relevance score for each thought, is evaluated.

Experiments and Results

Open-Domain Question Answering:

Objective: To assess the performance of RATP in open-domain question-answering tasks using the Boolq dataset.

Key Findings: RATP achieves significant improvements in question-answering accuracy compared to baseline methods. It demonstrates resilience against irrelevant information and noisy context, leading to enhanced performance in open-domain question-answering tasks.

Methodology:

RATP is applied to generate answers to questions from the BoolQ dataset, with Wikipedia articles serving as the knowledge base. The accuracy of RATP is compared against baseline methods such as directly prompting an LLM and in-context information retrieval (RAG).

Experiments and Results

Question Answering on Private Knowledge:

Objective: To evaluate the performance of RATP in closed-domain question-answering tasks using the emrQA dataset, which comprises medical questions based on patient records.

Key Findings: RATP demonstrates superior accuracy compared to baseline methods, especially in scenarios where external knowledge plays a crucial role, such as medical question-answering. However, the performance of model-based estimators may drop in complex document structures, such as unstructured medical notes.

Methodology:

RATP is applied to generate answers to medical questions from the emrQA (Electronic medical records questions and answers) dataset, with chunks of patient records serving as the knowledge base. The accuracy of RATP is compared against baseline methods and oracles.

Key Findings

1. Introduction of RATP
2. Formalization as MDP (Markov Decision Process)
3. Utilization of MCTS (Monte-Carlo Tree Search)
4. Scoring Models (Two scoring models are proposed in the article)
5. Experiment Results
6. Interpretability and Efficiency
7. Unique Contributions
8. Ethical Considerations

Key Discussion Points

1. Effectiveness of RATP
2. Scoring Models
3. Experimental Results
4. Interpretability and Transparency
5. Future Research Directions
6. Ethical and Privacy Considerations
7. Practical Applications
8. Impact and Importance

Limitations

1. Limited Scope of Experiments
2. Evaluation Metrics
3. Simplistic Scoring Models
4. Assumption of Oracle Score
5. Limited Exploration of Ethical Implications
6. Scalability Challenges
7. Dependency on Pre-trained Models
8. Lack of Real-world Deployment

Thank You 2