

-
1. Let's imagine we add support to our dynamic array for a new operation **PopBack** (which removes the last element), and that **PopBack** never reallocates the associated dynamically-allocated array. Calling **PopBack** on an empty dynamic array is an error.

1 / 1 point

If we have a sequence of 48 operations on an empty dynamic array: 24 **PushBack** and 24 **PopBack** (not necessarily in that order), we clearly end with a size of 0.

What are the minimum and maximum possible final capacities given such a sequence of 48 operations on an empty dynamic array? Assume that **PushBack** doubles the capacity, if necessary, as in lecture.

- ☐ minimum: 1, maximum: 1
 - ☒ minimum: 1, maximum: 32
 - ☐ minimum: 24, maximum: 24
 - ☐ minimum: 32, maximum: 32
 - ☐ minimum: 1, maximum: 24
-

2. Let's imagine we add support to our dynamic array for a new operation **PopBack** (which removes the last element). **PopBack** will reallocate the dynamically-allocated array if the size is \leq the capacity / 2 to a new array of half the capacity. So, for example, if, before a **PopBack** the size were 5 and the capacity were 8, then after the **PopBack**, the size would be 4 and the capacity would be 4.

1 / 1 point

Give an example of n operations starting from an empty array that require $O(n^2)$ copies.

- ☒ Let n be a power of 2. Add $n/2$ elements, then alternate $n/4$ times between doing a **PushBack** of an element and a **PopBack**.
- ☐ **PushBack** 2 elements, and then alternate $n/2 - 1$ **PushBack** and **PopBack** operations.
- ☐ **PushBack** $n/2$ elements, and then **PopBack** $n/2$ elements.

3. Let's imagine we add support to our dynamic array for a new operation **PopBack** (which removes the last element). Calling **PopBack** on an empty dynamic array is an error.

1 / 1 point

PopBack reallocates the dynamically-allocated array to a new array of half the capacity if the size is $\leq \text{the capacity} / 4$. So, for example, if, before a **PopBack** the size were 5 and the capacity were 8, then after the **PopBack**, the size would be 4 and the capacity would be 8. Only after two more **PopBack** when the size went down to 2 would the capacity go down to 4.

We want to consider the worst-case sequence of any n **PushBack** and **PopBack** operations, starting with an empty dynamic array.

What potential function would work best to show an amortized $O(1)$ cost per operation?

- ☐ $\Phi(h) = \max(0, 2 \times \text{size} - \text{capacity})$
- ☐ $\Phi(h) = 2$
- ☒ $\Phi(h) = \max(2 \times \text{size} - \text{capacity}, \text{capacity}/2 - \text{size})$
- ☐ $\Phi(h) = 2 \times \text{size} - \text{capacity}$

4. Imagine a stack with a new operation: **PopMany** which takes a parameter, i , that specifies how many elements to pop from the stack. The cost of this operation is i , the number of elements that need to be popped.

1 / 1 point

Without this new operation, the amortized cost of any operation in a sequence of stack operations (Push, Pop, Top) is $O(1)$ since the true cost of each operation is $O(1)$.

What is the amortized cost of any operation in a sequence of n stack operations (starting with an empty stack) that includes **PopMany** (choose the best answers)?

- ☒ $O(1)$ because we can define $\Phi(h) = \text{size}$.

✓ Correct
Correct.

Push operations will have an amortized cost of 2: 1 for the push, and 1 for the change in Φ .

Pop operation will have an amortized cost of 0: 1 for the pop, and -1 for the change in Φ .

PopMany operations will have an amortized cost of 0: i for the pop, and $-i$ for the change in Φ .

Thus, the worst-case amortized cost is 2, which is $O(1)$.

- ☐ $O(1)$ because there wouldn't be that many PopMany operations.
- ☐ $O(n)$ because we could push $n - 1$ items and then do one big PopMany($n - 1$) that would take $O(n)$ time.
- ☒ $O(1)$ because we can place one token on each item in the stack when it is pushed. That token will pay for popping it off with a PopMany.

✓ Correct
Correct. Add a token to each element on the stack as it is pushed. Then, on a PopMany, use those tokens to pay for the popping cost of each. Thus, the amortized cost is 2 which is $O(1)$.

- ☒ $O(1)$ because the sum of the costs of all PopMany operations in a total of n operations is $O(n)$.