

Audit of BSKT

A report of findings by

Genji Sakamoto

February 22th, 2020

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	2
Audited smart contracts	2
Audit Method	2
Audit Focus	2
Conclusion	3
Type of Issues	3
Findings.....	5
BasketCoin.sol	5
BSKTStaker.sol	5
Address index for Events	5
BSKTReward.sol	5
BSKTLPStaker.sol.....	5
BSKTLPReward.sol.....	6

Executive Summary

This audit report has been written to discover issues and vulnerabilities in the BSKT smart contracts.

This process included a line by line analysis of the in-scope contracts, optimization analysis, analysis of key functionalities and limiters, and reference against intended functionality.

Audited smart contracts

- BasketCoin.sol
- BSKTStaker.sol
- BSKTReward.sol
- BSKTLPStaker.sol
- BSKTLPReward.sol

Audit Method

- Static analysis based on source.
- Dynamic analysis by testing deployed ones on Ropsten.

Audit Focus

- Contract logic.
- Vulnerabilities for common and uncommon attacks
- Gas optimization
- Validation for variable limiters
- Transparency for all users.

Conclusion

While auditing the smart contracts for BSKT project, I found that the idea is very interesting that could attract many users in near future once deployed.

The logic is some tricky, but the whole flow of contracts meet the specification perfectly, except there are some issues I recommend to fix before deployment.

Type of Issues

Title	Description	Issues	SWC ID
Integer Overflow and Underflow	An overflow/underflow happens when an arithmetic operation reaches the maximum or minimum size of a type.	0	SWC-101
Function Incorrectness	Function implementation does not meet the specification, leading to intentional or unintentional vulnerabilities.	0	
Buffer Overflow	An attacker is able to write to arbitrary storage locations of a contract if array of out bound happens.	0	SWC-124
Reentrancy	A malicious contract can call back into the calling contract before the first invocation of the function is finished.	0	SWC-107
Transaction Order Dependence	A race condition vulnerability occurs when code depends on the order of the transactions submitted to it.	0	SWC-114
Timestamp Dependence	Timestamp can be influenced by minors to some degree.	0	SWC-116
Insecure Compiler Version	Using a fixed outdated compiler version or floating pragma can be problematic, if there are publicly disclosed bugs and issues that affect the current compiler version used.	0	SWC-102 SWC-103
Insecure Randomness	Block attributes are insecure to generate random numbers, as they can be influenced by minors to some degree.	0	SWC-120

“tx.origin” for authorization	“tx.origin” should not be used for authorization. Use “msg.sender” instead.	0	SWC-115
Delegate call to Untrusted Calling	Calling into untrusted contracts is very dangerous, the target and arguments provided must be sanitized.	0	SWC-112
State Variable Default Visibility	Labeling the visibility explicitly makes it easier to catch incorrect assumptions about who can access the variable.	0	SWC-108
Function Default Visibility	Functions are public by default. A malicious user is able to make unauthorized or unintended state changes if a developer forgot to set the visibility.	0	SWC-100
Uninitialized Variables	Uninitialized local storage variables can point to other unexpected storage variables in the contract.	0	SWC-109
Assertion Failure	The assert() function is meant to assert invariants. Properly functioning code should never reach a failing assert statement.	0	SWC-110
Deprecated Solidity Features	Several functions and operators in Solidity are deprecated and should not be used as best practice.	0	SWC-111
Unused Variables	Unused variables reduce code quality.	0	

Findings

BasketCoin.sol

The BasketCoin contract was implemented without any issue. I just recommend to trigger events for update functions, but not must.

- updateSaleMode
- updateSaleStatus
- addAddressToWhiteList
- removeAddressFromWhiteList

BSKTStaker.sol

Address index for Events

It is better to index addresses of the events for improving the efficiency of getting all events for a user.

```
// Events
event Staked(address staker, uint256 amount);
event Unstaked(address staker, uint256 amount);
event Claim(address staker, uint256 amount);
```

BSKTReward.sol

The BSKTReward contract was implemented without issue.

Only BSKT staking contract can call the giveReward function to give reward, so there is no risk.

BSKTLPStaker.sol

BSKTStaker contract implemented a good staking and reward logic using the snapshot history.

It works perfect for earlier and more staking, more reward.

BSKTLPReward.sol

The BSKTLPReward contract was implemented without issue.

Only BSKTLP staking contract can call the giveReward function to give reward, so there is no risk.