

---

# Planning and Scheduling

## Assignment 2

## Representations

---

Bastian Lang

October 11, 2015

### 1 REMARK

For part 1 and 4 of this assignment I only rewrote the problem, I did not correct the given description. For a *pickup* or an *unstack* operation the robot hand would have to be empty, i.e.  $\text{holding} = \text{nil}$ . But this is not listed as a precondition in the given problem description, so I did not add it in my solution.

Also I did not add a definition of the constant symbols and the state variables as this has not been done by the provided classical representation as well.

### 2 REWRITE THE PROBLEM AS A SET-THEORETIC PLANNING PROBLEM.

$s_0 = \{\text{on-c1-table}, \text{on-c3-c2}, \text{clear-c3}, \text{on-c2-table}, \text{clear-c1}\}$

$g = \{\text{on-c1-c2}, \text{on-c2-c3}\}$

#### 2.1 PICKUP

**Rule:** For every block  $cX$  exchange  $x$  of the classical representation with  $cX$ .

##### **pickup-c1**

precond:  $\text{on-c1-table}, \text{clear}(c1)$

effects:  $\neg \text{on-c1-table}, \neg \text{clear}(c1), \text{holding}(c1)$

**pickup-c2**

precond: on-c2-table, clear(c2)

effects:  $\neg$  on-c2-table,  $\neg$  clear(c2), holding(c2)

**pickup-c3**

precond: on-c3-table, clear(c3)

effects:  $\neg$  on-c3-table,  $\neg$  clear(c3), holding(c3)

## 2.2 PUTDOWN

**Rule:** For every block cX exchange x of the classical representation with cX.

**putdown-c1**

precond: holding(c1)

effects: on-c1-table, clear(c1),  $\neg$ holding(c1)

**putdown-c2**

precond: holding(c2)

effects: on-c2-table, clear(c2),  $\neg$ holding(c2)

**putdown-c3**

precond: holding(c3)

effects: on-c3-table, clear(c3),  $\neg$ holding(c3)

## 2.3 UNSTACK

**Rule:** For every two blocks cX and cY exchange x of the classical representation with cX and y with cY.

**unstack-c1-c2**

precond: on-c1-c2, clear(c1)

effects:  $\neg$ on-c1-c2,  $\neg$ clear-c1, holding-c1, clear-c2

**unstack-c1-c3**

precond: on-c1-c3, clear(c1)

effects:  $\neg$ on-c1-c3,  $\neg$ clear-c1, holding-c1, clear-c3

**unstack-c2-c3**

precond: on-c2-c3, clear(c2)

effects:  $\neg$ on-c2-c3,  $\neg$ clear-c2, holding-c2, clear-c3

## 2.4 STACK

**Rule:** For every two blocks cX and cY exchange x of the classical representation with cX and y with cY.

**stack-c1-c2**

precond: holding-c1, clear-c2

effects: clear-c1, on-c1-c2,  $\neg$ clear-c2,  $\neg$ holding-c1

**stack-c1-c3**

precond: holding-c1, clear-c3

effects: clear-c1, on-c1-c3,  $\neg$ clear-c3,  $\neg$ holding-c1

**stack-c3-c2**

precond: holding-c3, clear-c2

effects: clear-c3, on-c3-c2,  $\neg$ clear-c2,  $\neg$ holding-c3

## 3 WHY ARE THERE SEPARATE OPERATORS FOR PUTDOWN AND STACK, RATHER THAN A SINGLE OPERATOR FOR BOTH?

Because in this problem there is always an empty spot on the table. So to put down a block the only precondition to check is if the block is being held right now.

To stack a block upon another block, there is one more precondition to check: there may not already be another block upon the second block.

#### 4 IN THE DWR DOMAIN, WHY DO WE NOT NEED TWO OPERATORS ANALOGOUS TO PUTDOWN AND STACK FOR PLACING CONTAINERS ONTO A PILE WITH A CRANE?

In DWR containers may only be placed on piles. So the pile has always to be specified. Therefore we cannot have a *take* or *put* operation that does not specify the pile.

I *guess* that by choosing nil or the pile itself as the *d* in the *put* operation (*d* = object the chosen container is put upon) one can address an empty pile. But then also *top(p,p)* should be valid - a pile being the top of itself. Otherwise there are two operations missing in the slide set (putting and taking from an empty pile).

#### 5 REWRITE THE PROBLEM AS A STATE-VARIABLE PLANNING PROBLEM.

$s_0 = \{\text{pos}(c1) = \text{table}, \text{pos}(c3) = c2, \text{clear}(c3) = 1, \text{pos}(c2) = \text{table}, \text{clear}(c2) = 1\}$   
 $g = \{\text{pos}(c1) = c2, \text{pos}(c2) = c3\}$

##### 5.1 PICKUP

**pickup(x: block)**

preconds:  $\text{pos}(x) = \text{table}, \text{clear}(x) = 1$

effects:  $\text{pos}(x) = \text{nil}, \text{clear}(x) = 0, \text{holding} = x$

##### 5.2 PUTDOWN

**putdown(x: block)**

preconds:  $\text{holding} = x$

effects:  $\text{pos}(x) = \text{table}, \text{clear}(x) = 1, \text{holding} = \text{nil}$

##### 5.3 UNSTACK

**unstack(x: block, y: block)**

preconds:  $\text{pos}(x) = y, \text{clear}(x) = 1$

effects:  $\text{pos}(x) = \text{nil}, \text{clear}(x) = 0, \text{holding} = x, \text{clear}(y) = 1$

##### 5.4 STACK

**stack(x: block, y: block)**

preconds:  $\text{holding} = x, \text{clear}(y) = 1$

effects:  $\text{clear}(x) = 1, \text{pos}(x) = y, \text{clear}(y) = 0, \text{holding} = \text{nil}$