Comments Labeling

Pro_Immigration

This position includes those sources <u>explicitly stating that immigration is beneficial</u>, citing reasons such as economic growth, addressing labor shortages, or solving demographic challenges like low birth rates.

- Es: If there were not immigrants, Swedish population would be now <5 millions. :D

It also includes those who emphasize the <u>critical situations in immigrants' countries</u> of origin, highlighting the need for asylum and humanitarian support. Sometimes this can be used as a sort of justification.

- Es: Create more wars = create more immigrants. EU keeps funding places like Israel, also helped invade Afghanistan, Libya, Iraq... You reap what you sow.

In other cases, this stance can be labeled by virtue of the message it's answering too. There are different cases

- Es: Message1: I love melting pots (Pro_Immigration)
 Message 2: I agree (Pro_Immigration)
- Es: Message1: we should send all these arabs back to their countries (Contra_Immigration)

 Message2: you're just being racist (Pro_Immigration)

The second example, although not explicitly supporting immigration, can be considered as "Pro Immigration" since it's contrasting the position of "Contra Immigration".

Contra_Immigration

This position refers to those explicitly stating that immigration is problematic, citing reasons such as economic burden, cultural or social disruption, security concerns, or strain on public services.

- Es: Take it back! 🌯 🛫 👋

It includes those who advocate for stricter immigration policies, border controls, or measures to reduce immigration.

- Es: there is nothing far right to be pro stricter migration laws

Also in this case, this stance can be inferred by virtue of the comment it's answering to. Like the previous case, there can be different "degree of expliciteness":

- Es: Message1: We lost our homeland for fear of being called a racist. Message2: Same here in France!
 - In this case, the second message it's implying that also in France there's an "invasion of immigrants"
- Es: Message1: We lost our homeland for fear of being called a racist. Message2: Leftism corrupts everything it touches
 - In this case, although it's not explicitly addressing the issue of immigration, being an answer to the previous comment, "Message2" blames left political correctness, working as a support argument for Message1.
- Es: Message1: We lost our homeland for fear of being called a racist.

 Message2: Jewish
 - This example follows the same logic of the previous, implying that that "Message1" is saying is true, and (+) it's jewish's faults.

Es: Message1: I love melting pots
 Message2: Shut up, you don't know what you're taling about!

Like before, in this last example, although not explicitly contrasting immigration, Message2 can be considered as "Pro Immigration" since it's contrasting the position of "Contra Immigration".

Other/None/Neutral

This position includes different cases.

- 1) Comments that do not explicitly support or oppose immigration but may discuss it in a balanced or neutral manner. It includes those who present immigration as a complex issue with both positive and negative aspects, without leaning strongly towards either pro- or contra-immigration stances. This category can also include informational or educational content that aims to provide an unbiased overview of immigration-related topics. This case is very rare, usually commenting people have a specific stance on the topic.
- Es: Message1: Immigration started in these recent years.
- 2) Comments for which it's hard to understand the stance, because of the way they're written (slang, abbreviations, inside jokes etc.).
- Es: Message1: We lost our homeland for fear of being called a racist.

 Message2: Casual Swedish move
- Es: Message1: We lost our homeland for fear of being called a racist. Message2: Who forced you to do it?
- 3) Comments that are just attacking the the other users, or the "opponent's argument" without explicitly taking a stance on immigration
- Es: Message1 More than half of the UAE I wonder why they do not have problems or attacks like this?? Hhmmmm only in Europe?? You should know the answer if you are clever enough Message2: @@Jouy195 who are you replying to? You have to tag who you are replying to, otherwise, there is no continuity. If you are clever enough, that is.
- 4) Comments for which it's hard to understand the stance, because of an issue with the Edge (see below):
- Es: Message1: We lost our homeland for fear of being called a racist.

 Message2: @@Itoshimi karma for what? and what country are you from?