Chapter 1

Metric Spaces

1.1 Definitions and examples

Proposition 1 (Inverse Triangle Inequality). For all elements x, y, z in a metric space (X, d), we have:

$$|d(x,y) - d(x,z)| \le |d(y,z)|$$

Proof. Let (X, d) be a metric space, and let x, y, z be three aribtrary elements in X. From the triangle inequality, that we know d satisfy from the definition of a metric space, we have:

$$d(x,y) \le d(x,z) + d(z,y).$$

Now, in order to show that the inverse triangle inequality holds, note that the absolute value involved is going to be the largest of the two numbers d(x,y) - d(x,z) and d(x,z) - d(x,y). It therefore suffices to show that both of these must be smaller than d(y,z).

Simply rearranging the triangle inequality shows us that the first inequality holds.

$$d(x,y) - d(x,z) \le d(y,z)$$

Applying the triangle inequality to the points x, z with y as an intermediate point gives us

$$d(x,z) \le d(x,y) + d(y,z),$$

and this can be rearranged to give the second inequality.

1.2 Convergence and continuity

Definition 1. Let (X,d) be a metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X converges to a point $a \in X$ if there for every $\varepsilon > 0$ exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(x_n, a) < \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq N$. We write $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = a$ or $x_n \to a$.

Lemma 1. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a metric space (X,d) converges to a if and only if $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n,a)=0$.

Proof. This is simply a reformulation of the previous definition, but we prove it rigorously by showing that these statements are equivalent. Let (X, d) be a metric space, and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in this metric space.

Let $a \in X$ and assume that $\{x_n\}$ converges to a. By definition of convergence, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we can chose an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(x_n, a) < \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq N$. This simply means that we can force the distance between the elements in $\{x_n\}$ and a to be arbitrarily close to zero by picking elements far out in the sequence.

We can generate a new sequence of the distances between the elements of $\{x_n\}$ and a, namely the sequence $\{d(x_n, a)\}$. Since we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = a$ we know that the following limit must equate to zero:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, a) = d(a, a) = 0.$$

Now, assume that $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, a) = 0$. For this equation to be true, we must have $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = a$, because we have equality only when $x_n = a$, by the definition of a metric. But then, by definition, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to a.

Proposition 2. A sequence in a metric space can not converge to more than one point.

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in a metric space (X, d). Assume for contradiction that $\{x_n\}$ converges to both the point a and the point a'. By definition of convergence, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = a$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = a'$. Using the triangle inequality we have:

$$d(a, a') \le d(a, x_n) + d(x_n, a').$$

Taking the limits we get the following inequality:

$$d(a, a') \le \lim_{n \to \infty} d(a, x_n) + \lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, a') = 0 + 0 = 0,$$

but this is only possible if a = a'. (The limits equal zero, due to the previous lemma.)

Definition 2. Assume that (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) are two metric spaces. A function $f: X \to Y$ is *continuous* at a point $a \in X$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $d_Y(f(x), f(a)) < \varepsilon$ whenever $d_X(x, a) < \delta$.

Proposition 3. The following are equivalent for a function $f: X \to Y$ between metric spaces:

- (i) f is continuous at a point $a \in X$.
- (ii) For all sequences $\{x_n\}$ converging to a, the sequence $\{f(x_n)\}$ converges to f(a).

Proof. We show that this is true by showing both the left and right implication. Let us first assume that f is continuous at a point $a \in X$. By definition of continuity we have that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $d(f(x), f(a)) < \varepsilon$ whenever $d(x, a) < \delta$.

Assume now that an arbitrary sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to a. This means that $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = a$. Therefore, we must have $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(x_n) = f(a)$ since functions

obey the axiom of substitiom from zet theory. Thus the right implication is shown. We now need to show the left implication.

Assume that for all sequences $\{x_n\}$ converging to a, the sequence $\{f(x_n)\}$ converges to f(a). We must now show that this implies that f is continuous at a. Since we have $f(x_n) \to f(a)$ there exists, for all $\varepsilon > 0$ an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that picking elements farther than N into the sequence, we can get the distance between these elements and f(a) arbitrarily small. But this is the definition of f being continuous at the point a.

Chapter 2

Preliminaries

Chapter 3

Spaces of continuous functions

3.1 The spaces C(X,Y)

Lemma 2. Let (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) be metric spaces, and assume that X is compact. If $f, g: X \to Y$ are continuous functions, then

$$\rho(f,g) = \sup \{ d_Y (f(x), g(x)) \mid x \in X \}^{1}.$$

is finite, and there is a point $x \in X$ such that $d_Y(f(x), g(x)) = \rho(f, g)$.

Proof. The condition that X is compact is crucial in this situation, because it allows us to make use of the extreme value theorem. This theorem states that if X is compact, and if the function with X as its domain is continuous, then said function has a maximum and minimum point in X.

We introduce the function

$$h(x) = d_Y(f(x), g(x)).$$

We need to show that this function is continuous. We do this by showing that |h(x) - h(y)| can be forced to be less than ε . Using the triangle inequality and the inverse triangle inequality we get

$$|h(x) - h(y)| = |d_Y(f(x), g(x)) - d_Y(f(y), g(y))|$$

$$= |d_Y(f(x), g(x)) - d_Y(f(x), g(y)) + d_Y(f(x), g(y)) - d_Y(f(y), g(y))|$$

$$\leq |d_Y(f(x), g(x)) - d_Y(f(x), g(y))| + |d_Y(f(x), g(y)) - d_Y(f(y), g(y))|$$

$$\leq d_Y(g(x), g(y)) + d_Y(f(x), f(y))$$

Since both f and g are continuous functions, we can always chose a $\delta > 0$ such that $\leq d_Y(g(x), g(y)) < \varepsilon/2$ and $d_Y(f(x), f(y)) < \varepsilon/2$.

We therefore have

$$|h(x) - h(y)| \le d_Y\left(g(x), g(y)\right) + d_Y\left(f(x), f(y)\right) < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon,$$

 $^{^{1}\}mathrm{The}$ basic idea is to measure the distance between two functions by looking at the point they are the furthest apart

and by the extreme value theorem p(f,g) is finite and there is a point $x \in X$ such that $d_Y(f(x),g(x)) = \rho(f,g)$.

We can now show that ρ is a metric on C(X,Y).

Proposition 4. Let (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) be metric spaces, and assume that X is compact. Then

$$\rho(f,g) = \sup \{ d_Y (f(x), g(x)) \mid x \in X \}$$

defines a metric on C(X,Y).

Proof. We need to show symmetry, positivity and the triangle inequality.

1. Symmetry

We need to show that $\rho(f,g) = \rho(g,f)$. Since d_Y is a metric, we have $d_Y(f(x),g(x)) = d_Y(g(x),f(x))$ therefore this property follows from the d_Y metric.

2. Positivity

Again, this follows from the metric d_Y .

3. Triangle inequality

Need to show that $\rho(f,g) \leq \rho(f,h) + \rho(h,g)$. By Lemma 2, there is an $x \in X$ such that $\rho(f,g) = d_Y(f(x),g(x))$. We therefore have

$$\rho(f,g) = d_Y(f(x), g(x))
\leq d_Y(f(x), h(x)) + d_Y(h(x), g(x))
= \rho(f, h) + \rho(h, g).$$

as we wanted to show.

Proposition 5. A sequence $\{f_n\}$ converges to f in $(C(X,Y), \rho)$ if and only if it converges uniformly to f.

Proof. By Proposition 3.2.3, $\{f_n\}$ converges uniformly to f if and only if

$$\sup \{d_Y(f_n(x), f(x))\} \to 0,$$

as $n \to \infty$. But this is just saying that $\rho(f_n, f) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Theorem 1. Assume that (X, d_X) is a compact and (Y, d_Y) a complete metric space. Then $(C(X, Y), \rho)$ is complete.

Proof. Assume that $\{f_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in C(X,Y). We must prove that it converges, by the definition of a complete space, to a function $f \in C(X,Y)$.

3.2 Applications to differential equations

3.3 Compact subsets of $C(X, \mathbb{R}^m)$

Definition 3. Let (X,d) be a metric space and assume that A is a subset of X. We say that A is *dense* in X if for each $x \in X$ there is a sequence from A converging to x.

Definition 4. A metric set (X, d) is called *separable* if it has a countable, dense subset A

Proposition 6. All compact metric spaces (X,d) are separable. We can choose the countable dense set A in such a way that for any $\delta > 0$, there is a finite subset A_{δ} of A such that all elements of X are within distance less than δ of A_{δ} , i.e., for all $x \in X$ there is an $a \in A_{\delta}$ such that $d(x,a) < \delta$.

Proof. We need to show that (X,d) has a countable dense subset A. We know that by definition, a compact set X is totally bounded. This means that there exists a finite number of subsets of X whose union contains X. More formally, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a finite number of balls of radius $\frac{1}{n}$ that cover X. The centers of these balls constitute a countable subset of X, lets call it A.

All we need to do now, is show that this countable subset A of X is also dense. For A to be dense, we need that for each $x \in X$ there is a sequence from A converging to x. Let x be an element of X. We need to find a sequence $\{a_n\}$ that converges to x in A.

That is $d(\{a_n\}, x) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. First we pick the center a_1 of one of the balls of radius 1 that x belongs to. Then we pick the center a_2 of one of the balls of radius $\frac{1}{2}$ that x belongs to, and so on and so forth. To find the set A_{δ} just chose $m \in \mathbb{N}$ so big that $\frac{1}{m} < \delta$ and let A_{δ} consist of the centers of the balls of radius $\frac{1}{m}$.