



Mechanisms and adsorption capacities of biochar for the removal of organic and inorganic pollutants from industrial wastewater

T. G. Ambaye, M. Vaccari, Eric D. van Hullebusch, A. Amrane, S. Rtimi

► To cite this version:

T. G. Ambaye, M. Vaccari, Eric D. van Hullebusch, A. Amrane, S. Rtimi. Mechanisms and adsorption capacities of biochar for the removal of organic and inorganic pollutants from industrial wastewater. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, 2021, 18 (10), pp.3273-3294. 10.1007/s13762-020-03060-w . hal-03127361

HAL Id: hal-03127361

<https://hal.science/hal-03127361v1>

Submitted on 1 Feb 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



Mechanisms and adsorption capacities of biochar for the removal of organic and inorganic pollutants from industrial wastewater

T. G. Ambaye^{1,2} · M. Vaccari¹ · E. D. van Hullebusch³ · A. Amrane⁴ · S. Rtimi⁵ 

Received: 7 August 2020 / Revised: 1 November 2020 / Accepted: 4 December 2020
© The Author(s) 2020

Abstract

Currently, due to the rapid growth of urbanization and industrialization in developing countries, a large volume of wastewater is produced from industries that contain chemicals generating high environmental risks affecting human health and the economy if not treated properly. Consequently, the development of a sustainable low-cost wastewater treatment approach has attracted more attention of policymakers and scientists. The present review highlights the recent applications of biochar in removing organic and inorganic pollutants present in industrial effluents. The recent modes of preparation, physicochemical properties and adsorption mechanisms of biochar in removing organic and inorganic industrial pollutants are also reviewed comprehensively. Biochar showed high adsorption of industrial dyes up to 80%. It also discusses the recent application and mechanism of biochar-supported photocatalytic materials for the degradation of organic contaminants in wastewater. We reviewed also the possible optimizations (such as the pyrolysis temperature, solution pH) allowing the increase of the adsorption capabilities of biochar leading to organic contaminants removal. Besides, increasing the pyrolysis temperature of the biochar was seen to lead to an increase in its surface area, while it decreases their amount of oxygen-containing functional groups, consequently leading to a decrease in the adsorption of metal (loid) ions present in the medium. Finally, the review suggests that more research should be carried out to optimize the main parameters involved in biochar production and its regeneration methods. Future efforts should be also carried out towards process engineering to improve its adsorption capacity to increase the economic benefits of its implementation.

Keywords Adsorption · Biochar-supported photocatalysts · Inorganic/organic pollutants · Industrial wastewater

Introduction

A large amount of wastewater is generated every day from industry (coal and steel industry, non-metallic minerals industry and industries for the surface processing of metals like iron picking and electroplating), which has a huge effect on the environment (Inyang et al. 2012). For this reason, different approaches were applied to treat the industrial wastewater such as advanced oxidation processes (AOPs), reverse osmosis, adsorption, ion exchange, ozonation, precipitation, filtration with coagulation and coagulation process (Park et al. 2011). However, most of these processes require high operational and capital costs. This has been considered as the main obstacle that restricts their application for the abatement/removal of potentially toxic contaminants from polluted waters in developed and developing countries (Giannakis et al. 2017; Villagas-Guzman et al. 2017; Lou et al. 2017; Ambaye et al. 2020).

Biochar, a solid formed from the pyrolysis of biomass at a temperature below 700 °C under low or in the absence

Editorial responsibility: Samareh Mirkia.

✉ S. Rtimi
Rtimi.sami@gmail.com

¹ Department of Civil, Environmental, Architectural Engineering and Mathematics, University of Brescia, Via Branze 43, 25123 Brescia, Italy

² Department of Chemistry, Mekelle University, Mekelle, Ethiopia

³ Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris, CNRS, Université de Paris, 75005 Paris, France

⁴ Ecole Nationale Supérieure de Chimie de Rennes, CNRS, ISCR – UMR6226, University of Rennes, 35000 Rennes, France

⁵ Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland



of oxygen (Park et al. 2011). The resulting solid is rich in carbon and presents a promising adsorption ability allowing it to remove organic and inorganic contaminants from wastewater. Different waste materials such as straw, faces, sludge have been tested as raw materials for biochar production. Park et al. (2016) proved that different methods such as pyrolysis, hydrothermal carbonization, gasification can be used to produce biochar. One can conclude that the performance of biochar can be affected by (i) the type of the organic materials feedstock, the preparation temperature, modification approaches, among others. Adsorption ability of biochar has been used to manage waste resources (Park et al. 2011), improve soil performance (Liu and Zhang 2009), mitigate the climate change and as renewable biofuels (Kołodziejńska et al. 2012).

Many scientists studied the feasibility of biochar prepared from animal manure, plant residues and biosolids for the adsorption of pesticides, pharmaceuticals, hormones and potentially toxic metals (Downie et al. 2009; Joseph et al. 2010; Sun et al.; 2014). They showed that biochar exhibited high efficiency for adsorbing pollutants when compared to activated carbons. Similar results were reported by Özçimen and Karaosmanoğlu (2004) and Hossain et al. (2011) in their studies to remove endocrine disrupting organic compounds from aqueous solutions using biochar prepared from plant biomass. They showed that biochar was able to remove up to 60% of organic pollutants such as triazine herbicide, α -ethinylestradiol, atrazine and bisphenol. They concluded that the absorption ability of biochar to remove pollutants depends upon the preparation feedstock and physiochemical properties of both the biochar and the pollutant. Rice husk was used for the removal of two dyes, namely safranin and methylene blue (Inyang et al. 2014). The adsorption constants showed values of 838 and 312 mg/g for the two dyes, respectively (Peng et al. 2011).

Sawdust is an agricultural waste material that can be used for the abatement of many dyes, toxic metals and salts from wastewater (Peng et al. 2011). This material contains many compounds (such as cellulose, lignin and hemicellulose) and polyphenolic groups, which play a vital role in binding to organic compounds through various mechanisms including ion exchange, complexation and hydrogen bonding (Thies and Rillig 2009).

The adsorption capacity of orange peel leading to dyes removal from industrial and domestic effluents was extensively studied. The effect of some physicochemical parameters that may affect the absorption performance such as the biochar dosage, the medium acidity, the reaction time and the dyes concentration was studied. Adsorption capacities of 10.72 and 21.05 mg/g were found for direct red 23 and 80 within the first 15 min at initial pH 2 (Fuertes et al. 2010). The adsorption capacity of wastes from steel and fertilizer industries for the adsorption of cationic dyes was

investigated by Bhatnagar and Jain (2014). Cao and Harris (2010) showed that a very low dyes' adsorption performance was observed for adsorbents prepared from blast furnace sludge, dust and slag. These adsorbents were seen to exhibit a low surface area associated with a poor porosity. However, chemical activation using metal hydroxide reagents (e.g. KOH) is the most effective technique for producing high ($> 1800 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$) surface area of sludge-based adsorbents (Van Zwieten et al. 2010). In recent reports, high efficiency removal of organic pollutants from industrial effluents was observed for biochar; however, the physicochemical properties of biochar may play as limiting factors (Rajapaksha et al. 2015; Peiris et al. 2017; Bielská et al. 2018; Zhang et al. 2018). The disposal of organics and inorganics has become a serious environmental problem, and due to this, there have been stringent regulations for such wastes. Recent research articles showed that technology-based on biochar adsorption is effective in removing heavy metals from wastewater (Inyang et al. 2016; Rizwan et al. 2016; O'Connor et al. 2018; Wei et al. 2018). This material has good adsorption capacity for typical industrial wastewater pollutants such as potentially toxic metals, organic pollutants, phosphorus and nitrogen compounds. Biochar can be used as a release controlling agent in fertilizers thanks to its good adsorption capacity for phosphorus and nitrogen as previously reported (Chen et al. 2011a, b; Yao et al. 2011a, b 2013a, b; Zhang et al. 2013a, b). Because biochar is an environmentally friendly absorbent, the knowledge of its physicochemical properties and a systematic understanding of the adsorption mechanisms is a matter of topical interest.

The adsorption mechanism of biochar to remove organic and inorganic pollutants can be based on electrostatic interaction, ion exchange, pore filling and precipitation. This depends upon the physiochemical characteristics of biochar such as dosage, pyrolysis temperature, pH of the medium/effluent (Pellera et al. 2012; Ahmad et al. 2014; Lam et al. 2016; Mubarak et al. 2016; Vithanage et al. 2016; Younis et al. 2016; Rehman et al. 2017; Qayyum et al. 2017). However, due to rapidly growing urbanization and industrialization in developing countries, a large volume of wastewater is generated, which contains chemicals generating high environmental risks which could affect health and socioeconomic activities. Consequently, understanding the application of biochar in wastewater treatment and water purification gets increasing attention even if there is a lack of data dealing with adsorbents for industrial wastewater treatment.

In this paper, we review various forms of biochar sorbing materials for the abatement of pollutants from industrial wastewater. The preparation methods, the biochar characterization, and the different mechanisms involved during pollutant adsorption are discussed, as well as biochar regeneration. Its economic and environmental benefit is also analysed, especially regarding its application on a large scale. The



review also highlights the future research directions, especially regarding environmental applications of biochar.

Preparation and characteristics of biochar

Preparation methods of biochar

Different methods were used for the preparation of biochar. Table 1 summarises some of the preparation methods, preparation conditions and the absorption yield of recently available biochars. Pyrolysis appears to be a major method for the preparation of biochar. Pyrolysis is a decomposition taking place at high temperature in the absence of oxygen. It can be qualified as rapid, slow or “flash” pyrolysis based on the temperature and the reaction time (Downie et al. 2009; Sun et al. 2014). Solid products can be formed due to slower heating rates and lower pyrolysis temperatures. As shown in Table 1, the yield of solid product in the slow pyrolysis is usually 35%, and this reveals that slow pyrolysis can be assumed as the main preparation method of biochar among the three-pyrolysis techniques (Özçimen and Karaosmanoğlu 2004).

Hydrothermal carbonization (HTC) is another major technique for the preparation of biochar. The biochar formed by HTC is more favourable for adsorption of pollutants in aqueous media. Harmful substances are not produced by this process. However, the main limitations associated with this method are related to the high-pressure requirement concomitant to high temperature, and the cost of the reactor as reported by Van Zwieten et al. (2010); however, it may be time-consuming which leads to high cost (Van Zwieten et al. 2010) delaying its practical application. However, recent studies showed that treating sewage sludges and digestate using HTC can overpass its limitation in energy compared to other thermochemical processes. The hydrochar that can be produced from this process was seen to be more stable (Parmar and Ross 2019). For this reason, hydrochar from HTC can improve the biogas production and soil amendment/remediation. There is a need of more research for coupling HTC with anaerobic digestion of process waters in the future for large-scale applications (Parmar and Ross 2019).

In addition to slow pyrolysis and HTC, other methods include rapid pyrolysis, “flash” pyrolysis, gasification and drying. However, such methods have a small yield of solid

products and are typically used to produce bio-oil or gaseous materials (Hossain et al. 2011). For example, the gasification method has a yield of about 85% for the gas product. The content of gaseous products increases by the increase of the temperature.

Characteristics

The physicochemical properties of biochar are highly influenced by the biomass origin and the preparation conditions. Different biomass presenting different physical, chemical and structural properties can be used to produce biochar. Theoretically, biochar can be prepared from any type of biomass. Various types of feedstock could be used to prepare biochar such as agricultural, aquatic or forest crops (Sun et al. 2014). Biochar can also be produced by transforming/or processing different biowastes such as husks, sawdust, shells and hulls. Other sources of biomass including manures, municipal solid wastes, sewage sludge (Zhang et al. 2017) are shown in Fig. 1.

Many factors can affect the physicochemical properties of biochar. One of the parameters that affect the properties of biochar is the types of the raw material and the size of the substrate. The pyrolysis type (slow, rapid or flash), the temperature, the heating rate, the duration of pyrolysis can also affect drastically the quality of the resulting biochar (Downie et al. 2009; Peng et al. 2011; Thies and Rillig 2009). Biochar presents an abundant number of surface functional groups such as hydroxyl, methyl, carbonyl and carboxyl (Fuentes et al. 2010). Many factors can affect its structure such as the high carbon content, oxygen-containing aromatic functional groups and its high porosity. Its porosity, stable molecular structure and its surface area favour the pollutants' adsorption on its surface (Chen et al. 2014). Some of the above-mentioned factors that affect the removal of contaminants from industrial wastewater are discussed below.

Biochar's properties influencing its activity


The properties of biochar depend upon the pyrolysis temperature, the residence time, the considered feedstock and the thermal conversion technology as mentioned earlier. These factors also affect the removal efficiency of various

Table 1 Conventional techniques used to prepare biochar (Downie et al. 2009; Novak et al. 2009; Sun et al. 2014)

Preparation methods	Temperature (°C)	Heating rate	Reaction time	Yield (%)		
				Solid	Liquid	Gas
Slow pyrolysis	< 700	Slow	Hours	35	30	35
Fast pyrolysis	< 1000	Fast	Seconds	10	70	20
Flash pyrolysis	775–1025	Faster	SECONDS	10–15	70–80	5–20
Hydro-carbonization	< 350	Slow	Minutes–hours	50–80	–	–
Gasification	700–1500	Faster	Seconds–minutes	10	5	85



Fig. 1 Classification of biochar
(Adapted from Dai et al. 2019)



contaminants (Chen et al. 2012). The adsorption of organic contaminants using biochar prepared from pine needles at temperatures going from 100 to 700 °C was studied by Chen and Chen (2009). They showed that the pore size and the specific surface areas of biochar increase proportionally with the pyrolysis temperature. Chen et al. (2012) as well as Ahmad et al. (2012) proposed that the presence of carbonized matter, surface area, pores and hydrophobicity of biochar increased when the temperature was increased, which in turn led to increase the adsorption yield of naphthalene. Also, the existence of more carbonized matter in biochar favours the removal of organic contaminants having a functional group of oxygen and hydrogen (Ahmad et al. 2012). Moreover, the adsorption of potentially toxic metals on biochar can also be enhanced by optimizing the pyrolysis temperature. Kim et al. (2012) studied the effects of pyrolysis temperature greater than 500 °C on the biochar's pH and surface area. They applied their biochar to the adsorption of Cd in aqueous media. In contrast, O'Connor et al. (2018) showed that the increase of the pyrolysis temperature led to the decrease in the removal of Cr(VI) in aqueous medium using biochar produced from coconut.

The latter contributed to the reduction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III). This reduction reaction is a serious environmental problem because it is pH dependent. Ludwig et al. (2007) tried the in situ reduction of Cr(VI) using ferrous sulphate and sodium dithionite. The reduction kinetics were very slow delaying the practical application of this method.

Thus, it has been reported that increasing the biochar's pyrolysis temperature increases the biochar's surface area, while it decreases the amount of oxygen-containing functional groups on its surface. This latter leads to decrease the adsorption of toxic metals in aqueous media as shown in Fig. 2. These observations were in consistency with those of Inyang et al. (2011). Inyang et al. (2011) observed that the Pb adsorption on biochar decreased from 21 mg/L as the pyrolysis temperature increased from 250 to 600 °C using bagasse biochar. They attributed this to the decrease in the number of oxygen-containing groups at high temperature.

Another parameter affecting the adsorption properties of biochar is the composition of the feedstock (Sun et al. 2014). Indeed, the presence and absence of mineral-like CO_3^{2-} , PO_4^{3-} in the feedstock affect the adsorption capacity of the obtained biochar, since these minerals can contribute to






Fig. 2 Mechanisms of metal cations (e.g. Cd^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , Hg^{2+} , Pb^{2+} , Zn^{2+}) and oxyanions (e.g. PO_4^{3-} , AsO_4^{3-}) sorption to biochar prepared by pyrolysis at high temperature ($>450^\circ\text{C}$) and low temper-

ature ($<450^\circ\text{C}$) (Reproduced with permission from Sizmur et al. (2017), *Bioresource Technology* 246 (2017) 34–47)

the formation of additional active sorption site, enhancing adsorption of potentially toxic metals from wastewater (Cao et al. 2009). From another side, biochar produced by hydrothermal carbonization (HTC) can produce a higher number of oxygen-containing functional groups (Liu et al. 2010). Similar conclusions were drawn by Kumar et al. (2017) who examined the removal of copper from wastewater using biochar produced by HTC and pyrolysis.

Pollutants' removal mechanisms

Mechanisms of biochar adsorption

In adsorption, the adsorbate is associated with the adsorbent's surface until equilibrium is achieved. The steps

involved in the adsorption process include: (a) physical adsorption in which the adsorbate settles on the adsorbent's surface; (b) precipitation and complexation in which the adsorbate deposits on the adsorbent's surface; and (c) pore filling in which the adsorbate is condensed into the pore of the adsorbent (Fagbohunge et al. 2017). This process occurs in three stages qualifying three zones: the first is the stage in which no adsorption takes place; it is called the clean zone. The second stage is called the mass transfer zone in which the adsorption is in progress. The last step is the stage in which equilibrium is achieved; it is called the exhausted zone (de Ridder et al. 2012). The saturated or exhausted zone increases, while the clean zone decreases during the process. The mass transfer zone is affected if the adsorbate's concentration is increased; otherwise, it is not affected. Such a trend continues until the breakthrough point,



a point where the adsorbent is saturated (Moreno-Castilla 2004).

Mechanism of the adsorption of toxic metals

The different mechanisms involved in the toxic metals' removal are surface sorption, ion exchange, electrostatic interaction, precipitation and complexation.

Surface sorption

It is a physical process that involves the formation of chemical bonds through the diffusion of the metal ions in the pores of the sorbent. The pores' volume and the surface area of the sorbent (biochar) depend upon the carbonization temperature. Kumar et al. (2017) studied the uranium adsorption onto biochar prepared from pinewood at a temperature of 300 and 700 °C. Their results showed that biochar prepared at a high temperature can completely remove uranium than the one prepared at low temperature. They attributed this to the fact that high carbonization enhances the surface area and pores volume of the biochar. Another research reported by Wang et al. (2015) aimed to investigate the adsorption of toxic metals on biochar prepared from hickory wood and treated with KMnO_4 . Their results showed that the biochar that they prepared exhibited 153.1 mg g^{-1} adsorption of Pb, 34.2 mg g^{-1} adsorption of Cu and 28.1 mg g^{-1} adsorption of Cd. This difference in the adsorption may be due to the affinity of these metals, presenting different valence towards the biochar.

Electrostatic interaction of metals on biochar

This mechanism involves the electrostatic interaction between the charged biochar and metals ions to limit the mobilization of potentially toxic metals (Mukherjee et al. 2011). For instance, according to the Qiu et al. (2009) the removal of Pb from the aqueous solution using biochar prepared from rice and wheat shows high removal of Pb due to the attraction of the positively charged Pb and negatively charged of the biochar. In addition to this, they claimed that increasing the pyrolysis temperature greater than 400 °C can enhance the carbonization of the biochar and leads to an increase in the electrostatic interaction of the biochar to adsorb the pollutant. The same result also reported by Keiluweit et al. (2009), Dong et al. (2011), Agrafioti et al. (2014) and Igalavithana et al. (2017) who reported that electrostatic interaction is the main mechanism involved in the removal potentially toxic metals. However, this immobilization process depends upon the pH of the solution and point of zero charge of biochar.

Cation/ion exchange capacity

The exchange of protons and ionized cations with dissolved salts on the biochar's surface is the main principle of this mechanism. Its adsorption capacity to remove heavy metals depends upon the contaminated size and surface functional group of the biochar (Rizwan et al. 2016). Ali et al. (2017) reported that the higher the cation exchange capacity of the biochar means the higher adsorption of metals. However, the cation exchange capacity is decreasing with pyrolysis temperatures greater than 350 °C. El-Shafey (2010) investigated the removal of Hg^{2+} and Zn^{2+} from polluted water using biochar prepared from rice husk at pyrolysis temperature of 180 °C. They showed that high adsorption of Hg ions was achieved compared to Zn ions. Trakal et al. (2016) investigated the removal of Cd and Pb using biochar prepared from different feedstocks such as wheat straw, grape stalk, grape husk, plum stone and nutshell. The authors showed higher Pb and Cd removal efficiency for the feedstocks containing iron oxides. The presence of iron in the biochar feedstock was seen to enhance the cation exchange capacity of the biochar.

Precipitation

It is one of the main mechanisms that can be used for the removal of inorganic pollutant onto biochar. It involves the formation of mineral precipitates into the solution or onto the surface of the sorbing material, especially for biochar which is produced from the degradation of cellulose and hemicelluloses material through pyrolysis temperature greater than of 300 °C and having alkaline property (Cao and Harris 2010). Puga et al. (2016) reported that biochar that can be prepared from sugarcane and straw dust can enhance the precipitation of Cd and Zn. However, they claimed that the efficiency of surface precipitation of the biochar depends on the pyrolysis temperature so that more research needs in the future in the optimization of the pyrolysis temperature.

Complexation

This mechanism of metal complexation includes the arrangement of multi-atom formation through the interaction of specific metal ligands to form complex. The biochar produced at low temperature can bind with heavy metals thanks to the functional groups which contain oxygen in their structure such as phenolic, lactonic and carboxyl. This oxygen content can increase surface oxidation of the biochar leading to enhance the metal complexation (Mohan and Pittman 2007; Liu and Zhang 2009). It has been shown that biochar prepared from vegetal biomass has high efficiency in the binding of potentially metals such as Cu, Cd, Ni and Pb to form metal complexes with carboxylic and phenolic functional groups



when compared with biochar prepared from animals such as dairy manure and poultry litter (Cao et al. 2009; Zhang et al. 2017). They concluded that plant-derived biochar displays high surface complexation and ion exchange capacities. However, more research is needed in order to determine the biochar metal complexes' formation using sophisticated spectroscopic techniques such as X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (Zhou et al. 2014).

The mechanism involved in the adsorption of organic pollutants

The different mechanism involved in the organic pollutants' adsorption is pore filling, hydrophobic interaction, partitioning, electrostatic interaction and electron donor–acceptor (EDA) interaction.

Partitioning

In this process, the adsorbate material diffuses into the pores of the non-carbonized portion of the biochar. This portion can easily interact with the organic adsorbate leading to its sorption. However, the adsorption of organic pollutant compounds depends upon the characteristics of the non-carbonized biochar (crystalline or amorphous carbon) and of carbonized crystalline and graphene fractions of the biochar. Cao et al. (2009) and Zhang et al. (2013a, b) reported that biochar prepared from swine and dairy manure at pyrolysis temperature of 200 and 350 °C shows high sorbate partitioning of atrazine pollutant using organic carbon (OC) fractions of biochar. Similar results were found by Sun et al. (2011) showing that organic fractions of biochar prepared from wood and grass can enhance the adsorption of norflurazon and fluridone by partitioning. In general, the partitioning mechanism is more visible and highly efficient when the biochar has high volatile matter content and at high concentration of organic contaminants (Keiluweit et al. 2010).

Pore filling

This is a process in which the organic contaminants are at the surface of biochar having mesopores (2–50 nm) and micropores (< 2 nm). The pore filling mechanism depends upon nature, type of the biochar, as well as the polarity of the organic contaminant. Kasozi et al. (2010) reported that biochar prepared from gamma grass, oak and loblolly for the sorption of catechol using micropore filling mechanism is more dominant than other adsorption mechanisms. In general, to have high efficiency of this pore filling process, the biochar must contain a small amount of volatile matter and occur at low concentration of organic contaminants.

Electrostatic interaction

This is the most important mechanism which involves the adsorption of ionizable organic compounds to the positively charged surface of the biochar through electrostatic interaction. Its efficiency to attract or to repel pollutants depends upon the pH and ionic strength of the aqueous solution (Ahmad et al. 2014; Zheng et al. 2013). Mukherjee et al. (2011) investigated the effect of pH on the electrostatic interaction between organic contaminants and biochar surface showed positive charge at low pH value, whereas when the pH of the aqueous solution is high, it holds a negative charge. This indicates that the net charge of the surface of the biochar is controlled by the pH of the solution/effluent. The electrostatic interaction of the organic contaminants with biochar is also affected by the ionic strength of the aqueous solution. Inyang et al. (2014) in their experiment related to removal of methylene blue by biochar prepared from bagasse composite with carbon nanotubes (CNT) showed that an increase of the ionic strength of the sorbate solution from 0.01 to 0.1 M NaCl led to decrease the adsorption of the methylene blue from 4.5 to 3 mg g⁻¹. This was attributed to an increase of the repulsive electrostatic interaction between the sorbent and the sorbate.

Electron donor and acceptor interaction

The electron donor and acceptor interaction mechanism is mostly applied to the adsorption of aromatic compounds on biochar presenting a graphene-like structure. To have a complete graphitization, a temperature greater than 1100 °C should be reached during the biochar preparation (Spokas 2010). However, the electron density of the biochar to generate deficient or enriched π -electron depends upon the pyrolysis temperature of the biochar, that is if the temperature of the biochar is below 500 °C, the system of the biochar π aromatic acts as electron acceptor, while if the temperature is above 500 °C, the biochar acts as a donor (Sun et al. 2014; Zheng et al. 2013). Zheng et al. (2013) tried to adsorb sulfamethoxazole using biochar produced from reed and enriched on its surface with π -electron graphene. A high sorption was observed between the aniline-protonated rings of sulfamethoxazole and the graphene surface of the biochar. Besides they claimed that the π -electron donor/acceptor interaction between the electron-withdrawing substituent of chlorine and aromatic carbon on the surface of biochar enhances the adsorption of atrazine compound.

Hydrophobic interaction

This mechanism can be used for the adsorption of hydrophobic and neutral organic compounds through partitioning and hydrophobic interaction processes. When compared to the partitioning process, the hydrophobic



interaction mechanism requires less energy. Moreover, the main process for the adsorption of organic pollutants on the surface of the graphene structure is the hydrophobic interaction (Zhu et al. 2005). Similar results were reported by Li et al. (2018) who showed that hydrophobic interaction is the main mechanism involved in the adsorption of ionizable organic pollutants such as benzoic acid, o-chlorobenzene acid and p-chlorobenzene acid. Chen et al. (2011a, b) investigated the perfluoro octane sulfonate sorption on biochar produced from maize straw. The removal took place via the hydrophobic interaction due to high hydrophobic nature of the organic pollutant. The adsorption of perfluoro octane sulfonate molecules increased with the increase in the pyrolytic temperature. This was attributed to the decrease in the number of polar groups on the biochar surface caused by the pyrolysis temperature.

The mechanisms of removal of organic and inorganic adsorbates involving the sorption onto biochar are shown in Fig. 3. Metals adsorption on biochar occurs principally through precipitation, ion exchange and electrostatic attraction onto the adsorbent's surface, while the adsorption mechanisms for organic molecules are van der Waals forces, hydrogen bonding and hydrophobic interactions as shown


in Fig. 3. Functional groups (hydroxyl, carboxyl, carbonyl, amine) favour the affinity of organic molecules and their adsorption on the biochar's surface. Such adsorption mechanism is an electron donor/acceptor type based on the uneven electrons' distribution between the organic compound and the adsorbent (biochar) functional groups. At this level, it is worth mentioning that the interaction between organic compounds presenting nitro- and chloro-substituent groups and the adsorbent is highly reduced. This leads to an increase in the electrostatic interaction between the organic compound and the biochar (Mu'azu et al. 2017) as it is related to the strong electron acceptor nature of the substituent group in the compound (Atkinson et al. 2010).

Factors affecting contaminants' sorption onto the biochar

Effect of the pH of the medium

It has been reported that the pH of the aqueous solution affects the sorption of contaminants onto biochar. This is related to the oxygen-containing functional groups, which are pH dependent. Consequently, the surface charge and ionization

Fig. 3 Different biochar adsorption mechanisms for organic and inorganic contaminants. (Adapted from Ahmad et al. 2014)



at the surface of the biochar are pH-dependent leading to differentiate adsorption capacity to remove contaminants (Zhang et al. 2013a, b). A deprotonation of the functional groups happens when the pH of the aqueous solution increases. This leads to the increase of the sorption capacity of biochar towards cationic metals. However, if the pH decreases, it leads to increase the electrostatic repulsion forces between the protons and metal ions in the aqueous solution. Consequently, a competition between cations for the adsorption sites of the biochar can occur leading to decrease the capacity of the adsorbent material for metal ions (Lu et al. 2012).

In their study to adsorb metals like copper, zinc and lead using biochar produced from hardwood and corn straw, Chen et al. (2011a, b) showed that an increase of the pH from 2.0 to 5.0 led to an increase in the adsorption capacity of the metallic cations. A pH above 5.0 led to decrease the adsorption capacity due to hydroxide complex formation. Similar results were reported by Tong et al. (2011) and Lu et al. (2012). However, Zhang et al. (2013a, b) proposed that decreasing the pH of the solution leads to an increase in the adsorption capacity of anions such as Cr (VI) metals ions. This is due to electrostatic interactions between the negative charges of the chromate ion with the positive charge of the biochar functional group at low pH. In contrast, by the removal of Cr (VI), increasing the pH was reported to lead to a decrease in the Cr adsorption on the biochar surface. This was attributed to the OH[−]—competition with Cr (VI) species to bind to the active/charged sites at the biochar's surface. Thus, the removal of Cr(VI) was dictated by the pH as reported by Shang et al. (2017).

The pH of the solution also affects the adsorption capacity of organic contaminants of industrial wastewater. The adsorption of textile dyes in wastewater using biochar produced from food waste was investigated by Parshetti et al. (2013). They observed that an alkaline pH enhanced the adsorption of dyes. It was attributed to the high interaction between the negatively charged sites on the biochar's surface with the positively charged dyes. In contrast, at pH 3, its efficiency to adsorb organic dye decreased because of the existence of extra H⁺ that compete with the positive charges of the dye. Tsai and Chen (2013) and Xu et al. (2011) reported similar observations on the effect of the pH on the adsorption capacity of biochar. Thus, the pH of the solution affects the adsorption capacity of organic compounds and inorganic pollutants from industrial wastewater on biochar by altering the charged sites.

Amount of biochar

The adsorption capacity is also affected by the amount of biochar. Chen et al. (2011a, b) studied the removal of potentially toxic metals (Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn) from industrial wastewater using biochar prepared from hardwood and corn straw. They observed an improvement of the adsorption

efficiency of the toxic metals when the concentration of the biochar increased from 0.5 to 5 g/L. It was attributed to an increase in the number of active sites and surface area by increasing the amount of biochar. This observation is in agreement with the reports of Lalhruaitluanga et al. (2010), Tsai and Chen (2013) and recently by Lu et al. (2017). Moreover, Sun et al. (2013a, b) reported that increasing the dose of biochar (prepared from swine manure) from 1 to 8 g/L leads to increase the active sites available to adsorb methylene blue. Wang et al. (2018) found similar results by increasing the biochar amount for the adsorption of organic pollutants. A high concentration of biochar has a positive impact on the adsorption of organic pollutants and potentially toxic elements. It would be therefore useful to find the optimum dose, which is a key factor to minimize the biochar production costs in view of its industrial application.

Management and regeneration of exhausted biochar

During the regeneration of biochar, the adsorbate can be recovered using the principle of decomposition and desorption (Jia et al. 2013; Wang et al. 2015). Adsorbents for the removal and degradation of pharmaceutical compounds were prepared by ball milling. Hybrid activated carbon and biochar functionalized with Fe₃O₄ showed that magnetically separable biochar degraded 97% of tetracycline in water compared to the low adsorption of this compound on activated carbon. The regeneration of this adsorbent depended on the adsorbents' origin, method of regeneration as well as the dose of the adsorbents. Similar results were also reported by Wang et al. (2015) who prepared magnetic biochar from leaves residues of eucalyptus for the degradation of organic pollutant. They showed that there is no change in band shift of the Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy spectra until 6 cycles. This was attributed to an increase in the ash content of the biochar as well as to the non-significant change in the functional groups present on its surface. Regenerated magnetic biochar presented similar surface area and pore sizes as the as-prepared biochar allowing high adsorption and/or separation rates. The regeneration of the adsorbent makes the adsorption process economic. However, the use of water for regeneration is not an efficient method. Chemical regeneration should be considered. It involves reagents such as NaOH. It can also be carried out by a change of the adsorbent's pH in order to desorb the non-reactive chemicals like aniline and dyes (Fagbohunge et al. 2017). Some the chemical reagents used for regeneration are costly and may pollute the environment to a high extent. For this reason, the process disposal of the digestate is a key factor in the management of biochar. The graphical representation of biochar to adsorb contaminants from wastewater, the regeneration of biochar, and other treatment processes, are shown in Fig. 4.



One of the best methods for the regeneration of biochar is thermal regeneration. It allows the formation of small pore sizes compared to the original pores of the used biochar, especially when it is applied at high temperatures. The authors observed also that the efficiency of the regeneration increases with increasing the temperature. Qiao et al. (2018) compared the adsorption rates of organic compounds, such as pyrene and benzopyrene, using *Enteromorpha prolifera* biochar. They observed that the adsorption efficiency increased by 35.0%, 45.0% and 48.0% for pyrene and by 31.0%, 41.0% and 40.0% for benzopyrene after regeneration at 80 °C, 150 °C and 200 °C, respectively. Also, they observed that biochar regeneration using the thermal method can also reduce the dissolved organic carbon.

Microwave (MW) irradiation was also used for biochar regeneration. It was shown that it allows a fast regeneration of biochars. Furthermore, using this method, the operating temperature can be easily controlled. MW irradiation was also seen to induce polar molecules in the biochar producing dipole/polarization. However, this method remains at laboratory scale and in the experimental stage and is not currently applied on large scale (Ania et al. 2005; Jia et al. 2013; Li et al. 2018). Otherwise, Dai et al. (2019) investigate biochar regeneration by adjusting the operating pressure using supercritical fluid. The author showed high volatile organic compounds (VOCs) adsorption without changing the chemical and physical properties of the biochar. This operation was shown to fulfil low biochar loss, low operating temperatures and a short operating cycle. However, this method remains also in the experimental stage and not applied

on large scale due to the high cost of the material equipment, high-pressure resistance and its complexity.

In conclusion, from all methods for management and regeneration of biochar, thermal regeneration is seen to be the more mature regeneration process with low cost and high economic applicability. However, a high carbon loss was observed compared with other regeneration methods. More research should be carried out in the future focusing on the economic aspect of the biochar uses.

Treatment of typical pollutants

Due to its capacity to adsorb pollutants in the liquid phase (Chen et al. 2011a, b), biochar has been extensively explored for water/wastewater treatment. As summarized by Chen et al. (2011a, b), it is mostly used for the adsorption of potentially toxic metals (46%) and organic pollutants (39%). It can also be used for the adsorption of phosphorus and nitrogen (13%). The remaining 2% is for adsorption of other pollutants because of its large specific surface area, porous structure and surface functional groups.

Adsorption isotherm

The discharge of organic and inorganic pollutants in industrial effluents is becoming a universal problem. Scientists applied biochar to remove these toxic pollutants from aqueous solution. Table 2 summarizes the use of biochar for the




Fig. 4 The graphical representation of wastewater contaminants' adsorption on biochar and the possible ways of its regeneration (Adapted from Mubarak et al. 2016)



adsorption of pollutants in water. Thus, it is necessary to quantify and optimize the interaction between the adsorption capacity of the biochar with the adsorbates through the adsorption isotherms at constant ambient temperature (Fan et al. 2017; Goh et al. 2008; Kołodyńska et al. 2012). Different empirical equilibrium models such as Temkin equations, Langmuir–Freundlich, Freundlich and Langmuir were applied to describe the equilibrium of organic and inorganic pollutants adsorption to biochar. The results showed that the adsorption equilibrium isotherms depend upon the binding affinity of the pollutants on the surface of the biochar. Freundlich and Langmuir isotherm models showed the best fit models than other models during the quantification of the adsorption of inorganic pollutants on biochar. These models consider only one layer of the adsorption of the adsorbate on the homogeneous surface of adsorbent during the binds (Aydın and Aksoy 2009). Chen et al. (2011a, b) investigated the Langmuir–Freundlich model for the adsorption of Cu^{2+} and Zn^{2+} at different concentrations. The results showed that the Langmuir model fitted the experimental data ($R^2 > 0.998$) when compared to the Freundlich model (R^2 were 0.86–0.94). This model considers one single site of the adsorbate binds with one molecule of adsorbate in a homogeneous surface during the binding affinity. Similar

results were reported by Tong et al. (2011). They compared the fit of models such as Freundlich, Langmuir as well as Temkin for the adsorption of Cu^{2+} using three different biochar materials. They showed that the Langmuir model exhibited the highest fit of the data when compared to the other models, Freundlich and Temkin. Recently, the dynamic adsorption of heavy metals using biochar showed that the Freundlich model showed the highest fit than Langmuir isotherm (Kołodyńska et al. 2012; Lu et al. 2012; Xu et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2013a, b). According to Freundlich isotherm, the model assumed one molecule with multiple types of sites during the affinity of the adsorbate on the adsorbent. In another study, Zhang et al. (2013a, b) studied the adsorption of Pb^{2+} and Cr^{3+} using biochar prepared from wastewater sludge. This biochar showed that the adsorption of Pb^{2+} fitted the Langmuir model, whereas the adsorption of Cr^{3+} fitted the Freundlich model. This result indicates that Freundlich isotherm has multiple sites as well as it is not restricted to one site like Langmuir for the adsorption pollutants.

Biochar has a high affinity towards organic pollutants. Table 3 summarizes the high performance of biochar derived from biomass in removing organic pollutants such as pesticides, antibiotics, herbicides and dyes. The adsorption of the organic pollutants fitted the model of Langmuir and

Table 2 Adsorption capacities of different biochars for inorganic contaminants from industrial wastewater

Type of biochar	pH	Temp. (°C)	Targeted species	Con. range (mg/L)	Langmuir adsorption capacity (mg/g)	References
Pinewood char	5	25	Pb^{2+}	2–1036	4.13	Mohan et al. (2011)
Celery	5	25	Pb^{2+}	270–305		Zhang et al. (2017)
Peanut straw char	4.5	25	Cu^{2+}	6–318	12.52	Mubarak et al. (2013a, b)
Soybean straw char	4.5	25	Cu^{2+}	158–954	33	Tong et al. (2011)
Rice husks	5	24	Cu^{2+}	1–20	3.49	Pellera et al. (2012)
Pig manure biochar	5	20	Zn^{2+}	0.065–0.2	62.13	Kołodyńska et al. (2012)
Cow manure biochar	5	20	Zn^{2+}	0.06–0.2	58.11	Kołodyńska et al. (2012)
Dairy manure biochar	–	–	Cu^{2+}	0–318	48.11	Xu et al. (2013)
Dairy manure biochar	–	–	Zn^{2+}	0–327	32.95	Xu et al. (2013)
Dairy manure biochar	–	–	Cd^{2+}	0–6575	32.03	Xu et al. (2013)
Oak Wood char	2	25	Cr^{6+}	1–100	3.03	Mohan et al. (2014)
Sugar beet tailing biochar	2	22	Cr^{6+}	50–800	123	Dong et al. (2011)
Coconut coir derived char	3	25	Cr^{6+}	10–500	–	Kim et al. (2012)
Pine needle biochar	6	25	U^{6+}	5–30	2.12	Park et al. (2011)
Corn straw	5	22	Hg^{2+}	0–139	–	Wang et al. (2018)
Pinewood biochar	2	25	F^-	1–100	7.66	Ahmad et al. (2012)
Digested sugar beet tailing biochar	7	22	PO_4^{3-}	15–640	133.085	Yao et al. (2011a, b)
undigested sugar beet tailings	7	22	PO_4^{3-}	61.5	–	Yao et al. (2011a, b)
Orange peel biochar	–	25	PO_4^{3-}	0–12	0.007	Chen et al. (2011)
Banana peels	5	25	Cu^{2+}	60–80	38.2	Ahmad and Gao (2018)
Banana peels	6	22	Pb^{2+}	70–250	87.5	Ahmed et al. (2018)
Corn straw	5	22	As^{3+}	0–7	–	He et al. (2018)
Corn straw	5	22	Hg^{2+}	140	5.03	Wang et al. (2018)



Freundlich (Ahmed et al. 2016; Chen et al. 2012; Jung et al. 2013; Kah et al. 2017; Li et al. 2018; Lima 2018). Ahmad et al. (2012) reported on the removal of trichloroethylene from water using biochar derived from crop residues. They showed that the experimental adsorption of the trichloroethylene fitted to the Langmuir model. Similar results were reported for the adsorption of methylene blue using biochar prepared from different biomass (Sun et al. 2013a, b). In contrast, Yao et al. (2013a, b) demonstrated that the adsorption of fluoroquinolone antibiotics on biochar derived from the sludge of wastewater fitted the Freundlich model. In general, the use of biochar as adsorbent for the removal of organic and inorganic pollutants from water showed high performance. Moreover, the binding affinity of the biochar with the contaminants depended on the physicochemical properties of the biochar.

Adsorption kinetics

The chemical and physical properties of the biochar can drastically affect the adsorption behaviour of biochar towards organic and inorganic pollutant. These properties affect the adsorption kinetics and mechanism involving chemical binding as well as mass transport (Boutsika et al. 2014; Inyang et al. 2012; Xu et al. 2013). Reddy and Lee (2013) reported that to apply biochar in large scale for the removal of organic and inorganic pollutants from wastewater, it is necessary to clearly know the adsorbent surface area, diffusion

mechanisms and chemical reaction involved in the adsorption process thorough the intra-particle diffusion model. Most kinetic properties that were studied for the removal of pollutants mediating biochar fitted a pseudo-second-order kinetic model due to a rate-limiting step involving chemical sorption (Mohan et al. 2011). Biochar derived from sewage sludge was used by Lu et al. (2012) to adsorb Pb^{2+} from water in the range of pH from 2 to 5. They showed that the kinetics fitted the pseudo-second-order model due to a chemisorption of the Pb^{2+} on the biochar. Liu and Zhang (2009) studied the adsorption mechanism of Pb^{2+} on biochar prepared from biomass of pinewood and rice husk. Their mechanism showed that their kinetics fitted the pseudo-second-order model because the chemical adsorption was considered as the rate-limiting step (Jia et al. 2013). Likewise, oxytetracycline and methylene blue sorption on biochar produced from biomass of palm bark, maize straw and eucalyptus was seen to fit the pseudo-second-order kinetic model (Sun et al. 2013a, b).

Heavy metal pollutants

Heavy metals are the most significant contaminants resulting from industries, whereby the presence of very small amounts of heavy metals such as Cd, Cu, Zn and Pb in wastewater causes a significant effect on the environment. Adsorption of heavy metals on materials like biochar before discharging the treated water can reduce their concentration before reaching

Table 3 Adsorption capacities of different biochars towards organic contaminants from industrial wastewater

Type of biochar	pH	Temp. (°C)	Targeted species	Concentration range (mg/L)	Langmuir adsorption capacity (mg/g)	References
P-(acrylamide)-wood biochar	–	25	Phenol	5–50	23.14	Karakoyun et al. (2011)
Rice husk biochar	–	40	Phenol	100–15,000	409.8	Liu et al. (2011)
Hardwood (Laurel oak, Quercus)	–	–	Humic acid	0–13	–	Mukherjee and Zimmerman, (2013)
Orange peel biochar	–	25	Naphthalene	18	–	Zhou et al. (2014)
Wood char	7	25	Pyrene	0.002–0.12	–	Peng et al. (2011)
Pine needle biochar	–	–	m-dinitrobenzene	0.02–1.0	–	Chen and Chen, (2009)
Peanut straw char	5–9	25	methyl violet	40–816	104.61	Xu et al. (2011)
kenaf fibre char	8.5	30	methylene blue	50–200	18.18	Xu et al. (2011)
Rice straw-derived char	5	30	Malachite green	25–300	128.14	Han et al. (2015)
Bamboo biochar	1	40	Acid black 172	50–500	358.14	Han et al. (2015)
Sewage sludge/			2,4-Dichlorophenol	100% removal	–	Kalderis et al. (2017)
Corn straw	5	22	Bisphenol	500	–	Zhao et al. (2017)
Dissolved black carbon biochar (DBC)	5	25	Naphthalene	91	–	Fu et al. (2018)
Miscanthus-derived biochar	5	30	Naphthalene	4–35	–	Kim and Hyun (2018)
Bamboo hydrochars	1	35	Congo red	100	–	Li et al. (2018)
Sugarcane bagasse biochar	7	25	Malachite green	3000	–	Vyavahare et al. (2018)
Corn straw	5	22	Atrazine	70	–	Zhang et al. (2018)
Macadamia nutshells	7	30	Phenanthrene	60	–	Yang et al. (2018)



the environment. Biochar has a high ability to adsorb inorganic pollutants like heavy metals upon different interaction mechanisms such as physical adsorption, precipitation, ion exchange and electrostatic attraction. The mechanisms involved in the adsorption of heavy metals and their interaction are described in Fig. 1a, and the adsorption capacities of various biochar for heavy metals are given in Table 2.

Dong et al. (2011) studied the interaction mechanisms of Cr(VI) with biochar produced from sugar beet leading to the formation of Cr(III). They showed that positive charges of the biochar are electrostatically attracted towards the negative charge of Cr(VI) species. Also, they showed that hydrogen ions coming from the biochar are involved in the reduction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III). The reduced Cr(III) reacts with the functional groups of the biochar in aqueous solution to form a complex of Cr(III). Similar findings were reported by Lu et al. (2012), who worked on the adsorption of Pb^{2+} from wastewater using biochar prepared from sewage sludge; they showed that the functional groups of the biochar are crucial to forming a complex with Pb. Similar mechanism was also shown by Kong et al. (2011) and Sun et al. (2014) in adsorbing Hg(II) using biochar derived from soybean stalk and adsorption of Cd(II) using biochar produced from corn straw.

Moreover, another parameter that affects the adsorption of heavy metals like Pb, Cu, Zn and Cd is the composition of the feedstock. Although prepared at the same pyrolysis temperature, biochars obtained from different feedstocks exhibit different adsorption capacity of heavy metals (Sun et al. 2014). It should be related to the presence and absence of minerals like CO_3^{2-} , PO_4^{3-} in the feedstock, which affects the adsorption capacity of biochar. These minerals can contribute to the formation of active sites that enhance the adsorption of heavy metals from wastewater (Cao et al. 2009; Xu et al. 2013).

Treatment of organic pollutants

Organic pollutants such as phenols, antibiotics, herbicides can be highly adsorbed onto biochar (Chen et al. 2011a, b). In livestock wastewater, similar organic contaminants and pollutants are always found; as a result, biochar has become a major focus in agricultural resources and the environment. Table 3 summarizes the application of biochar in the adsorption of organic pollutants, and the various mechanisms involved are described in Fig. 3b.

Antibiotic substances such as a fluoroquinolone, sulfamethoxazole can be adsorbed by biochar in their aqueous phase mainly through hydrogen bonding, π - π electron transition and cationic interactions. For example, the fluoroquinolone adsorption ability of biochar was investigated by Hale et al. (2012). Their biochar was prepared using pyrolysis of sludge at 500 °C for 1 h, and the maximum adsorption capacity was 19.8 ± 0.4 mg/g. It was also found that the adsorption of fluoroquinolones was positively correlated with

the amount of volatile matter contained in the raw sludge. Similar results were reported by Bartoli et al. (2016) to produce biochar from *Arundo donax* using microwave-assisted pyrolysis to adsorb sulfamethoxazole. They observed that increasing the pyrolysis temperature decreased the adsorption capacity of biochar. It was reported that biochar has a significant impact on the adsorption of organic pollutants, such as phenols, compounds with high-chromatic content, herbicides (Inyang et al. 2011; Klasson et al. 2013).

The mechanism of adsorption can be either physical or chemical. It depends mainly on the aromatic and/or special functional groups and on the polarity of both the biochar and the organic pollutants. The nature of intermolecular gravitation and electrostatic force between the biochar and the pollutants is the determining factor for the physical adsorption, while the chemical adsorption mainly depends on the chemical interactions between the biochar and the organic pollutant, which can be π bonds, hydrogen bonds and coordination bonds (Liu and Zhang 2009). Liu and Zhang (2009) prepared biochar from different raw materials (cole, peanut and rapeseed straw) after pyrolyzing at 350 °C. The methyl violet adsorption capacity of their biochar was in the range of 123.5–195.4 mg/g. Rapeseed straw gave the highest adsorption at room temperature. The presence of electrostatic attraction between methyl violet and biochar was confirmed by zeta potential and FTIR analysis. The results revealed that the methyl violet was mainly adsorbed on the hydrophilic and—COO—sites. Mohan et al. (2014) obtained methyl blue removal efficiency of 99.5, 99.3 and 86.1% using biochar prepared from anaerobic digestion residue, palm bark and tree, respectively. The organic materials were pyrolysed at 400 °C for 30 min, and the conditions during the treatment were pH 7, 40 °C and 4 mg/L of the dye. It was found that the pyrolysis temperature had a significant effect on the treatment of methyl violet.

Mohn et al. (2014) prepared biochar from peanut shells and wheat straw and obtained a maximum adsorption capacity of 58.82 and 20.61 mg/g, respectively. These organic materials were pyrolysed at 400 and 600 °C, respectively. The adsorption capacity of biochar prepared from mixed wood wastes, pyrolysed at 450 °C for 1 h, was investigated, and maximum adsorption capacities of 1066 and 1158 mg/g were obtained for two herbicides, namely simazine and atrazine, respectively (Qiu et al. 2009). The authors claimed that better adsorption performances were obtained in acidic conditions.

Treatment of nitrogen and phosphorus contamination

Other than the above organic and heavy metal pollutants, biochar has been also tested to remove nitrogen and phosphorus from industrial wastewater to reduce the impact of eutrophication on the ecosystem. The digestate left after this process can be used as high-quality fertilizer (Zhang et al. 2013a, b; Hale et al. 2012). Using biochar produced from



cow dung to remove $\text{PO}_4\text{-P}$ and $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ from wastewater. Wang et al. (2014) observed high adsorption capacity. They also found that the biochar feedstock dictated the adsorption capabilities towards nitrogen and phosphorus. Therefore, biochar has a high capacity to remove $\text{PO}_4\text{-P}$ and $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ allowing to release nutrients to increase soil fertility, which contributes to increasing crops' production.

Biochar-supported catalysts for wastewater treatment

Biochar can be used as a support for catalytic nanoparticles to take advantage of their exceptional properties such as high electrical conductivity, chemical stability, having functional groups that can easily be tuned and unique surface properties (Cuong et al. 2019; Liu et al. 2015; Xia and Larock 2010). Kim and Kan (2016) investigated the degradation of sulfamethoxazole from wastewater through biochar-supported TiO_2 photocatalyst material. Results showed that the biochar loaded with TiO_2 has high adsorption efficiency towards sulfamethoxazole when compared to the commercial nanoparticles. This was attributed to the biochar's electron conductivity decreasing the recombination of the electron/hole pairs during the photocatalysis. Furthermore, this enhances the charge balance at the surface of the biochar (functional groups) to adsorb various contaminants from the wastewater. For this reason, researches carried out synthesis of various biochar-supported photocatalysts such as TiO_2 hybrid with *Miscanthus* straw pellets and softwood pellets, TiO_2 -corn cob, TiO_2 -waste plum, TiO_2 -wheat husks, TiO_2 -chitosan, TiO_2 -reed straw, TiO_2 -bamboo, graphitic carbon nitride/ FeVO_4 -pine needles, graphitic carbon nitride-chestnut, ZrO_2 -wheat husks, BiOX -biochar and TiO_2 -wood charcoal. These combinations and others showed that these biochar-supported photocatalytic nanoparticles exhibited high efficiency for the degradation of different pollutants under visible light radiation. Such hybrid materials have been reported with high chemical stability as well as high recollection ability (Colmenares et al. 2016; Kalaivani and Suja 2016; Khataee et al. 2017a, 2017b; Kim and Kan 2016; Kumar et al. 2017; Li et al. 2016; Lisowski et al. 2017; Liu et al. 2017; Luo et al. 2015; Matos et al. 2013a, 2013b; Pi et al. 2015; Wu et al. 2015; Zhang et al. 2017).

Removal of organic pollutants from wastewater using biochar-supported catalysts

Biochar-supported photocatalytic materials can be used for different applications. One of the most promising applications of biochar-supported photocatalytic material

is removing organic pollutants from the wastewater. This may be due to its high surface area and highly oxidative reactive species enhancing the adsorption capacity during the photodegradation process. As shown in Table 4, there are different types of biochar-supported photocatalysts, and many studies have been carried out in the past ten years for the removal of organic pollutants. The results showed the high efficiency for the degradation of methylene blue, phenol and sulfamethoxazole. Its adsorption capacity is equal to 400 ppm, 50 ppm and 10 ppm, respectively, when compared with nanoparticles only. According to Lisowski et al. (2017), a reactor loaded with softwood pellets- TiO_2 significantly mineralized methanol into methyl formate and carbon dioxide due to the generation of highly reactive species such as OH and O_2^- and h^+ as shown in Fig. 5. Moreover, they claimed that the biochar-supported TiO_2 can act also as electrodes as well as it can act as the water splitting during hydrogen production. J. Matos (2016) reported a comparison of the efficiency of the biochar-supported Au-TiO_2 for the degradation of organic pollutants. It showed that the biochar-supported Au-TiO_2 composite has higher efficiency for the degradation of the organic pollutants than the nanoparticles by themselves. This can be attributed to the presence of the oxygen-containing groups on the biochar surface leading to reduce the photo-generated charge recombination and thus to enhance the pollutants' degradation. Also, the biochar-supported photocatalytic material has high stability when compared to the commercial nanoparticle.

The incorporation of nanoparticles onto the biochar can:

- increase the physicochemical properties of the nanoparticles,
- increase their active sites,
- shuttle electrons through a graphene-like skeleton,
- act as electron reservoir which conducts away the electron from the e^-/h^+ ,
- reduce the bandgap energy by carbon or other non-metal doping (impurities or dangling bonds) improving the charge separation when compared with bare catalytic nanoparticles.


For this reason, it has high performance in degradation and absorption of the organic pollutants in aqueous solution. However, there are some aspects to be further investigated in the future for the development of highly efficient biochar-supported photocatalysts such as:

- the use of different biomass and nanoparticles,
- the heating/operating conditions,
- the ratio of biomass to nanoparticles,
- the use of other waste materials such as manure and sewage sludge composite with nanoparticles.



Table 4 Application of various biochar-supported photocatalysts

Type of biochar-nanoparticles catalysis	Targeted species	Concentration range (mL–mg/L)	References
Starbon-TiO ₂	Phenol	150–50	Colmenares et al. (2013)
Softwood pellets-TiO ₂	Phenol	150–50	Lisowski et al. (2017)
Pinewood-TiO ₂	Bisphenol	50–20	Luo et al. (2015)
Waste plum stones-N-TiO ₂	Methylene blue	125–25	Matos et al.(2013b)
Bamboo-TiO ₂	Methylene blue	200–30	Wu et al. (2015)
Chestnut leaf-g-C ₃ N ₄	Methylene blue	20–4.8	Pi et al. (2015)
Sludge/wheat husks-TiO ₂	Reactive Blue 69	1–20	Khataee et al. (2017a)
Reed straw-TiO ₂	Sulfamethoxazole	160–10	Zhang et al. (2017)
Corn cob-TiO ₂	Sulfamethoxazole	100–10	Kumar et al. (2017)

**Fig. 5** Fundamentals of organic pollutant photodegradation by reactive oxygen species

These trends can improve the performance of the biochar-supported photocatalysts for the degradation and removal of recalcitrant/emerging organic pollutants from aqueous solution.

Economic and environmental benefit analyses

As seen from the above-reviewed literature, biochar has a huge application potential in the removal of industrial pollutants. However, prior large-scale application, some parameters should be optimized to improve the economic viability of the process, namely the type of biochar, local availability of the source material, pyrolysis temperature, availability of industrial-scale reactors, regeneration method and life span.

Given a maximum benefit for the users and a long-term manufacturing for the industry, Dai et al. (2019) observed that biochars produced using slow and fast pyrolysis conditions have costs of 18.30 and 8.14 US\$/ton, respectively. Also, a survey made by the International Biochar Initiative (IBI) in 2013 reported that the average price of biochar is globally in the range of 80.00 US\$/ton to 13,480.00 US\$/ton at sellers' company. However, a recent survey made in the

USA from 23 biochar companies reported an average price of 2512.00 US\$/ton. Currently, the International Biochar Initiative and the European Biochar Certificate are establishing a standards' chart for the development of biochar as recently reported by Dai et al. (2019).

In general, the application of biochars for the removal of pollutants was discussed in detail in the past years. However, the research on biochar market/price is in an early stage so that more research must be carried out in the future to analyse the significant differences in the biochar prices and their economic benefits, to apply at large scale for the removal of pollutants.

The application of biochar to mitigate the impact of climate change and to enhance sustainable agricultural and environmental development is a new approach (Oliveira et al. 2017). Currently, the world still faces the environmental impact of greenhouse gases and global warming (Wang et al. 2018). Environmental issues and the reduction of greenhouse gases (GHG) are the driving forces for research to develop new environmentally friendly mitigate materials to immobilize carbon from the active cycle and return it to an inactive cycle using biochar. Liu et al. (2015) showed that CO₂ emission can be reduced in the range of 0.10–0.30 billion tons/year using biochar. This shows that biochar can contribute to reducing the concentration of atmospheric CO₂, which leads to GHG's emission reduction. Otherwise, Dai et al. (2019) reported that N₂O emissions could be reduced by biochar-modified soil. Indeed, the greenhouse effect of N₂O is 310 times higher than that of CO₂.

In the last two decades, a lot of studies dealt with biochar applications related to soil amendment, waste management, climate change and energy production as shown in Fig. 6.

This technology involves 4 complementary and often synergistic social and financial uses, namely:

- soil improvement (to improve productivity as well as to reduce pollution toxicity),
- climate change mitigation,



- energy production and
- waste management.

However, the application of biochar to remove organic or inorganic contaminants and other environmental activates depends upon the type of biochar used as shown in Fig. 7.

Based on the pyrolysis of biomass, biochar was reported to sequester carbon in soil, to capture CO₂ from the atmosphere and to generate biogas. In order to achieve the sequestration of carbon in the soil, biochar should be able to remain for long time in the soil. This longevity is principally related to the mineralization of the biochar over many years. It is worth to investigate the relationship between the preparation method/conditions, the feedstock, the micro-structure and the biochar stability over decades. Many techniques can be used to assess the biochar mineralization over time such as infrared spectroscopy, biomarkers, nuclear magnetic resonance among others (Weldon et al. 2019; Medyńska-Juraszek et al. 2020). However, one cannot omit the ecotoxicological effects that may arise from the mineralization from the biochar itself. This specific point needs deep investigation.

Future perspectives

It has been demonstrated that biochar is a promising material for water treatment and agricultural soil fertility maintenance and remediation. However, studies dedicated to the contribution of biochar to the removal of contaminants from wastewaters, the influence of different feedstock sources on

biochar quality and physicochemical factors affecting the contaminants removal efficiency remain on an initial stage. Therefore, the following research areas should be considered in the near future:

1. Establishing the model for a modified biochar adsorption mechanism. It is crucial to modify the surface functionality and the porosity according to the application. To do so, processes such as amination, sulfonation, surface oxidation and pores' structure modification can bring new insights to the preparation of selective biochar materials.
2. Developing modified methods to improve adsorption efficiency. Many trials should be done to explore other modifiers or activators, which impact the efficiency of biochars to remove organic and inorganic pollutants.
3. It would be useful to find the optimum dose and method for biochar production allowing it to rapidly remove various contaminants from wastewater. These are key factors to apply for large-scale implementation in order to minimize the biochar production and utilization costs.
4. The economic visibility of biochar and its regeneration and standard operating methods in removing toxic pollutants from industrial wastewater are needed today. Biochar's pre-treatment for the removal of toxic compounds and subsequent biological treatment need more investigation before possible implementation on a large scale.
5. Biochar activated with chemicals showed high adsorption capacity to remove pollutants if compared to non-activated ones. However, absorption mechanisms need further investigation.

In general, only a few studies were conducted in these areas, so that further research is required on topics related to the relationship between raw materials, processing parameters, as well as on the biochar regeneration to avoid environmental risks as shown in Fig. 8.

Conclusion

The review shows that biochar has broad prospects for the removal of typical organic and inorganic pollutants present in industrial wastewater. It is an interesting type of adsorbent with high efficiency. It is an eco-friendly sorbing material owing to its large surface area, charged surface and functional groups. Biochar showed a great potential to adsorb inorganic and organic pollutants involving various mechanisms such as pore filling, electrostatic interactions, ion exchange, precipitation and surface sorption, which are dependent upon the physiochemical characteristics of biochar such as biochar dosage, pyrolysis temperature and the pH of the treated matrix. Also, biochar decreases the bio-availability, toxicity and mobility of organic and inorganic




Fig. 6 Social and financial involvements of biochar technology (adapted from Joseph et al. 2010)






Fig. 7 Biochar characteristics and suitability for specific applications (adapted from Oliveira et al. 2017)




Fig. 8 Future proposed research mechanism for biochar application

pollutants and reported to be beneficial for the removal of contaminants at high concentrations. This review suggests that biochar might be used as an effective, low-cost and environmentally friendly adsorbent for the removal of organic and inorganic pollutants removal in industrial wastewater.

Acknowledgments This study was supported by the Netherlands Fellowship Programmes (NFP) for capacity building. S.R. thanks EPFL.

Funding Open Access funding provided by EPFL Lausanne.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest arising from this work.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing,



adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

- Agrafioti E, Kalderis D, Diamadopoulos E (2014) Arsenic and chromium removal from water using biochars derived from rice husk, organic solid wastes and sewage sludge. *J Environ Manage* 133:309–314
- Ahmad Z, Gao B (2018) Use of fruit and vegetable waste-based biochar for removal of heavy metals from aqueous solution. *Inter J AgricBiosyst Eng* 12:1–9
- Ahmad M, Lee SS, Dou X, Mohan D, Sung JK, Yang JE, Ok YS (2012) Effects of pyrolysis temperature on soybean stover-and peanut shell-derived biochar properties and TCE adsorption in water. *Bioresour Technol* 118:536–544. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.05.042>
- Ahmad M, Rajapaksha AU, Lim JE, Zhang M, Bolan N, Mohan D, Vithanage M, Lee SS, Ok YS (2014) Biochar as a sorbent for contaminant management in soil and water: a review. *Chemosphere* 99:19–33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.10.071>
- Ahmed MB, Zhou JL, Ngo HH, Guo W, Chen M (2016) Progress in the preparation and application of modified biochar for improved contaminant removal from water and wastewater. *Bioresour Technol* 214:836–851. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.05.057>
- Ahmed MB, Zhou JL, Ngo HH, Johir MAH, Sornalingam K (2018) Sportive removal of phenolic endocrine disruptors by functionalized biochar: competitive interaction mechanism, removal efficacy, and application in wastewater. *Chem Eng J* 335:801–811. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2017.11.041>
- Ambaye TG, Vaccari M, Castro FD, Prasad S, Rtimi S (2020) Emerging technologies for the recovery of rare earth elements (REEs) from the end-of-life electronic wastes: a review on progress, challenges, and perspectives. *Environ Sci Poll Res* 27(29):36052–36074. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-09630-2>
- Atkinson CJ, Fitzgerald JD, Hipps NA (2010) Potential mechanisms for achieving agricultural benefits from biochar application to temperate soils: a review. *Plant Soil* 337:1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-010-0464-5>
- Aydın YA, Aksoy ND (2009) Adsorption of chromium on chitosan: optimization, kinetics, and thermodynamics. *Chem Eng J* 151:188–194. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2009.02.010>
- Bartoli M, Rosi L, Giovannelli A, Frediani P, Frediani M (2016) Production of bio-oils and bio-char from *Arundo donax* through microwave-assisted pyrolysis in a multimode batch reactor. *J Anal Appl Pyrolysis* 122:479–489. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaap.2016.10.016>
- Bhatnagar A, Jain AK (2005) A comparative adsorption study with different industrial wastes as adsorbents for the removal of cationic dyes from water. *J Colloid Interface Sci* 281(1):49–55
- Bielská L, Škulcová L, Neuwirthová N, Cornelissen G, Hale SE (2018) Sorption, bioavailability and ecotoxic effects of hydrophobic organic compounds in biochar amended soils. *Sci Total Environ* 624:78–86. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.12.098>
- Boutsika LG, Karapanagioti HK, Manariotis ID (2014) Aqueous mercury sorption by biochar from malt spent rootlets. *Water Air Soil Pollut* 225:1805. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-013-1805-9>
- Cao X, Harris W (2010) Properties of dairy-manure-derived biochar pertinent to its potential use in remediation. *Bioresour Technol* 101:5222–5228. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.02.052>
- Cao X, Ma L, Gao B, Harris W (2009) Dairy-manure derived biochar effectively sorbs lead and atrazine. *Environ Sci Technol* 43:3285–3291. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es803092k>
- Chen X, Chen G, Chen L, Chen Y, Lehmann J, McBride MB, Hay AG (2011b) Adsorption of copper and zinc by biochars produced from pyrolysis of hardwood and corn straw in aqueous solution. *Bioresour Technol* 102:8877–8884. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2011.06.078>
- Chen B, Chen Z (2009) Sorption of naphthalene and 1-naphthol by biochars of orange peels with different pyrolytic temperatures. *Chemosphere* 76:127–133. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2009.02.004>
- Chen B, Chen Z, Lv S (2011a) Novel magnetic biochar efficiently sorbs organic pollutants and phosphate. *Bioresour Technol* 102:716–723. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.08.067>
- Chen Z, Chen B, Zhou D, Chen W (2012) Bisolute sorption and thermodynamic behavior of organic pollutants to biomass-derived biochars at two pyrolytic temperatures. *Environ Sci Technol* 46:12476–12483. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es303351e>
- Chen Z, Xiao X, Chen B, Zhu L (2014) Quantification of chemical states, dissociation constants, and contents of oxygen-containing groups on the surface of biochars produced at different temperatures. *Environ Sci Technol* 49:309–317. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es5043468>
- Colmenares JC, Lisowski P, Łomot D (2013) A novel biomass-based support (Starbon) for TiO₂ hybrid photocatalysts: a versatile green tool for water purification. *RSC Adv* 3:20186–20192. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C3RA43673J>
- Colmenares JC, Varma RS, Lisowski P (2016) Sustainable hybrid photocatalysts: titania immobilized on carbon materials derived from renewable and biodegradable resources. *Green Chem* 18:5736–5750. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C6GC02477G>
- Cuong DV, Liu NL, Nguyen VA, Hou CH (2019) Meso/micropore-controlled hierarchical porous carbon derived from activated biochar as a high-performance adsorbent for copper removal. *Sci Total Environ* 692:844–853. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.07.125>
- Dai Y, Zhang N, Xing C, Cui Q, Sun Q (2019) The adsorption, regeneration and engineering applications of biochar for removal organic pollutants: a review. *Chemosphere* 223:12–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.01.161>
- De Ridder M, De Jong S, Polchar J, Lingemann S (2012) Risks and opportunities in the global phosphate rock market: robust strategies in times of uncertainty. Hague Centre for Strategic Studies. <https://library.wur.nl/WebQuery/titel/2016340>
- Dong X, Ma LQ, Li Y (2011) Characteristics and mechanisms of hexavalent chromium removal by biochar from sugar beet tailing. *J Hazard Mater* 190:909–915. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.04.008>
- Downie A, Crosky A, Munroe P (2009) Physical properties of biochar. Biochar for environmental management. *Sci Technol* 13–32. <http://www.css.cornell.edu/faculty/lehmann/publ/First%20proof%2013-01-09.pdf>
- El-Shafey EI (2010) Removal of Zn(II) and Hg(II) from aqueous solution on a carbonaceous sorbent chemically prepared from rice husk. *J Hazard Mater* 175:319–327. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.10.006>



- Fagbohunge MO, Herbert BM, Hurst L, Ibeto CN, Li H, Usmani SQ, Semple KT (2017) The challenges of anaerobic digestion and the role of biochar in optimizing anaerobic digestion. *Waste Manag* 61:236–249. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2016.11.028>
- Fan S, Wang Y, Wang Z, Tang J, Tang J, Li X (2017) Removal of methylene blue from aqueous solution by sewage sludge-derived biochar: adsorption kinetics, equilibrium, thermodynamics, and mechanism. *J Environ Chem Eng* 5:601–611. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2016.12.019>
- Fu H, Wei C, Qu X, Li H, Zhu D (2018) Strong binding of apolar hydrophobic organic contaminants by dissolved black carbon released from biochar: a mechanism of pseudomicelle partition and environmental implications. *Environ Pollut* 232:402–410. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.09.053>
- Fuertes AB, Arbestain MC, Sevilla M, Maciá-Agulló JA, Fiol S, López R, Smernik RJ, Aitkenhead WP, Arce F, Macías F (2010) Chemical and structural properties of carbonaceous products obtained by pyrolysis and hydrothermal carbonization of corn stover. *Soil Res* 48:618–626. <https://doi.org/10.1071/SR10010>
- Giannakis S, Rtimi S, Pulgarin C (2017) Light-assisted advanced oxidation processes for the elimination of chemical and microbiological pollution of wastewaters in developed and developing countries. *Molecules* 22:1070. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules22071070>
- Goh KH, Lim TT, Dong Z (2008) Application of layered double hydroxides for removal of oxyanions: a review. *Water Res* 42:1343–1368. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2007.10.043>
- Hairuddin MN, Mubarak NM, Khalid M, Abdullah EC, Walvekar R, Karri RR (2019) Magnetic palm kernel biochar potential route for phenol removal from wastewater. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 26(34):35183–35197
- Hale SE, Lehmann J, Rutherford D, Zimmerman AR, Bachmann RT, Shitumbanuma V, O'Toole A, Sundqvist KL, Arp HP, Cornelissen G (2012) Quantifying the total and bioavailable polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and dioxins in biochars. *Environ Sci Technol* 46:2830–2838. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es203984k>
- Han X, Chu L, Liu S, Chen T, Ding C, Yan J, Cui L, Quan G (2015) Removal of methylene blue from aqueous solution using porous biochar obtained by KOH activation of peanut shell biochar. *Bio Resour* 10:2836–2849
- He R, Peng Z, Lyu H, Huang H, Nan Q, Tang J (2018) Synthesis and characterization of iron-impregnated biochar for aqueous arsenic removal. *Sci Total Environ* 612:1177–1186. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.09.016>
- Hossain MK, Strezov V, Chan KY, Ziolkowski A, Nelson PF (2011) Influence of pyrolysis temperature on the production and nutrient properties of wastewater sludge biochar. *J Environ Manag* 92:223–228. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2010.09.008>
- Igalavithana AD, Mandal S, Niazi NK, Vithanage M, Parikh SJ, Mukome FN, Rizwan M, Oleszczuk P, Al-Wabel M, Bolan N, Tsang DC (2017) Advances and future directions of biochar characterization methods and applications. *Crit Rev Environ Sci Technol* 47(23):2275–2330
- Inyang M, Gao B, Ding W, Pullammanappallil P, Zimmerman AR, Cao X (2011) Enhanced lead sorption by biochar derived from anaerobically digested sugarcane bagasse. *Sep Sci Technol* 46:1950–1956. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01496395.2011.584604>
- Inyang M, Gao B, Yao Y, Xue Y, Zimmerman AR, Pullammanappallil P, Cao X (2012) Removal of heavy metals from aqueous solution by biochars derived from anaerobically digested biomass. *Bioresour Technol* 110:50–56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.01.072>
- Inyang M, Gao B, Zimmerman A, Zhang M, Chen H (2014) Synthesis, characterization, and dye sorption ability of carbon nanotube-biochar nanocomposites. *Chem Eng J* 236:39–46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2013.09.074>
- Inyang MI, Gao B, Yao Y, Xue Y, Zimmerman A, Mosa A, Pullammanappallil P, Ok YS, Cao X (2016) A review of biochar as a low-cost adsorbent for aqueous heavy metal removal. *Crit Rev Environ Sci Technol* 46:406–433. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389.2015.1096880>
- Jia M, Wang F, Bian Y, Jin X, Song Y, Kengara FO, Xu R, Jiang X (2013) Effects of pH and metal ions on oxytetracycline sorption to maize-straw-derived biochar. *Bioresour Technol* 136:87–93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.02.098>
- Joseph SD, Camps-Arbestain M, Lin Y, Munroe P, Chia CH, Hook J, Van Zwieten L, Kimber S, Cowie A, Singh BP, Lehmann J (2010) An investigation into the reactions of biochar in soil. *Soil Res* 48:501–515. <https://doi.org/10.1071/SR10009>
- Jung C, Park J, Lim KH, Park S, Heo J, Her N, Oh J, Yun S, Yoon Y (2013) Adsorption of selected endocrine disrupting compounds and pharmaceuticals on activated biochars. *J Hazard Mater* 263:702–710. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2013.10.033>
- Kah M, Sigmund G, Xiao F, Hofmann T (2017) Sorption of ionizable and ionic organic compounds to biochar activated carbon and other carbonaceous materials. *Water Res* 124:673–692. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.07.070>
- Kalaivani GJ, Suja SK (2016) TiO₂ (rutile) embedded inulin—a versatile bio-nano composite for photocatalytic degradation of methylene blue. *Carbohydr Polym* 143:51–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2016.01.054>
- Kalderis D, Kayan B, Akay S, Kulaksız E, Gözmen B (2017) Adsorption of 2,4-dichlorophenol on paper sludge/wheat husk biochar: process optimization and comparison with biochars prepared from woodchips, sewage sludge and hog fuel/demolition waste. *J Environ Chem Eng* 5:2222–2231. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2017.04.039>
- Karakoyun N, Kubilay S, Aktas N, Turhan O, Kasimoglu M, Yilmaz S, Sahiner N (2011) Hydrogel-biochar composites for effective organic contaminant removal from aqueous media. *Desalination* 280:319–325. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2011.07.014>
- Kasazi GN, Zimmerman AR, Nkedi-Kizza P, Gao B (2010) Catechol and humic acid sorption onto a range of laboratory-produced black carbons (biochars). *Environ Sci Technol* 44(16):6189–6195. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es1014423>
- Keiluweit M, Nico PS, Johnson MG, Kleber M (2010) Dynamic molecular structure of plant biomass-derived black carbon (biochar). *Environ Sci Technol* 44:1247–1253. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es9031419>
- Khataee A, Kayan B, Gholami P, Kalderis D, Akay S (2017a) Sonocatalytic degradation of an anthraquinone dye using TiO₂-biochar nanocomposite. *Ultrason Sonochem* 39:120–128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2017.04.018>
- Khataee A, Kayan B, Gholami P, Kalderis D, Akay S, Dinpazhoh L (2017b) Sonocatalytic degradation of reactive yellow 39 using synthesized ZrO₂ nanoparticles on biochar. *Ultrason Sonochem* 39:540–549. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2017.05.023>
- Kim J, Hyun S (2018) Sorption of ionic and nonionic organic solutes onto giant Miscanthus-derived biochar from methanol-water mixtures. *Sci Total Environ* 615:805–813. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.09.296>
- Kim JR, Kan E (2016) Heterogeneous photocatalytic degradation of sulfamethoxazole in water using a biochar-supported TiO₂ photocatalyst. *J Environ Manag* 180:94–101. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.05.016>
- Kim KH, Kim JY, Cho TS, Choi JW (2012) Influence of pyrolysis temperature on the physicochemical properties of biochar obtained from the fast pyrolysis of pitch pine (*Pinus rigida*). *Bioresour Technol* 118:158–162. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.04.094>
- Klasson KT, Ledbetter CA, Uchimiya M, Lima IM (2013) Activated biochar removes 100% dibromochloropropane from field well



- water. *Environ Chem Lett* 11:271–275. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-012-0398-7>
- Kołodziejńska D, Wnętrzak R, Leahy JJ, Hayes MH, Kwapiński W, Hubicki ZJ (2012) Kinetic and adsorptive characterization of biochar in metal ions removal. *Chem Eng J* 197:295–305. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2012.05.025>
- Kong H, He J, Gao Y, Wu H, Zhu X (2011) Co sorption of phenanthrene and mercury (II) from aqueous solution by soybean stalk-based biochar. *J Agric Food Chem* 59:12116–12123. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf202924a>
- Kumar A, Kumar A, Sharma G, Naushad M, Stadler FJ, Ghfar AA, Dhiman P, Saini RV (2017) Sustainable nano-hybrids of magnetic biochar supported g-C₃N₄/FeVO₄ for solar-powered degradation of noxious pollutants-Synergism of adsorption, photocatalysis and photo-ozonation. *J Clean Prod* 165:431–451. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.07.117>
- Lalhruaitluanga H, Jayaram K, Prasad MNV, Kumar KK (2010) Lead (II) adsorption from aqueous solutions by raw and activated charcoals of *Melocanna baccifera* Roxburgh (bamboo)—a comparative study. *J Hazard Mater* 175(1–3):311–318
- Lam YF, Lee LY, Chua SJ, Lim SS, Gan S (2016) Insights into the equilibrium, kinetic and thermodynamics of nickel removal by environmentally friendly *Lansium domesticum* peel biosorbent. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf* 127:61–70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2016.01.003>
- Li M, Huang H, Yu S, Tian N, Dong F, Du X, Zhang Y (2016) Simultaneously promoting charge separation and photoabsorption of BiO_x (X = Cl, Br) for efficient visible-light photocatalysis and photosensitization by compositing low-cost biochar. *Appl Surf Sci* 386:285–295. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2016.05.171>
- Li H, Cao Y, Zhang D, Pan B (2018) pH-dependent KOW provides new insights into understanding the adsorption mechanism of ionizable organic chemicals on carbonaceous materials. *Sci Total Environ* 618:269–275. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.065>
- Lima EC (2018) Removal of emerging contaminants from the environment by adsorption. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf* 150:1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2017.12.026>
- Lisowski P, Colmenares JC, Mašek O, Lisowski W, Lisovtyskiy D, Kamińska A, Łomot D (2017) Dual functionality of TiO₂/biochar hybrid materials: photocatalytic phenol degradation in the liquid phase and selective oxidation of methanol in the gas phase. *ACS Sustain Chem Eng* 5:6274–6287. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.7b01251>
- Liu Z, Zhang FS (2009) Removal of lead from water using biochars prepared from hydrothermal liquefaction of biomass. *J Hazard Mater* 167:933–939. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.01.085>
- Liu Z, Zhang FS, Wu J (2010) Characterization and application of chars produced from pinewood pyrolysis and hydrothermal treatment. *Fuel* 89:510–514. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2009.08.042>
- Liu Y, Yang M, Wu Y, Wang H, Chen Y, Wu W (2011) Reducing CH₄ and CO₂ emissions from waterlogged paddy soil with biochar. *J Soils Sediments* 11:930–939. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-011-0376-x>
- Liu WJ, Jiang H, Yu HQ (2015) Development of biochar-based functional materials: toward a sustainable platform carbon material. *Chem Rev* 115:12251–12285. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.5b00195>
- Liu QY, Yang F, Sun XF, Liu ZH, Li G (2017) Preparation of biochar catalysts with saccharide and lignocellulose residues of corn-cob degradation for corn-cob hydrolysis into furfural. *J Mater Cycles Waste Manag* 19:134–143. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10163-015-0392-9>
- Lou W, Kane A, Wolbert D, Rtimi S, Assadi AA (2017) Study of a photocatalytic process for removal of antibiotics from wastewater in a falling film photoreactor: Scavenger study and process intensification feasibility. *Chem Eng Proc Proc Intensif* 122:213–221. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cep.2017.10.010>
- Lu H, Zhang W, Yang Y, Huang X, Wang S, Qiu R (2012) Relative distribution of Pb²⁺ sorption mechanisms by sludge-derived biochar. *Water Res* 46:854–862. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2011.11.058>
- Lu K, Yang X, Gielen G, Bolan N, Ok YS, Niazi NK, Xu S, Yuan G, Chen X, Zhang X, Liu D (2017) Effect of bamboo and rice straw biochars on the mobility and redistribution of heavy metals (Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn) in contaminated soil. *J Environ Manage* 186:285–292
- Ludwig RD, Su Ch, Lee TR, Wilkin RT, Acree SD, Ross RR, Keeley A (2007) In situ chemical reduction of Cr(VI) in groundwater using a combination of ferrous sulfate and sodium dithionite: a field investigation. *Environ Sci Technol* 41(15):5299–5305. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es070025z>
- Luo L, Yang Y, Xiao M, Bian L, Yuan B, Liu Y, Jiang F, Pan X (2015) A novel biotemplated synthesis of TiO₂/wood charcoal composites for synergistic removal of bisphenol A by adsorption and photocatalytic degradation. *Chem Eng J* 262:1275–1283. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2014.10.087>
- Matos J (2016) Eco-friendly heterogeneous photocatalysis on biochar-based materials under solar irradiation. *Top Catal* 59:394–402. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11244-015-0434-5>
- Matos J, Atienzar P, García H, Hernández-Garrido JC (2013a) Nanocrystalline carbon–TiO₂ hybrid hollow spheres as possible electrodes for solar cells. *Carbon* 53:169–181. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2012.10.044>
- Matos J, Hofman M, Pietrzak R (2013b) Synergy effect in the photocatalytic degradation of methylene blue on a suspended mixture of TiO₂ and N-containing carbons. *Carbon* 54:460–471. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2012.12.002>
- Medyńska-Juraszek A, Rivier P-A, Rasse D, Joner EJ (2020) Biochar affects heavy metal uptake in plants through interactions in the Rhizosphere. *Appl Sci* 10:5105. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app1055105>
- Mohan D, Pittman CU Jr (2007) Arsenic removal from water/wastewater using adsorbents—a critical review. *J Hazard Mater* 142:1–53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.01.006>
- Mohan D, Rajput S, Singh VK, Steele PH, Pittman CU Jr (2011) Modeling and evaluation of chromium remediation from water using low-cost bio-char, a green adsorbent. *J Hazard Mater* 188:319–333. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.01.127>
- Mohan D, Sarswat A, Ok YS, Pittman CU Jr (2014) Organic and inorganic contaminants removal from water with biochar, a renewable, low cost and sustainable adsorbent—a critical review. *Bioresour Technol* 160:191–202. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.01.120>
- Moreno-Castilla C (2004) Adsorption of organic molecules from aqueous solutions on carbon materials. *Carbon* 42:83–94. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2003.09.022>
- Mu'azu ND, Jarrah N, Zubair M, Alagha O, (2017) Removal of phenolic compounds from water using sewage sludge-based activated carbon adsorption: a review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 14:1094. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph14101094>
- Mubarak NM, Alicia RF, Abdullah EC, Sahu JN, Haslija AA, Tan J (2013a) Statistical optimization and kinetic studies on the removal of Zn²⁺ using functionalized carbon nanotubes and magnetic biochar. *J Environ Chem Eng* 1:486–495
- Mubarak NM, Ruthiraan M, Sahu JN, Abdullah EC, Jayakumar NS, Sajuni NR, Tan J (2013b) Adsorption and kinetic study on Sn²⁺ removal using modified carbon nanotube and magnetic biochar. *Int J Nanosci* 12:1350044. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219581X13500440>
- Mubarak NM, Sahu JN, Abdullah EC, Jayakumar NS (2016) Plam oil empty fruit bunch based magnetic biochar composite comparison



- for synthesis by microwave-assisted and conventional heating. *J Appl Pyroly* 120:521–528
- Mukherjee A, Zimmerman AR (2013) Organic carbon and nutrient release from a range of laboratory-produced biochars and biochar–soil mixtures. *Geoderma* 193:122–130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2012.10.002>
- Mukherjee A, Zimmerman AR, Harris W (2011) Surface chemistry variations among a series of laboratory-produced biochars. *Geoderma* 163:247–255. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2011.04.021>
- Novak JM, Lima I, Xing B, Gaskin JW, Steiner C, Das KC, Ahmedna M, Rehrh D, Watts DW, Busscher WJ, Schomberg H (2009) Characterization of designer biochar produced at different temperatures and their effects on loamy sand. *Ann Environ Sci* 3:195–206
- O'Connor D, Peng T, Zhang J, Tsang DCW, Alessi DS, Shen Z, Bolan NS, Hou D (2018) Biochar application for the remediation of heavy metal polluted land: a review of in situ field trials. *Sci Total Environ* 619–620:815–826. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.132>
- Oliveira FR, Patel AK, Jaisi DP, Adhikari S, Lu H, Khanal SK (2017) Environmental application of biochar: current status and perspectives. *Bioresour Technol* 246:110–122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.08.122>
- Özçimen D, Karaosmanoğlu F (2004) Production and characterization of bio-oil and biochar from rapeseed cake. *Renew Energy* 29:779–787. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2003.09.006>
- Park JH, Choppala GK, Bolan NS, Chung JW, Chuasavathi T (2011) Biochar reduces the bioavailability and phytotoxicity of heavy metals. *Plant Soil* 348:439. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-011-0948-y>
- Park JH, Ok YS, Kim SH, Cho JS, Heo JS, Delaune RD, Seo DC (2016) Competitive adsorption of heavy metals onto sesame straw biochar in aqueous solutions. *Chemosphere* 142:77–83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.05.093>
- Parmar KR, Ross AB (2019) Integration of hydrothermal carbonisation with anaerobic digestion: Opportunities for valorisation of digestate. *Energies* 12:1586. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en12091586>
- Parshetti GK, Hoekman SK, Balasubramanian R (2013) Chemical, structural and combustion characteristics of carbonaceous products obtained by hydrothermal carbonization of palm empty fruit bunches. *Bioresour Technol* 135:683–689
- Peiris C, Gunatilak SR, Mlsna TE, Mohan D, Vithanage M (2017) Biochar based removal of antibiotic sulfonamides and tetracyclines in aquatic environments: a critical review. *Bioresour Technol*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.07.150>
- Pellera FM, Giannis A, Kalderis D, Anastasiadou K, Stegmann R, Wang JY, Gidaracos E (2012) Adsorption of Cu (II) ions from aqueous solutions on biochars prepared from agricultural by-products. *J Environ Manag* 96:35–42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2011.10.010>
- Peng XY, Ye LL, Wang CH, Zhou H, Sun B (2011) Temperature- and duration-dependent rice straw-derived biochar: Characteristics and its effects on soil properties of a Ultisol in southern China. *Soil Tillage Res* 112:159–166. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2011.01.002>
- Pi L, Jiang R, Zhou W, Zhu H, Xiao W, Wang D, Mao X (2015) g-C₃N₄ modified biochar as an adsorptive and photocatalytic material for the decontamination of aqueous organic pollutants. *Appl Surf Sci* 358:231–239. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2015.08.176>
- Puga AP, Abreu CA, Melo LCA, Beesley L (2016) Biochar application to a contaminated soil reduces the availability and plant uptake of zinc, lead and cadmium. *J Environ Manag* 159:86–93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2015.05.036>
- Qayyum MF, Liaqat F, Rehman RA, Gul M, Hye MZ, Rizwan M, Rehman MZ (2017) Effects of co-composting of farm manure and biochar on plant growth and carbon mineralization in an alkaline soil. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 24:26060–26068. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-0227-4>
- Qiao K, Tian W, Bai J, Dong J, Zhao J, Gong X, Liu S (2018) Preparation of biochar from enteromorpha prolifera and its use for the removal of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) from aqueous solution. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf* 149:80–87. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2017.11.027>
- Qiu Y, Zheng Z, Zhou Z, Sheng GD (2009) Effectiveness and mechanisms of dye adsorption on a straw-based biochar. *Bioresour Technol* 100:5348–5351. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2009.05.054>
- Rajapaksha AU, Vithanage M, Ahmad M, Seo DC, Cho JS, Lee SN, Lee SS, Ok YS (2015) Enhanced sulfamethazine removal by steam activated invasive plant-derived biochar. *J Hazard Mater* 290:43–50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2015.02.046>
- Reddy DH, Lee SM (2013) Application of magnetic chitosan composites for the removal of toxic metal and dyes from aqueous solutions. *Adv Colloid Interface Sci* 201:68–93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cis.2013.10.002>
- Rehman MZ, Khalid H, Akmal F, Ali S, Rizwan M, Qayyum MF, Iqbal M, Khalid MU, Azhar M (2017) Effect of limestone, lignite and biochar applied alone and combined on cadmium uptake in wheat and rice under rotation in an effluent irrigated field. *Environ Pollut* 227:560–568. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.05.003>
- Rizwan M, Ali S, Qayyum MF, Ibrahim M, Rehman MZ, Abbas T, Ok YS (2016) Mechanisms of biochar-mediated alleviation of toxicity of trace elements in plants: a critical review. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 23:2230–2248. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-015-5697-7>
- Shang J, Zong M, Yu Y, Kong X, Du Q, Liao Q (2017) Removal of chromium (VI) from water using nanoscale zerovalent iron particles supported on herb-residue biochar. *J Environ Manage* 197:331–337
- Sizmur T, Fresno T, Akgül G, Frost H, Moreno-Jiménez E (2017) Biochar modification to enhance sorption of inorganics from water. *Bioresour Technol* 246:34–47
- Spokas KA (2010) Review of the stability of biochar in soils: predictability of O: C molar ratios. *Carbon Manag* 1:289–303. <https://doi.org/10.4155/cmt.10.32>
- Sun K, Ro K, Guo M, Novak J, Mashayekhi H, Xing B (2011) Sorption of bisphenol A, 17 α -Ethinyl estradiol and phenanthrene on thermally and hydrothermally produced biochars. *Bioresour Technol* 102:5757–5763. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2011.03.038>
- Sun K, Kang M, Zhang Z, Jin J, Wang Z, Pan Z, Xu D, Wu F, Xing B (2013a) Impact of deashing treatment on biochar structural properties and potential sorption mechanisms of phenanthrene. *Environ Sci Technol* 47:11473–11481. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es4026744>
- Sun L, Wan S, Luo W (2013b) Biochars prepared from anaerobic digestion residue, palm bark, and eucalyptus for adsorption of cationic methylene blue dye: characterization, equilibrium, and kinetic studies. *Bioresour Technol* 140:406–413. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.04.116>
- Sun Y, Gao B, Yao Y, Fang J, Zhang M, Zhou Y, Chen H, Yang L (2014) Effects of feedstock type, production method, and pyrolysis temperature on biochar and hydrochar properties. *Chem Eng J* 240:574–578. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2013.10.081>
- Thies JE, Rillig MC (2009) Characteristics of biochar: biological properties. *Biochar for environmental management. Sci Technol* 8:5–105. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781849770552-13>



- Tong XJ, Li JY, Yuan JH, Xu RK (2011) Adsorption of Cu (II) by biochars generated from three crop straws. *Chem Eng J* 172:828–834. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2011.06.069>
- Trakal L, Veselská V, Šafařík I, Vítková M, Číhalová S, Komárek M (2016) Lead and cadmium sorption mechanisms on magnetically modified biochars. *Biores Technol* 203:318–324. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.12.056>
- Tsai WT, Chen HR (2013) Adsorption kinetics of herbicide paraquat in aqueous solution onto a low-cost adsorbent, swine-manure-derived biochar. *Int J Environ Sci Technol* 10(6):1349–1356
- Van Zwieten L, Kimber S, Morris S, Chan KY, Downie A, Rust J, Joseph S, Cowie A (2010) Effects of biochar from slow pyrolysis of paper-mill waste on agronomic performance and soil fertility. *Plant Soil* 327:235–246. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-009-0050-x>
- Villegas-Guzman P, Giannakis S, Rtimi S, Grandjean D, Bensimon M, de Alencastro F, Torres-Palma R, Pulgarin C (2017) A green solar photo-Fenton process for the elimination of bacteria and micropollutants in municipal wastewater treatment using mineral iron and natural organic acids. *Appl Catal B Environ* 219:538–549. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2017.07.066>
- Vithanage M, Mayakaduwa S, Herath I, Ok YS, Mohan D (2016) Kinetics, thermodynamics and mechanistic studies of carbofuran removal using biochars from tea waste and rice husks. *Chemosphere* 150:781–789. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.11.002>
- Vyavahare GD, Gaurav RG, Jadhav PP, Patil RR, Aware CB, Jadhav JP (2018) Response surface methodology optimization for sorption of malachite green dye on sugarcane bagasse biochar and evaluating the residual dye for photo and cytogenotoxicity. *Chemosphere* 194:306–315. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.11.180>
- Wang H, Yuan X, Zeng G, Leng L, Peng X, Liao K, Peng L, Xiao Z (2014) Removal of malachite green dye from wastewater by different organic acid-modified natural adsorbent: kinetics, equilibriums, mechanisms, practical application, and disposal of the dye-loaded adsorbent. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 21:11552–11564. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-3025-2>
- Wang S, Gao B, Zimmerman AR, Li Y, Ma L, Harris WG, Migliaccio KW (2015) Removal of arsenic by magnetic biochar prepared from pinewood and natural hematite. *Bioresour Technol* 175:391–395. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.10.104>
- Wang P, Liu X, Wu X, Xu J, Dong F, Zheng Y (2018) Evaluation of biochars in reducing the bioavailability of flubendiamide in water/sediment using passive sampling with polyoxymethylene. *J Hazard Mater* 344:1000–1006. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2017.12.003>
- Wei D, Ngo HH, Guo W, Xu W, Du B, Khan MS, Wei Q (2018) Biosorption performance evaluation of heavy metal onto aerobic granular sludge-derived biochar in the presence of effluent organic matter via batch and fluorescence approaches. *Bioresour Technol* 249:410–416. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.10.015>
- Weldon S, Rasse DP, Budai A, Tomic O, Dörsch P (2019) The effect of a biochar temperature series on denitrification: which biochar properties matter? *Soil Bio Biochem* 135:173–183. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2019.04.018>
- Wu F, Liu W, Qiu J, Li J, Zhou W, Fang Y, Zhang S, Li X (2015) Enhanced photocatalytic degradation and adsorption of methylene blue via TiO₂ nanocrystals supported on graphene-like bamboo charcoal. *Appl Surf Sci* 358:425–435. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2015.08.161>
- Xia Y, Larock RC (2010) Vegetable oil-based polymeric materials: synthesis, properties, and applications. *Green Chem* 12:1893–1909. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C0GC00264J>
- Xu RK, Xiao SC, Yuan JH, Zhao AZ (2011) Adsorption of methyl violet from aqueous solutions by the biochars derived from crop residues. *Bioresour Technol* 102:10293–10298. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2011.08.089>
- Xu X, Cao X, Zhao L, Wang H, Yu H, Gao B (2013) Removal of Cu, Zn, and Cd from aqueous solutions by the dairy manure-derived biochar. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 20:358–368. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-012-0873-5>
- Yang X, Chen Z, Wu Q, Xu M (2018) Enhanced phenanthrene degradation in river sediments using a combination of biochar and nitrate. *Sci Total Environ* 619–620:600–605. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.130>
- Yao Y, Gao B, Inyang M, Zimmerman AR, Cao X, Pullammanappallil P, Yang L (2011a) Biochar derived from anaerobically digested sugar beet tailings: characterization and phosphate removal potential. *Bioresour Technol* 102:6273–6278. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2011.03.006>
- Yao Y, Gao B, Inyang M, Zimmerman AR, Cao X, Pullammanappallil P, Yang L (2011b) Removal of phosphate from aqueous solution by biochar derived from anaerobically digested sugar beet tailings. *J Hazard Mater* 190:501–507. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.03.083>
- Yao H, Lu J, Wu J, Lu Z, Wilson PC, Shen Y (2013a) Adsorption of fluoroquinolone antibiotics by wastewater sludge biochar: role of the sludge source. *Water Air Soil Pollut* 224:1370. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-012-1370-7>
- Yao Y, Gao B, Chen J, Yang L (2013b) Engineered biochar reclaiming phosphate from aqueous solutions: mechanisms and potential application as a slow-release fertilizer. *Environ Sci Technol* 47:8700–8708. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es4012977>
- Younis U, Malik SA, Rizwan M, Qayyum MF, Ok YS, Shah MHR, Rehman RA, Ahmad N (2016) Biochar enhances the cadmium tolerance in spinach (*Spinacia oleracea*) through modification of Cd uptake and physiological and biochemical attributes. *Environ Sci Poll Res* 23:21385–21394. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-7344-3>
- X Zhang H, Wang L, He K, Lu A, Sarmah J, Li NS, Bolan J, Pei H, Huang (2013a) Using biochar for remediation of soils contaminated with heavy metals and organic pollutants. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 20:8472–8483. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-013-1659-0>
- Zhang W, Mao S, Chen H, Huang L, Qiu R (2013b) Pb (II) and Cr (VI) sorption by biochars pyrolyzed from the municipal wastewater sludge under different heating conditions. *Bioresour Technol* 147:545–552. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.08.082>
- Zhang H, Wang Z, Li R, Guo J, Li Y, Zhu J, Xie X (2017) TiO₂ supported on reed straw biochar as an adsorptive and photocatalytic composite for the efficient degradation of sulfamethoxazole in aqueous matrices. *Chemosphere* 185:351–360. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.07.025>
- Zhang Y, Cao B, Zhao L, Sun L, Gao Y, Li J, Yang F (2018) Biochar-supported reduced graphene oxide composite for adsorption and coadsorption of atrazine and lead ions. *Appl Surf Sci* 427:147–155. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2017.07.237>
- Zhao N, Yang X, Zhang J, Zhu L, Lv Y (2017) Adsorption mechanisms of dodecylbenzene sulfonic acid by corn straw and poplar leaf biochars. *Materials* 10:1119. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma10101119>
- Zheng H, Wang Z, Zhao J et al (2013) Sorption of antibiotic sulfamethoxazole varies with biochars produced at different temperatures. *Environ Pollut* 181:60–67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2013.05.056>
- Zhou Y, Gao B, Zimmerman AR, Chen H, Zhang M, Cao X (2014) Biochar-supported zerovalent iron for removal of various contaminants from aqueous solutions. *Bioresour Technol* 152:538–542. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.11.021>

