patRoon handbook

Rick Helmus

2020-04-30

Contents

1	Inti	Introduction Installation		
2	Inst			
	2.1	Automatic installation (Windows only)	2	
	2.2	Manual installation	3	
3	Wo	rkflow concepts	5	
4	Ger	nerating workflow data	6	
	4.1	Preparations	7	
	4.2	Features	10	
	4.3	Annotation	13	
	4.4	Componentization	21	
5	\mathbf{Pro}	cessing workflow data	24	
	5.1	Inspecting results	24	
	5.2	Filtering	29	
	5.3	Subsetting	33	
	5.4	Unique and overlapping features	35	
	5.5	Visualization	36	
	5.6	Reporting	48	
6	Adv	vanced usage	49	
	6.1	Adducts	49	
	6.2	Feature parameter optimization	50	
	6.3	Exporting and converting feature data	54	
	6.4	Algorithm consensus	55	
	6.5	Compound clustering	56	
	6.6	Basic quantitative and regression analysis	57	

6.7	Caching	58
6.8	Parallelization	59

1 Introduction

Nowadays there are various software tools available to process data from non-target analysis (NTA) experiments. Individual tools such as ProteoWizard, XCMS, OpenMS, MetFrag and mass spectrometry vendor tools are often combined to perform a complete data processing workflow. During this workflow, raw data files may undergo pre-treatment (e.g. conversion), chromatographic and mass spectral data are combined to extract so called *features* (or 'peaks') and finally annotation is performed to elucidate chemical identities. The aim of patRoon is to harmonize the many available tools in order to provide a consistent user interface without the need to know all the details of each individual software tool and remove the need for tedious conversion of data when multiple tools are used. The name is derived from a Dutch word that means pattern and may also be an acronym for hyPhenated mAss specTROmetry nOn-target aNalysis. The workflow of non-target analysis is typically highly dependent on several factors such as the analytical instrumentation used and requirements of the study. For this reason, patRoon does not enforce a certain workflow. Instead, most workflow steps are optional, are highly configurable and algorithms can easily be mixed or even combined. Furthermore, patRoon supplies a straightforward interface to easily inspect, select, visualize and report all data that is generated during the workflow.

The documentation of patRoon consists of three parts:

- 1. A tutorial (accessible at here)
- 2. This handbook
- 3. The reference manual (accessible in R with ?`patRoon-package` or online here)

New users are highly recommended to start with the tutorial: this document provides an interactive introduction in performing a basic NTA processing workflow with patRoon. The handbook provides a more thorough overview of all concepts, functionalities and provides instructions and many examples on working with patRoon. Finally, the reference manual provides all the gritty details for all functionalities, and is meant if you want to know more details or need a quick reminder how a function should be used.

2 Installation

patRoon depends on various other software tools to perform the non-target analysis workflow steps and to implement various other functionalities. Most of these dependencies are automatically installed when you install the patRoon R package, however, some need to be manually installed and/or configured.

NOTE It is highly recommended to perform installation steps in a 'clean' R session to avoid errors when installing or upgrading packages. As such it is recommended to close all open (R Studio) sessions and open a plain R console to perform the installation.

2.1 Automatic installation (Windows only)

An installation script is provided that automatically installs and configures all dependencies and finally installs patRoon itself. At this moment, this script only works with Microsoft Windows. You don't have to install anything else to use it, simply open R and execute these commands:

```
source("https://raw.githubusercontent.com/rickhelmus/patRoon/master/install_patRoon.R")
installPatRoon()
```

A simple text based wizard will start and asks you what to install and how to do it. You can re-run this installer at any time, for instance, if something went wrong or you want to install additional dependencies.

2.2 Manual installation

Alternatively, the manual installation is for users who don't use Windows, prefer to do a manual installation or simply want to know what happens behind the scenes. The manual installation consists of three phases:

- 1. Installing some prerequisite R packages
- 2. Install and configure (non-R) dependencies
- 3. Install patRoon

2.2.1 R prerequisites

When installing patRoon Windows users have the option to install from a customized (miniCRAN) repository (patRoonDeps). This repository provides a central repository for patRoon and all its R packages. An advantage is that installation will be faster and you will not need to install Rtools. Note that you will need to have the latest R version installed in order to use this repository.

When you decide to use the patRoonDeps repository you can simply *skip* this step. **Otherwise** (i.e. you will use regular repositories instead), execute the following:

```
install.packages(c("BiocManager", "remotes"))
BiocManager::install("CAMERA")

# only needed for Bruker DataAnalysis integration
install.packages("RDCOMClient", repos = "http://www.omegahat.net/R")

# only when using the R interface (not recommended by default)
remotes::install_github("c-ruttkies/MetFragR/metfRag")
```

Note that the latter two commands concern installation of *optional* packages. If you are unsure then you probably don't need them.

2.2.2 Other dependencies

Depending on which functionality is used, the following external dependencies may need to be installed:

Software	Remarks
Java JDK	Mandatory for e.g. plotting structures and using MetFrag.
Rtools	Necessary on Window and when patRoon is not installed
	from patRoonDeps.
ProteoWizard	Needed for automatic data-pretreatment (e.g. data file
	conversion and centroiding, Bruker users may use
	DataAnalysis integration instead).
OpenMS	Recommended. Used for e.g. finding and grouping features.
MetFrag CL	Recommended. Used for annotation with MetFrag.

Software	Remarks	
MetFrag CompTox DB	Database files necessary for usage of the CompTox database with MetFrag. Note that a recent version of MetFrag (>=2.4.5) is required. Note that the lists with additions for smoking metadata and wastewater metadata are also supported.	
MetFrag PubChemLite DB	Database files needed to use PubChemLite with MetFrag (currently tested with tier0 and tier1 November 2019 versions).	
SIRIUS	For formula and/or compound annotation.	
OpenBabel	Used in some cases for suspect screening (e.g. to calculate molecular masses for suspects with only InChI information). Otherwise optional.	
pngquant	Used to reduce size of HTML reports, definitely optional.	

After installation you may need to configure the file path to ProteoWizard, OpenMS, SIRIUS, MetFrag, the MetFrag CompTox DB and/or pngquant (normally ProteoWizard and OpenMS should be automatically found). To configure their file locations you should set some global package options with the options() R function, for instance:

```
options(patRoon.path.pwiz = "C:/ProteoWizard") # location of ProteoWizard installation

→ folder

options(patRoon.path.SIRIUS = "C:/sirius-win64-3.5.1") # location where SIRIUS was

→ extracted

options(patRoon.path.OpenMS = "/usr/local/bin") # directory with the OpenMS binaries

options(patRoon.path.pngquant = "~/pngquant") # directory containing pngquant binary

options(patRoon.path.MetFragCL = "~/MetFrag2.4.5-CL.jar") # full location to the jar file

options(patRoon.path.MetFragCompTox = "C:/CompTox_17March2019_SelectMetaData.csv") # full

→ location to desired CompTox CSV file

options(patRoon.path.MetFragPubChemLite = "~/PubChemLite_14Jan2020_tier0.csv") # full

→ location to desired PubChemLite CSV file

options(patRoon.path.obabel = "C:/Program Files/OpenBabel-3.0.0") # directory with

→ OpenBabel binaries
```

These commands have to be executed everytime you start a new R session (e.g. as part of your script). However, it is probably easier to add them to your ~/.Rprofile file so that they are executed automatically when you start R. If you don't have this file yet you can simply create it yourself (for more information see e.g. this SO answer).

2.2.3 patRoon installation

Finally, it is time to install patRoon itself. As mentioned before, Windows users (who have the latest R version) can install patRoon and all its package dependencies from the patRoonDeps repository:

Otherwise, installation occurs directly from GitHub:

```
remotes::install_github("rickhelmus/patRoon")


# optional, data for tutorial
remotes::install_github("rickhelmus/patRoonData") # example data used by tutorial
```

Afterwards, you can run the verifyDependencies() function to see if patRoon can find all its dependencies (you may need to restart R beforehand)

```
patRoon::verifyDependencies()
```

3 Workflow concepts

In a non-target workflow both chromatographic and mass spectral data is automatically processed in order to provide a comprehensive chemical characterization of your samples. While the exact workflow is typically dependent on the type of study, it generally involves of the following steps:



Note that patRoon supports flexible composition of workflows. In the scheme above you can recognize optional steps by a *dashed line*. The inclusion of each step is only necessary if a further steps depends on its data. For instance, annotation and componentization do not depend on each other and can therefore be executed in any order or simply be omitted. A brief descripton of all steps is given below.

During data pre-treatment raw MS data is prepared for further analysis. A common need for this step is to convert the data to an open format so that other tools are able to process it. Other pre-treatment steps may involve re-calibration of m/z data or performing advanced filtering operations.

The next step is to extract **features** from the data. While different terminologies are used, a feature in patRoon refers to a single chromatographic peak in an extracted ion chromatogram for a single m/z value (within a defined tolerance). Hence, a feature contains both chromatographic data (e.g. retention time and peak height) and mass spectral data (e.g. the accurate m/z). Note that with mass spectrometry multiple m/z values may be detected for a single compound as a result of adduct formation, natural isotopes and/or insource fragments. Some algorithms may try to combine these different masses in a single feature. However, in patRoon we generally assume this is not the case (and may optionally be done afterwards during the componentization step described below). Features are sometimes simply referred to as 'peaks'.

Features are found per analysis. Hence, in order to compare a feature across analyses, the next step is to group them. This step is essential as it finds equal features even if their retention time or m/z values slightly differ due to analytical variability. The resulting **feature groups** are crucial input for subsequent workflow steps. Prior to grouping, retention time alignment between analyses may be performed to improve grouping of features, especially when processing multiple analysis batches at once. Outside **patRoon** feature groups may also be defined as profiles, aligned or grouped features or buckets.

Depending on the study type, **suspect screening** is then performed to limit the features that should be considered for further processing. As its name suggests, with suspect screening only those features which are suspected to be present are considered for further processing. These suspects are retrieved from a suspect list which contains the m/z and (optionally) retention times for each suspect. Typical suspect lists may be composed from databases with known pollutants or from predicted transformation products. Note that for a 'full' non-target analysis no suspect screening is performed, hence, this step is simply omitted and all features are to be considered.

After features have been collected the next step typically involves **annotation**. During this step MS and MS/MS data are collected in so called **MS peak lists**, which are then used as input for formula and compound annotation. Formula annotation involves automatic calculation of possible formulae for each feature based on its m/z, isotopic pattern and MS/MS fragments, whereas compound annotation (or identification) involves the assignment of actual chemical structures to each feature. Note that during formula and compound annotation typically multiple candidates are assigned to a single feature. To assist interpretation of this data each candidate is therefore ranked on characteristics such as isotopic fit, number of explained MS/MS fragments and metadata from an online database such as number of scientific references or presence in common suspect lists.

Besides annotation, another step to perform after extraction of features is **componentization**. A **component** is defined as a collection of multiple feature groups that are somehow related to each other. Typical exmples are features that belong to the same chemical compound (i.e. with different m/z values but equal retention time), such as adducts, isotopes and in-source fragments. Other examples are homologues series and features that display a similar intensity trend across samples.

To summarize:

- **Data-pretreatment** involves preparing raw MS data for further processing (e.g. conversion to an open format)
- Features describe chromatographic and m/z information (or 'peaks') in all analyses.
- A **feature group** consists of equal features across analyses.
- With suspect screening only features that are considered to be on a suspect list are considered further in the workflow.
- MS peak lists Summarizes all MS and MS/MS data that will be used for subsequent annotation.
- During **formula** and **compound annotation** candidate formulae/structures will be assigned and ranked for each feature.
- Componentization involves consolidating different feature groups that have a relationship to each other in to a single component.

The next chapters will discuss how to generate this data and process it.

4 Generating workflow data

Each step in the non-target workflow is performed by a function that performs the heavy lifting of a workflow step behind the scenes and finally return the results. An important goal of **patRoon** is to support multiple algorithms for each workflow step, hence, when such a function is called you have to specify which algorithm you want to use. The available algorithms and their characteristics will be discussed in the next sections. An overview of all functions involved in generating workflow data is shown in the table below.

Workflow step	Function	Output S4 class
Data pre-treatment	<pre>convertMSFiles(), recalibrarateDAFiles()</pre>	-
Finding features	<pre>findFeatures()</pre>	features
Grouping features	<pre>groupFeatures()</pre>	featureGroups
Suspect screening	screenSuspects() + groupFeaturesScreening()	featureGroups
MS peak lists	<pre>generateMSPeakLists()</pre>	MSPeakLists
Formula annotation	<pre>generateFormulas()</pre>	formulas
Compound annotation	<pre>generateCompounds()</pre>	compounds
Componentization	<pre>generateComponents()</pre>	components

All of these functions store their output in objects derived from so called S4 classes. Knowing the details about the S4 class system of R is generally not important when using patRoon (and well written resources are available if you want to know more). In brief, usage of this class system allows a general data format that is used irrespective of the algorithm that was used to generate the data. For instance, when features have been found by OpenMS or XCMS they both return the same data format.

Another advantage of the S4 class system is the usage of so called *generic functions*. To put simply: a generic function performs a certain task for different types of data objects. A good example is the plotSpec() function which plots an (annotated) spectrum from data of MS peak lists or from formula or compound annotation:

```
# mslists, formulas, compounds contain results for MS peak lists and
# formula/compound annotations, respectively.

plotSpec(mslists, ...) # plot raw MS spectrum
plotSpec(formulas, ...) # plot annotated spectrum from formula annotation data
plotSpec(compounds, ...) # likewise but for compound annotation.
```

The next sections will further detail on how to actually perform the non-target workflow steps to generate data.

4.1 Preparations

4.1.1 Data pre-treatment

Prior to performing the actual non-target data processing workflow some preparations often need to be made. Often data has to be pre-treated, for instance, by converting it to an open format that is usable for subsequent workflow steps or to perform mass re-calibration. Some common functions are listed below.

Task	Function	Algorithms	Supported file formats
Conversion	convertMSFiles()	OpenMS, ProteoWizard, DataAnalysis	All com-
			mon (algo- rithm dependent)
Advanced (e.g. spectral filtering)	<pre>convertMSFiles()</pre>	ProteoWizard	All common
Mass re-calibration	recalibrarateDAFil	Les D ataAnalysis	Bruker

The convertMSFiles() function supports conversion between many different file formats typically used in non-target analysis. Furthermore, other pre-treatment steps are available (e.g. centroiding, filtering) when the ProteoWizard algorithm is used. For an overview of these functionalities see the MsConvert documentation. Some examples:

NOTE Most algorithms further down the workflow require the *mzML* or *mzXML* file format and additionally require that mass peaks have been centroided. When using the ProteoWizard algorithm (the default), centroiding by vendor algorithms is generally recommended (i.e. by setting centroid="vendor" as shown in the above example).

When Bruker MS data is used it can be automatically re-calibrated to improve its mass accuracy. Often this is preceded by calling the setDAMethod() function to set a DataAnalysis method to all files in order to configure automatic re-calibration. The recalibrarateDAFiles() function performs the actual re-calibration. The getDACalibrationError() function can be used at anytime to request the current calibration error of each analysis. An example of these functions is shown below.

```
# anaInfo is a data.frame with information on analyses (see next section)
setDAMethod(anaInfo, "path/to/DAMethod.m") # configure Bruker files with given method

→ that has automatic calibration setup
recalibrarateDAFiles(anaInfo) # trigger re-calibration for each analysis
getDACalibrationError(anaInfo) # get calibration error for each analysis (NOTE: also

→ shown when previous function is finished)
```

4.1.2 Analysis information

The final bits of preparation is constructing the information for the analyses that need to be processed. In patRoon this is referred to as the *analysis information* and often stored in a variable anaInfo (of course you are free to choose a different name!). The analysis information should be a data.frame with the following columns:

- path: the directory path of the file containing the analysis data
- analysis: the name of the analysis. This should be the file name without file extension.
- group: to which replicate group the analysis belongs. All analysis which are replicates of each other get the same name.
- blank: which replicate group should be used for blank subtraction.
- conc (optional, advanced) A numeric value describing the concentration or any other value for which the intensity in this sample may correlate, for instance, dilution factor, sampling time etc. This column is only required when you want to obtain quantitative information (e.g. concentrations) using the as.data.table() method function (see ?featureGroups for more information).

The generateAnalysisInfo() function can be used to (semi-)automatically generate a suitable data.frame that contains all the required information for a set of analysis. For, instance, the following line was used in the tutorial:

```
#> path analysis group blank
#> 1 /usr/local/lib/R/site-library/patRoonData/extdata solvent-1 solvent solvent
#> 2 /usr/local/lib/R/site-library/patRoonData/extdata solvent-2 solvent solvent
#> 3 /usr/local/lib/R/site-library/patRoonData/extdata solvent-3 solvent solvent
#> 4 /usr/local/lib/R/site-library/patRoonData/extdata standard-1 standard solvent
#> 5 /usr/local/lib/R/site-library/patRoonData/extdata standard-2 standard solvent
#> 6 /usr/local/lib/R/site-library/patRoonData/extdata standard-3 standard solvent
```

Alternatively, the newProject() function discussed in the next section can be used to interactively construct this information.

4.1.3 Automatic project generation with newProject()

The previous sections already highlighted some steps that have to be performed prior to starting a new non-target analysis workflow: data pre-treatment and gathering information on the analysis. Most of the times you will put this and other R code a script file so you can re-call what you have done before (i.e. reproducible research).

The newProject() function can be used to setup a new project. When you run this function it will launch a small tool (see screenshot below) where you can select your analyses and configure the various workflow steps which you want to execute (e.g. data pre-treatment, finding features, annotation etc). After setting everything up the function will generate a template script which can easily be edited afterwards. In addition, you have the option to create a new RStudio project, which is advantegeous as it neatly seperates your data processing work from the rest.



NOTE At the moment newProject() only works with (recent) versions of RStudio.

4.2 Features

Collecting features from the analyses consists of finding all features, grouping them across analyses (optionally after retention time alignment) and finally, if desired suspect screening:



4.2.1 Finding and grouping features

Several algorithms are available for finding features. These are listed in the table below alongside their usage and general remarks.

Algorithm	Usage	Remarks
OpenMS	findFeatures(algorithm = "openms",)	Uses the Feature-
		FinderMetabo
		${\it algorithm}$
XCMS	<pre>findFeatures(algorithm = "xcms",)</pre>	Uses
		xcms::xcmsSet()
		function
XCMS (import)	<pre>importFeatures(algorithm = "xcms",)</pre>	Imports an
		existing xcmsSet
		object.
XCMS3	<pre>findFeatures(algorithm = "xcms3",)</pre>	Uses
		<pre>xcms::findChromPeaks()</pre>
		from the new
		XCMS3 interface
XCMS3 (import)	<pre>importFeatures(algorithm = "xcms3",)</pre>	Imports an
, - ,	-	existing XCMSnExp
		object.
enviPick	<pre>findFeatures(algorithm = "envipick",)</pre>	Uses
	-	enviPick::enviPickwrap(
DataAnalysis	<pre>findFeatures(algorithm = "bruker",)</pre>	Uses Find
•		Molecular Features
		from DataAnalysis
		(Bruker only)

Most often the performance of these algorithms heavily depend on the data and parameter settings that are used. Since obtaining a good feature dataset is crucial for the rest of the workflow, it is highly recommended to experiment with different settings (this process can also be automated, see the feature optimization section for more details). Some common parameters to look at are listed in the table below. However, there are many more (advanced) parameters that can be set, please see the reference documentation for these (e.g. ?findFeatures).

Algorithm	Common parameters
OpenMS noiseThrInt, chromSNR, chromFWHM, mzPPM, minFWHM, maxFWHM (see ?findFeatures)	
XCMS / XCMS3	peakwidth, mzdiff, prefilter, noise (assuming default centWave algorithm, see
	?findPeaks.centWave / ?CentWaveParam)
enviPick	dmzgap, dmzdens, drtgap, drtsmall, drtdens, drtfill, drttotal, minpeak,
	minint, maxint (see ?enviPickwrap)
DataAnalysis	See Find -> Parameters> Molecular Features in DataAnalysis.

NOTE DataAnalysis feature settings have to be configured in DataAnalysis prior to calling findFeatures().

Similarly, for grouping features across analyses several algorithms are supported.

Algorithm	Usage	Remarks
OpenMS	<pre>groupFeatures(algorithm = "openms",)</pre>	Uses the FeatureLinkerUnlabeled algorithm (and MapAlignerPoseClustering for retention alignment)

Algorithm	Usage	Remarks
XCMS	<pre>groupFeatures(algorithm = "xcms",)</pre>	Uses xcms::group() xcms::retcor() functions
XCMS (import)	<pre>importFeatureGroupsXCMS()</pre>	Imports an existing xcmsSet object.
XCMS3	<pre>groupFeatures(algorithm = "xcms3",)</pre>	<pre>Uses xcms::groupChromPeaks() and xcms::adjustRtime() functions</pre>
XCMS3 (import)	<pre>importFeatureGroupsXCMS3()</pre>	Imports an existing XCMSnExp object.
ProfileAnalysis	<pre>importFeatureGroups(algorithm = "brukerpa",)</pre>	Import .csv file exported from Bruker ProfileAnalysis
TASQ	<pre>importFeatureGroups(algorithm = "brukertasq",)</pre>	Imports a Global result table (exported to Excel file and then saved as .csv file)

Just like finding features, each algorithm has their own set of parameters. Often the defaults are a good start but it is recommended to have look at them. See ?groupFeatures for more details.

When using the XCMS algorithms both the 'classical' interface and latest XCMS3 interfaces are supported. Currently, both interfaces are mostly the same regarding functionalities and implementation. However, since future developments of XCMS are primarily focused the latter this interface is recommended.

Some examples of finding and grouping features are shown below.

```
# The anaInfo variable contains analysis information, see the previous section
# Finding features
fListOMS <- findFeatures(anaInfo, "openms") # OpenMS, with default settings
fListOMS2 <- findFeatures(anaInfo, "openms", noiseThrInt = 500, chromSNR = 10) # OpenMS,
\rightarrow adjusted minimum intensity and S/N
fListXCMS <- findFeatures(anaInfo, "xcms", ppm = 10) # XCMS
fListXCMSImp <- importFeatures(anaInfo, "xcms", xset) # import XCMS xcmsSet object
fListXCMS3 <- findFeatures(anaInfo, "xcms3", CentWaveParam(peakwidth = c(5, 15))) # XCMS3
fListEP <- findFeatures(anaInfo, "envipick", minint = 1E3) # enviPick
# Grouping features
fGroupsOMS <- groupFeatures(fListOMS, "openms") # OpenMS grouping, default settings
fGroupsOMS2 <- groupFeatures(fListOMS2, "openms", rtalign = FALSE) # OpenMS grouping, no
\hookrightarrow RT alignment
fGroupsOMS3 <- groupFeatures(fListXCMS, "openms", maxGroupRT = 6) # group XCMS features
→ with OpenMS, adjusted grouping parameter
# group enviPick features with XCMS3, disable minFraction
fGroupsXCMS <- groupFeatures(fListEP, "xcms3",
                             xcms::PeakDensityParam(sampleGroups = analInfo$group,
                                                     minFraction = 0))
```

4.2.2 Suspect screening

After features have been grouped suspect screening may be performed to eliminate any feature groups with m/z and (optionally) retention properties not present in a given suspect list. A typical workflow looks like this:

This will perform the following steps:

- 1. Create a suspect list. A suspect list is a data.frame with mandatory name and mz columns. Optionally, it may also contain an rt column for retention times (in seconds). In reality loading such a table is easiest from a .csv file with e.g. read.csv().
- 2. Screen a feature groups object for the suspects. The return value will be a data.frame with results.
- 3. Use the screening results to filter the original feature groups and store the results in fGroupsSusp.


Alternatively, the mz column of the input suspect list can also be substituted by a neutralMass, SMILES, InChI or formula column, so that ion masses don't need to be calculated in advance. This is especially advantageous for e.g. NORMAN suspect lists where such information is readily available. A requirement is that the adduct species should be specified for automatic ion mass calculation. This can either be done with an extra adduct column in the suspect list, or by using the adduct function argument to screenSuspects, e.g.:

```
suspects \leftarrow data.frame(name = c("susp1", "susp2", "susp3"), \\ SMILES = c("C1=CC=C(C=C1)C(=0)0", \\ \hookrightarrow "C1=CC=C2C(=C1)C=CC3=CC=CC3N2C(=0)N", "C1=CC2=NNN=C2C=C1"), \\ stringsAsFactors = FALSE) \\ scr \leftarrow screenSuspects(fGroups, suspects, adduct = "[M+H]+") \\ fGroupsSusp \leftarrow groupFeaturesScreening(fGroups, scr)
```

Note that in some cases you may need to install OpenBabel (e.g. when only InChI data is available for mass calculation).

4.3 Annotation

The annotation consists of collecting MS peak lists and then formula and/or compound annotation:



Note that compound annotation is normally not dependent upon formula annotation. However, formula data can be used to improve ranking of candidates afterwards by the addFormulaScoring() function, which will be discussed later in this section.

4.3.1 MS peak lists

Algorithm	Usage	Remarks
mzR	<pre>generateMSPeakLists(algorithm = "mzr")</pre>	Uses mzR for spectra retrieval. Recommended default.
DataAnalysisgenerateMSPeakLists(algorithm = "bruker",)		Loads data after automatically generating MS and MS/MS spectra in DataAnalysis
DataAnalys FMF	isgenerateMSPeakLists(algorithm = "brukerfmf",)	Uses spectra from the find molecular features algorithm.

The recommended default algorithm is mzr: this algorithm is generally faster and is not limited to a vendor data format as it will read the open mzML and mzXML file formats. On the other hand, when DataAnalysis is used with Bruker data the spectra can be automatically background subtracted and there is no need for file conversion. Note that the brukerfmf algorithm only works when findFeatures() was called with the bruker algorithm.

When generateMSPeakists() is called it will

- 1. Find all MS and MS/MS spectra that 'belong' to a feature. For MS spectra this means that all spectra close to the retention time of a feature will be collected. In addition, for MS/MS normally only spectra will be considered that have a precursor mass close to that of the feature (however, this can be disabled for data that was recorded with data independent acquisition (DIA, MS^E, bbCID, ...)).
- 2. Average all MS and MS/MS spectra to produce peak lists for each feature.
- 3. Average all peak lists for features within the same group.

Data from either (2) or (3) is used for subsequent annotation steps. Formula calculation can use either (as a trade-off between possibly more accurate results by outlier removal vs speed), whereas compound annotation will always use data from (3) since annotating single features (as opposed to their groups) would take a very long time.

There are several common function arguments to generateMSPeakLists() that can be used to optimize its behaviour:

Argument	Algorithm(s)	Remarks
maxMSRtWindow	mzr, bruker	Maximum time window +/- the feature retention time (in seconds) to collect spectra for averaging. Higher values may significantly increase processing times.
precursorMzWindow	mzr	Maximum precursor m/z search window to find MS/MS spectra. Set to NULL to disable (i.e. for DIA experiments).
topMost	mzr	Only retain feature data for no more than this amount analyses with highest intensity. For instance, a value of 1 will only keep peak lists for the feature with highest intensity in a feature group.
bgsubtr	bruker	Perform background subtraction (if the spectra type supports this, e.g. MS and bbCID)
minMSIntensity,	bruker,	Minimum MS and MS/MS intensity. Note that
minMSMSIntensity	brukerfmf	DataAnalysis reports many zero intensity peaks so a value of at least 1 is recommended.
MSMSType	bruker	The type of spectra that should be used for MSMS: "BBCID" for bbCID experiments, otherwise "MSMS" (the default).

In addition, several parameters can be set that affect spectral averaging. These parameters are passed as a list to the avgFeatParams (mzr algorithm only) and avgFGroupParams arguments, which affect averaging of feature and feature group data, respectively. Some typical parameters include:

- clusterMzWindow: Maximum m/z window used to cluster mass peaks when averaging. The better the MS resolution, the lower this value should be.
- topMost: Retain no more than this amount of most intense mass peaks. Useful to filter out 'noisy' peaks.
- minIntensityPre / minIntensityPost: Mass peaks below this intensity will be removed before/after averaging.

See ?generateMSPeakLists for all possible parameters.

A suitable list object to set averaging parameters can be obtained with the getDefAvgPListParams() function.

4.3.2 Formulae

Formulae can be automatically calculated for all features using the generateFormulas() function. The following algorithms are currently supported:

Algorithm	Usage	Remarks
GenForm	<pre>generateFormulas(algorithm = "genform",)</pre>	Bundled with patRoon. Reasonable default.
SIRIUS	<pre>generateFormulas(algorithm = "sirius",)</pre>	Requires MS/MS data.
DataAnalysis	<pre>generateFormulas(algorithm = "bruker",)</pre>	Requires FMF features (i.e. findFeatures(algorithm = "bruker",)). MS peak lists are not needed. Uses SmartFormula algorithms.

Calculation with GenForm is often a good default. It is fast and basic rules can be applied to filter out obvious non-existing formulae. A possible drawback of GenForm, however, is that may become slow when many candidates are calculated, for instance, due to a relative high feature m/z (e.g. >600) or loose elemental restrictions. More thorough calculation is performed with SIRIUS: this algorithm often yields fewer and often more plausible results. However, SIRIUS requires MS/MS data (hence features without will not have results) and formula prediction may not work well for compounds that structurally deviate from the training sets used by SIRIUS. Calculation with DataAnalysis is only possible when features are obtained with DataAnalysis as well. An advantage is that analysis files do not have to be converted and no MS peak generation is necessary, however, compared to other algorithms calculation is often relative slow.

There are two methods for formula assignment:

1. Formulae are first calculated for each individual feature within a feature group. These results are

- then pooled, outliers are removed and remaining formulae are assigned to the feature group (i.e. calculateFeatures = TRUE).
- 2. Formulae are directly calculated for each feature group by using group averaged peak lists (see previous section) (i.e. calculateFeatures = FALSE).

The first method is more thorough and the possibility to remove outliers may sometimes result in better formula assignment. However, the second method is much faster and generally recommended for large number of analyses.

By default, formulae are either calculated by only MS/MS data (SIRIUS) or with both MS and MS/MS data (GenForm/Bruker). The latter also allows formula calculation when no MS/MS data is present. Furthermore, with Bruker algorithms, data from both MS and MS/MS formula data can be combined to allow inclusion of candidates that would otherwise be excluded by e.g. poor MS/MS data. However, a disadvantage is that formulae needs to be calculated twice. The MSMode argument (listed below) can be used to customize this behaviour.

An overview of common parameters that are typically set to customize formula calculation is listed below.

Argument	Algorithm(s)	Remarks
relMzDev	genform,	The maximum relative m/z deviation for a formula to be
	sirius	considered (in ppm).
elements	genform,	Which elements to consider. By default "CHNOP". Try to limit
	sirius	possible elements as much as possible.
calculateFeature	es genform,	Whether formulae should be calculated first for all features (see
	sirius	discussion above) (always TRUE with DataAnalysis).
featThreshold	All	Minimum relative amount $(0-1)$ amongst all features within a
		feature group that a formula candidate should be present (e.g. 1
		means that a candidate is only considered if it was assigned to all
		features).
adduct	genform,	The adduct to consider for calculation (e.g. $"[M+H]+"$, $"[M-H]-"$,
	sirius	more details in the adduct section).
MSMode	genform,	Whether formulae should be generated only from MS data ("ms"),
	bruker	MS/MS data ("msms") or both ("both"). The latter is default, see
		discussion above.
profile	sirius	Instrument profile, e.g. "qtof", "orbitrap", "fticr".

Some typical examples:

```
formulasGF <- generateFormulas(fGroups, "genform", mslists) # GenForm, default settings
formulasGF2 <- generateFormulas(fGroups, "genform", mslists, calculateFeatures = FALSE) #

\( \to direct feature group assignment (faster) \)
formulasSIR <- generateFormulas(fGroups, "sirius", mslists, elements = "CHNOPSC1Br") #

\( \to SIRIUS, common elements for pollutant \)
formulasSIR2 <- generateFormulas(fGroups, "sirius", adduct = "[M-H]-") # SIRIUS, negative
\( \to ionization \)
formulasBr <- generateFormulas(fGroups, "bruker", MSMode = "MSMS") # Only consider MSMS
\( \to data (SmartFormula3D) \)
```

4.3.3 Compounds

An important step in a typical non-target workflow is structural identification for features of interest. Afterall, this information may finally reveal what a feature is. The first step is to find all possible structures in a

database that may be assigned to the feature (based on e.g. monoisotopic mass or formula). These candidates are then scored to rank likely candidates, for instance, on correspondence with in-silico or library MS/MS spectra and environmental relevance.

Structure assignment in patRoon is performed automatically for all feature groups with the generateCompounds() function. Currently, this function supports two algorithms:

Algorithm	Usage	Remarks
MetFrag	<pre>generateCompounds(algorithm = "metfrag",)</pre>	Supports many databases (including custom) and scorings for candidate ranking.
SIRIUS with CSI:FingerID	<pre>generateCompounds(algorithm = "sirius",)</pre>	Incorporates prior comprohensive formula calculations.

Compound annotation is often a relative time and resource intensive procedure. For this reason, features are not annotated individually, but instead a feature group as a whole is annotated, which generally saves significant amounts of computational requirements. Nevertheless, it is not uncommon that this is the most time consuming step in the workflow. For this reason, prioritization of features is highly important, even more so to avoid 'abusing' servers when an online database is used for compound retrieval.

Selecting the right database is important for proper candidate assignment. Afterall, if the 'right' chemical compound is not present in the used database, it is impossible to assign the correct structure. Luckily, however, several large databases such as PubChem and ChemSpider are openly available which contain tens of millions of compounds. On the other hand, these databases may also lead to many unlikely candidates and therefore more specialized (or custom databases) may be preferred. Which database will be used is dictated by the database argument to generateCompounds(), currently the following options exist:

Database	Algorithm(s)	Remarks
pubchem	"metfrag", "sirius"	PubChem is currently the largest compound database and is used by default.
chemspider	"metfrag"	ChemSpider is another large database. Requires security token from here (see next section).
comptox	"metfrag"	The EPA CompTox contains many compounds and scorings relevant to environmental studies. Needs manual download (see next section).
pubchemlite	"metfrag"	A specialized subset of the PubChem database. Needs manual download (see next section).
for-ident	"metfrag"	The FOR-IDENT (STOFF-IDENT) database for water related substances.
kegg	"metfrag", "sirius"	The KEGG database for biological compounds
hmdb	"metfrag", "sirius"	The HMDB contains many human metabolites.
bio	"sirius"	Selects all supports biological databases.

Database	Algorithm(s)	Remarks
csv, psv, sdf	"metfrag"	Custom database (see next section). CSV example.

4.3.3.1 Configuring MetFrag databases and scoring

Some extra configuration may be necessary when using certain databases with MetFrag. In order to use the ChemSpider database a security token should be requested and set with the chemSpiderToken argument to generateCompounds(). The CompTox and PubChemLite databases need to be manually downloaded from CompTox (or variations with smoking or wastewater metadata) and PubChemLite. The file location of this and other local databases (csv, psv, sdf) needs to be manually configured, see the examples below and/or ?generateCompounds for more information on how to do this.

```
# PubChem: the default
compsMF <- generateCompounds(fGroups, mslists, "metfrag", adduct = "[M+H]+")</pre>
# ChemSpider: needs security token
compsMF2 <- generateCompounds(fGroups, mslists, "metfrag", database = "chemspider",</pre>
                                chemSpiderToken = "MY TOKEN HERE", adduct = "[M+H]+")
# CompTox: set global option to database path
options(patRoon.path.MetFragCompTox = "~/CompTox_17March2019_SelectMetaData.csv")
compsMF3 <- generateCompounds(fGroups, mslists, "metfrag", database = "comptox", adduct =</pre>
\rightarrow "[M+H]+")
# CompTox: set database location without global option
compsMF4 <- generateCompounds(fGroups, mslists, "metfrag", database = "comptox", adduct =</pre>
\hookrightarrow "[M+H]+",
                                extraOpts = list(LocalDatabasePath =

¬ "¬/CompTox_17March2019_SelectMetaData.csv"))

# Same, but for custom database
compsMF5 <- generateCompounds(fGroups, mslists, "metfrag", database = "csv", adduct =</pre>
\hookrightarrow "[M+H]+",
                                extraOpts = list(LocalDatabasePath = "~/mydb.csv"))
```

An example of a custom .csv database can be found here.

With MetFrag compound databases are not only used to retrieve candidate structures but are also used to obtain metadata for further ranking. Each database has its own scorings, a table with currently supported scorings can be obtained with the compoundScorings() function (some columns omitted):

name	metfrag	database	default
score	Score		TRUE
fragScore	FragmenterScore		TRUE
metFusionScore	OfflineMetFusionScore		TRUE
individual MoNAS core	OfflineIndividualMoNAScore		FALSE
numberPatents	PubChemNumberPatents	pubchem	TRUE
numberPatents	Patent_Count	pubchemlite	TRUE
pubMedReferences	PubChemNumberPubMedReferences	pubchem	TRUE
pubMedReferences	ChemSpiderNumberPubMedReferences	chemspider	TRUE
pubMedReferences	NUMBER_OF_PUBMED_ARTICLES	comptox	TRUE
${\tt pubMedReferences}$	PubMed_Count	pubchemlite	TRUE
extReferenceCount	${\bf ChemSpiderNumber External References}$	chemspider	TRUE

(continued)

name	metfrag	database	default
dataSourceCount referenceCount RSCCount	ChemSpiderDataSourceCount ChemSpiderReferenceCount ChemSpiderRSCCount	chemspider chemspider chemspider	TRUE TRUE TRUE
formulaScore		onemopiaei	FALSE
smartsInclusionScore	Smarts Substructure Inclusion Score		FALSE
smartsExclusionScore	SmartsSubstructureExclusionScore		FALSE
suspectListScore retentionTimeScore	SuspectListScore RetentionTimeScore		FALSE FALSE
CPDATCount	CPDAT_COUNT	comptox	TRUE
TOXCASTActive	TOXCAST_PERCENT_ACTIVE	comptox	TRUE
dataSources	DATA_SOURCES	comptox	TRUE
pubChemDataSources	PUBCHEM_DATA_SOURCES	comptox	TRUE
EXPOCASTPredExpo ECOTOX	EXPOCAST_MEDIAN_EXPOSURE_PREDICTION_MG/KG-BW/DAY ECOTOX	comptox	TRUE
		comptox	TRUE
NORMANSUSDAT	NORMANSUSDAT	comptox	TRUE
MASSBANKEU TOX21SL	MASSBANKEU TOX21SL	comptox comptox	TRUE TRUE
TOXCAST	TOXCAST	comptox	TRUE
KEMIMARKET	KEMIMARKET	comptox	TRUE
MZCLOUD	MZCLOUD	comptox	TRUE
pubMedNeuro	PubMedNeuro	comptox	TRUE
CIGARETTES	CIGARETTES	comptox	TRUE
INDOORCT16	INDOORCT16 SRM2585DUST	comptox	TRUE TRUE
SRM2585DUST		comptox	
SLTCHEMDB THSMOKE	SLTCHEMDB THSMOKE	comptox	TRUE TRUE
ITNANTIBIOTIC	ITNANTIBIOTIC	comptox comptox	TRUE
STOFFIDENT	STOFFIDENT	comptox	TRUE
KEMIMARKET_EXPO	KEMIMARKET_EXPO	comptox	TRUE
KEMIMARKET_HAZ	KEMIMARKET_HAZ	comptox	TRUE
REACH2017	REACH2017	comptox	TRUE
KEMIWW_WDUIndex	KEMIWW_WDUIndex	comptox	TRUE
KEMIWW_StpSE KEMIWW_SEHitsOverDL	KEMIWW_StpSE KEMIWW_SEHitsOverDL	comptox $comptox$	TRUE TRUE
ZINC15PHARMA	ZINC15PHARMA	comptox	TRUE
PFASMASTER	PFASMASTER	comptox	TRUE
peakFingerprintScore	Automated Peak Finger print Annotation Score		FALSE
loss Finger print Score	Automated Loss Finger print Annotation Score		FALSE
agroChemInfo	AgroChemInfo	pubchemlite	FALSE
bioPathway	BioPathway	pubchemlite	FALSE
drugMedicInfo foodRelated	DrugMedicInfo FoodRelated	pubchemlite	FALSE
pharmacoInfo	PharmacoInfo	pubchemlite pubchemlite	FALSE FALSE
safetyInfo	SafetyInfo	pubchemlite	FALSE
toxicityInfo	ToxicityInfo	pubchemlite	FALSE
knownUse	KnownUse	pubchemlite	FALSE
annoTypeCount	FPSum	pubchemlite	TRUE
annoTypeCount	AnnoTypeCount	pubchemlite	TRUE

The first two columns contain the generic and original MetFrag naming schemes for each scoring type. While both naming schemes can be used, the generic is often shorter and harmonized with other algorithms (e.g. SIRIUS). The *database* column specifies for which databases a particular scoring is available (empty if not database specific). Most scorings are selected by default (as specified by the *default* column), however, this behaviour can be customized by using the scoreTypes argument:

By default ranking is performed with equal weight (i.e. 1) for all scorings. This can be changed by the scoreWeights argument, which should be a vector containing the weights for all scorings following the order of scoreTypes, for instance:

Sometimes thousands or more structural candidates are found when annotating a feature group. In this situation processing all these candidates will too involving (especially when external databases are used). To avoid this a default cut-off is set: when the number of candidates exceed a certain amount the search will be aborted and no results will be reported for that feature group. The maximum number of candidates can be set with the maxCandidatesToStop argument. The default value is relative conservative, especially for local databases it may be useful to increase this number.

4.3.3.2 Timeout and error handling

The use of online databases has the drawback that an error may occur, for instance, as a result of a connection error. Furthermore, MetFrag typically returns an error when too many candidates are found (as set by the maxCandidatesToStop argument). By default processing is restarted if an error has occurred (configured by the errorRetries argument). Similarly, the timeoutRetries and timeout arguments can be used to avoid being 'stuck' on obtaining results, for instance, due to an unstable internet connection.

If no compounds could be assigned due to an error a warning will be issued. In this case it is best to see what went wrong by manually checking the log files, which by default are stored in the log/metfrag folder.

4.3.3.3 Formula scoring

Ranking of candidate structures may further be improved by incorporating formula information by using the addFormulaScoring() function:

```
comps <- addFormulaScoring(coms, formulas, updateScore = TRUE)</pre>
```

Here, corresponding formula and explained fragments will be used to calculate a *formulaScore* for each candidate. Note that SIRIUS candidates are already based on calculated formulae, hence, running this function on SIRIUS results is less sensable unless scoring from another formula calculation algorithm is desired.

4.3.3.4 Further options and parameters

There are *many* more options and parameters that affect compound annotation. For a full overview please have a look at the reference manual (e.g. by running ?generateCompounds).

4.4 Componentization

In patRoon componentization refers to grouping related feature groups together in components. Currently there are three different methodologies to generate components:

- Similarity on chromatographic elution profiles: feature groups with similar chromatographic behaviour which are assuming to be the same chemical compound (e.g. adducts or isotopologues).
- Homologous series: features with increasing m/z and retention time.
- Intensity profiles: features that follow a similar intensity profile in the analyses.

The following algorithms are currently supported:

Algorithm	Usage	Remarks
CAMERA	<pre>generateComponents(algorithm = "camera",)</pre>	Clusters feature groups with similar chromatographic elution profiles and annotate by known chemical rules (adducts, isotopologues, in-source fragments).
RAMClustR	<pre>generateComponents(algorithm = "ramclustr",)</pre>	As above.
nontarget	<pre>generateComponents(algorithm = "nontarget",)</pre>	Uses the nontarget R package to perform unsupervised homologous series detection.
Intensity clustering	<pre>generateComponents(algorithm = "intclust",)</pre>	Groups features with similar intensity profiles across analyses by hierarchical clustering.

NOTE Componentization is a complex process and currently still in a relative young development phase. As such, its functionality and interface are planned to be further improved and results obtained now should always be manually checked, for instance, by using the reporting functions.

4.4.1 Features with similar chromatographic behaviour

Isotopes, adducts and in-source fragments typically result in detection of multiple mass peaks by the mass spectrometer for a single chemical compound. While some feature finding algorithms already try to collapse (some of) these in to a single feature, this process is often incomplete (if performed at all) and it is not uncommon that multiple features will describe the same compound. To overcome this complexity the algorithms from CAMERA and RAMClustR can be used to group features that undergo highly similar chromatographic behaviour but have different m/z values. Basic chemical rules are then applied to the resulting components to annotate adducts, in-source fragments and isotopologues, which may be highly useful for general identification purposes.

Some common function arguments to generateComponents() are listed below. Note that careful tuning for some of these is required to obtain useful results. In our experience the current default settings may significantly 'over-cluster' features that (clearly visibly) do not belong to each other. For this reason, you are advised to optimize and verify the various parameters supported by both algorithms. For a complete listing all arguments see the reference manual (e.g. ?generateComponents).

Argument	Algorithm	Remarks	
ionization	"camera", "ramclustr"	Ionization mode: "positive" or "negative"	
minSize	"camera", "ramclustr"	Minimum component size. Smaller components will be removed.	
relMinReplicates	"camera", "ramclustr"	See below.	
st, sr, maxt, hmax	"ramclustr"	Common parameters to influence clustering of RAMClustR. See ?ramclustR for details.	
extraOpts	"camera"	A list with extra argument passed to the annotate() function from CAMERA.	
extraOptsRC, extraOptsFM	"ramclustr"	A list with extra arguments passed to the ramclustR() and do.findmain() functions from RAMClustR.	

Note that both algorithms were primarily designed for datasets where features are generally present in the majority of the analyses (as is relatively common in metabolomics). For environmental analyses, however, this is often not the case. As a result, it may happen that not all features from the feature groups within a component share their presence in the same analyses. In reality, this situation would be fairly unusual, and it is likely that such features actually do not belong to the same component. An extra filter option was added to improve such scenarios: after componentization all features are checked to have a minimal presence across all analyses within the component. This is configured by the relMinReplicates argument of generateComponents(), which specifies the relative number of replicate groups in which a feature should be present. For instance, when this value is 0.5 (the default), a feature must be present in at least half of all replicate groups present in the component.

Some example usage is shown below.

4.4.2 Homologues series

Homologues series can be automatically detected by interfacing with the nontarget R package. Components are made from feature groups that show increasing m/z and retention time values. Series are first detected within each replicate group. Afterwards, series from all replicates are linked in case (partial) overlap occurs and this overlap consists of the *same* feature groups (see figure below). Linked series are then finally merged if this will not cause any conflicts with other series: such a conflict typically occurs when two series are not only linked to each other.

The series that are linked can be interactively explored with the plotGraph() function (discussed here).

Common function arguments to generateComponents() are listed below.




Figure 1: **Linking of homologues series** top: partial overlap and will be linked; bottom: no linkage due to different feature in overlapping series.

Argument	Remarks
ionization	Ionization mode: "positive" or "negative"
rtRange, mzRange	Retention and m/z increment range. Retention times can be negative to allow series with increasing m/z values and decreasing retention times.
elements	Vector with elements to consider.
rtDev, absMzDev extraOpts	Maximum retention time and m/z deviation. List with extra arguments passed to the homol.search() function.

4.4.3 Intensity clustering

Whereas previous componentization methods utilized chemical properties to relate features, intensity clustering uses a statistical approach. This methodology is especially useful to find features that show similar trends in the analysed samples. Intensities for all features are first normalized and thereafter hierarchical clustering is performed to find features that show similar intensity profiles across analyses. Components are then formed from automatically assigned clusters (using the dynamicTreeCut R package, however, assignment can be changed afterwards).

Some common arguments to generateComponents() are listed below. It is recommended to test various settings (especially for method) to optimize the clustering results.

Argument	Default	Remarks
method	"complete"	Clustering method. See ?hclust
metric	"euclidean"	Metric used to calculate the distance matrix. See
		?daisy.
normFunc	max	Function used to normalize data. Feature
		intensities within a feature group are divided by
		the result of when this function is called with
		their intensity values.
average	TRUE	Whether intensities of replicates should first be averaged.
<pre>maxTreeHeight, deepSplit,</pre>	1, TRUE, 1	Used for dynamic cluster assignment. See
minModuleSize	26	?cutreeDynamicTree.

The resulting components are stored in an object from the componentsIntClust S4 class. Several methods

```
# automatic re-assignment of clusters (adjusted max tree height)
componInt <- treeCutDynamic(componInt, maxTreeHeight = 0.7)</pre>
```

5 Processing workflow data

The previous chapter mainly discussed how to create workflow data. This chapter will discuss how to use the data.

5.1 Inspecting results

Several generic functions exist that can be used to inspect data that is stored in a particular object (e.g. features, compounds etc):

Generic	Classes	Remarks
length()	All	Returns the length of the object (e.g. number of features, compounds etc)
<pre>algorithm()</pre>	All	Returns the name of the algorithm used to generate the object.
<pre>groupNames()</pre>	All	Returns all the unique identitifiers (or names) of the feature groups for which this object contains results.
names()	featureGroups, components	Returns names of the feature groups (similar to groupNames()) or components
show()	All	Prints general information.
"[[" / "\$" operators	All	Extract general information, see below.
<pre>as.data.table() / as.data.frame()</pre>	All	Convert data to a data.table or data.frame, see below.
<pre>analysisInfo(), analyses(),</pre>	features,	Returns the analysis information,
replicateGroups()	featureGroups	analyses or replicate groups for which this object contains data.
<pre>groupInfo()</pre>	featureGroups	Returns feature group information (m/z) and retention time values).
componentInfo()	components	Returns information for all components.
annotatedPeakList()	formulas, compounds	Returns a table with annotated mass peaks (see below).

The common R extraction operators "[[", "\$" can be used to obtain data for a particular feature groups, analysis etc:

```
# Feature table (only first columns for readability)
fList[["standard-1"]][, 1:6]
```

```
#>
                                  ret
                                                     area
                                                             retmin
                                                                      retmax
                                            \mathtt{mz}
    #>
#>
    2: f 16789448564530708157 20.00598 79.02098 125354.100 13.01202 29.99700
#>
    3: f_14815292443346274735
                              8.01600 79.02101 188045.900
                                                            3.03000 12.01302
#>
    4: f 13436943615489213598 112.34220 79.02103 71168.984 106.55400 147.51600
    5: f 16453454605252683795 111.64380 84.95950 54875.129 105.15180 599.87400
#>
#>
#> 433:
                                                            9.01602 13.01202
       f 669249464028069068 11.01402 295.22387 9514.476
#> 434: f_3142221789941102827 13.01202 296.92038 37753.414 10.01502 15.01098
#> 435: f_3823222239190238960 12.01302 297.16751 17366.125
                                                            9.01602 15.01098
#> 436: f_4513605605078880482 11.01402 297.20368 13995.990
                                                            9.01602 14.01102
#> 437: f_5095878889805734791 12.01302 299.12581 13630.378
                                                            9.01602 15.01098
# Feature group intensities
fGroups$M120_R328_81
#> [1] 55936 61668 59624
fGroups[[1, "M120_R328_81"]] # only first analysis
#> [1] 55936
# obtains list MS/MS peak list (feature group averaged datas)
mslists[["M120 R328 81"]]$MSMS
#>
            mz intensity precursor
#> 1: 92.04936 9426.556
                            FALSE
#> 2: 118.08606 2167.444
                            FALSE
#> 3: 120.05549 43949.222
                             TRUE
#> 4: 121.05850 3397.556
                            FALSE
# get all formula candidates for a feature group
formulas[["M120_R328_81"]][, 1:7]
#>
       analysis neutral_formula formula_mz
                                                    error dbe
                                                              isoScore
#> 1: standard-1
                        C6H5N3 C6H6N3
                                        120.0556 1.266667
                                                           6 0.9905167
#> 2: standard-1
                        C6H5N3 C6H6N3
                                        120.0556 1.266667
                                                           6 0.9905167
# get all compound candidates for a feature group
compounds[["M120_R328_81"]][, 1:4]
#>
       explainedPeaks
                        score neutralMass
                                                            SMILES
                                                  C1=CC2=NNN=C2C=C1
#>
                                119.0483
    1:
                   1 3.322371
#>
    2:
                   1 1.644565
                                119.0483
                                                C1=CC=C2C(=C1)N2N=N
                              119.0483 C1=CC(=N)C(=[N+]=[N-])C=C1
#>
    3:
                   1 1.522632
#>
                   1 1.490700
                                119.0483
                                           C1=CC=C2C(=C1)[N-]N[N-]2
    4:
                   1 1.488898 119.0483
#>
    5:
                                                C1=CC=C(C=C1)N2N=N2
#>
   ---
                  1 1.021884
                                119.0483
                                                 C1C=NC2=C1N=NC=C2
#>
   96:
```

```
97:
                      1 1.018037
                                     119.0483
                                                  C1=CC2=CN=N [C@@H] 2N=C1
    98:
                      1 1.017414
                                     119.0483
                                                      C1=C(NC=N1)/C=C/C#N
#> 99:
                      1 1.016333
                                     119.0483
                                                        C=CN1C=C(N=N1)C#C
#> 100:
                      1 1.016333
                                     119.0483
                                                        C=CN1C(=CN=N1)C#C
```

```
# get a table with information of a component
components[["CMP7"]][, 1:6]
```

```
#> group rt mz isotopes adnr adduct_rule
#> 1: M254_R321_484 320.2038 254.0596 1 1 1
#> 2: M276_R321_550 320.6412 276.0415 1 6
```

A more sophisticated way to obtain data from a workflow object is to use as.data.table() or as.data.frame(). These functions will convert all information within the object to a table (data.table or data.frame) and allow various options to add extra information. An advantage is that this common data format can be used with many other functions within R. The output is in a tidy format.

NOTE If you are not familiar with data.table and want to know more see data.table. Briefly, this is a more efficient and largely compatible alternative to the regular data.frame.

NOTE The as.data.frame() methods defined in patRoon simply convert the results from as.data.table(), hence, both functions are equal in their usage and are defined for the same object classes.

Some typical examples are shown below.

```
# obtain table with all features (only first columns for readability)
as.data.table(fList)[, 1:6]
```

```
#>
          analysis
                                        ID
                                                 ret
                                                                    area
                                                                             retmin
                                                            mz
#>
      1: solvent-1 f_17505256019309826466
                                            19.10298
                                                      78.99678
                                                                73929.27
                                                                          13.108980
#>
      2: solvent-1 f_17715148067309366913
                                            14.10798
                                                      84.95948 941557.00
                                                                           3.922998
#>
      3: solvent-1 f_8175148717265548399 112.97220
                                                                47558.80 105.301800
                                                      84.95949
      4: solvent-1 f_2790966946569510008 13.10898
#>
                                                      88.95255
                                                                34552.67
                                                                           7.114020
#>
         solvent-1 f_5836345614812684023
                                             2.52900
                                                      88.96823
                                                                14710.10
                                                                           1.732002
#> 2440: standard-3 f_7330736421527836594 12.14898 294.93888 350965.20
                                                                           9.151020
#> 2441: standard-3 f_10473724099959425317 11.14998 295.18863
                                                                10705.59
                                                                           9.151020
#> 2442: standard-3 f_17605009311874042311 13.14798 296.92039
                                                                42195.86
                                                                          10.150980
#> 2443: standard-3 f 10789616074209386085 11.14998 297.16737
                                                                15177.58
                                                                           9.151020
#> 2444: standard-3 f 4728893104672995846 11.14998 297.20377
                                                               16678.13
                                                                           9.151020
```

Returns group info and intensity values for each feature group
as.data.table(fGroups, average = TRUE) # average intensities for replicates

```
#> group ret mz standard
#> 1: M120_R328_81 327.9955 120.0555 59076.00
#> 2: M134_R399_118 398.6365 134.0712 78472.00
#> 3: M135_R261_123 260.5933 135.1014 16325.33
#> 4: M137_R303_127 302.7823 137.0708 43810.67
```

```
#> 5: M146 R185 153 185.2398 146.0599 23716.00
#> ---
#> 23: M237 R510 445 510.3882 237.1026 60214.67
#> 24: M242_R461_459 460.6473 242.2848 78576.00
#> 25: M254 R321 484 320.7174 254.0598 33440.00
#> 26: M276 R321 550 320.9508 276.0416 10876.00
#> 27: M279 R284 557 283.9626 279.0915 42941.33
# Returns all peak lists for each feature group
as.data.table(mslists)
#>
                group type
                                 mz intensity precursor
#>
     1: M120_R328_81
                      MS 84.95945 2526.560
                                                  FALSE
#>
     2: M120_R328_81
                        MS 87.08025 1148.153
                                                  FALSE
#>
                      MS 88.96826 3891.785
     3: M120_R328_81
                                                  FALSE
#>
     4: M120 R328 81
                      MS 93.00016 1235.728
                                                  FALSE
     5: M120_R328_81
#>
                      MS 97.96859 1412.052
                                                  FALSE
#> 1306: M279_R284_557 MSMS 156.01134 17220.356
                                                  FALSE
#> 1307: M279 R284 557 MSMS 186.03329 19167.422
                                                  FALSE
#> 1308: M279 R284 557 MSMS 204.04394 7115.156
                                                  FALSE
#> 1309: M279 R284 557 MSMS 213.11359 3676.444
                                                  FALSE
#> 1310: M279_R284_557 MSMS 279.09140 2027.244
                                                  TRUE
# Returns all formula candidates for each feature group with scoring
# information, neutral loss etc
as.data.table(formulas)[, 1:6]
#>
               group analysis neutral_formula
                                                   formula formula_mz
#>
    1: M120_R328_81 standard-1
                                                   C6H6N3 120.0556 1.2666667
                                   C6H5N3
#>
    2: M120 R328 81 standard-1
                                        C6H5N3
                                                    C6H6N3 120.0556 1.2666667
    3: M134_R399_118 standard-1
                                                    C7H8N3 134.0713 0.6666667
#>
                                        C7H7N3
   4: M134 R399 118 standard-1
                                        C7H7N3
                                                    C7H8N3 134.0713 0.6666667
    5: M134_R399_118 standard-1
                                                    C7H8N3 134.0713 0.6666667
#>
                                        C7H7N3
#> ---
#> 248: M279 R284 557 standard-1
                                C11H20O4P2 C11H21O4P2 279.0910 -2.0000000
#> 249: M279 R284 557 standard-1
                                  C12H2OClO3P C12H21ClO3P 279.0911 -1.3333333
#> 250: M279 R284 557 standard-2
                                    C12H22OS3 C12H23OS3 279.0906 -2.8500000
#> 251: M279 R284 557 standard-1
                                   C4H10N1005 C4H11N1005
                                                           279.0908 -2.4000000
                                  C13H2OC12O2 C13H21C12O2
                                                           279.0913 -0.7000000
#> 252: M279_R284_557 standard-1
# Returns all compound candidates for each feature group with scoring and other metadata
as.data.table(compounds)[, 1:4]
#>
                group explainedPeaks
                                      score neutralMass
#>
     1: M120 R328 81
                                  1 3.322371 119.0483
     2: M120_R328_81
#>
                                  1 1.644565
                                                119.0483
#>
     3: M120_R328_81
                                  1 1.522632
                                                119.0483
#>
     4: M120_R328_81
                                  1 1.490700
                                               119.0483
     5: M120 R328 81
                                  1 1.488898
                                                119.0483
```

#>

```
#> 2096: M254 R321 484
                                    2 1.480706
                                                   253.0521
#> 2097: M254 R321 484
                                                  253.0521
                                    5 1.478817
                                    5 1.478817
#> 2098: M254 R321 484
                                                   253.0521
#> 2099: M254_R321_484
                                    4 1.468703
                                                   253.0521
#> 2100: M254 R321 484
                                    4 1.468703
                                                   253.0521
# Returns table with all components (including feature group info, annotations etc)
as.data.table(components)[, 1:6]
```

```
#>
      name cmp_ret cmp_retsd neutral_mass
                                             analysis size
  1: CMP1 560.3138 0.3277624
#>
                                      <NA> standard-2
   2: CMP1 560.3138 0.3277624
                                       <NA> standard-2
                                                          2
#> 3: CMP2 328.4513 0.6446699
                                      <NA> standard-3
#> 4: CMP2 328.4513 0.6446699
                                      <NA> standard-3
#> 5: CMP3 424.2700 0.0000000
                                 182.07092 standard-1
#> 6: CMP3 424.2700 0.0000000
                                 182.07092 standard-1
                                                          2
#> 7: CMP4 593.5129 0.3296681
                                      <NA> standard-1
#> 8: CMP4 593.5129 0.3296681
                                      <NA> standard-1
#> 9: CMP5 301.6178 1.0152257
                                      <NA> standard-3
#> 10: CMP5 301.6178 1.0152257
                                      <NA> standard-3
                                                         3
#> 11: CMP5 301.6178 1.0152257
                                       <NA> standard-3
#> 12: CMP6 215.1077 0.4942076
                                 107.0977 standard-3
#> 13: CMP6 215.1077 0.4942076
                                  107.0977 standard-3
#> 14: CMP7 320.8341 0.1649877
                                 253.05231 standard-2
                                                          2
#> 15: CMP7 320.8341 0.1649877
                                 253.05231 standard-2
```

Finally, the annotatedPeakList() function is useful to inspect annotation results for a formula or compound candidate:

```
#> mz intensity precursor formula neutral_loss

#> 1: 92.04936 9426.556 FALSE C6H6N N2

#> 2: 118.08606 2167.444 FALSE <NA> <NA>

#> 3: 120.05549 43949.222 TRUE C6H6N3

#> 4: 121.05850 3397.556 FALSE <NA> <NA>
```

```
#>
             mz intensity precursor formula neutral_loss score
#> 1: 92.04936 9426.556
                              FALSE
                                      C6H6N
#> 2: 118.08606 2167.444
                              FALSE
                                       <NA>
                                                    <NA>
#> 3: 120.05549 43949.222
                               TRUE
                                       <NA>
                                                    <NA>
#> 4: 121.05850 3397.556
                              FALSE
                                       <NA>
                                                    <NA>
```

More advanced examples for these functions are shown below.

```
# Feature table, can also be accessed by numeric index
fList[[1]]
mslists[["standard-1", "M120_R328_81"]] # feature data (instead of feature group
\rightarrow averaged)
formulas[[1, "M120_R328_81"]] # feature data (if available, i.e. calculateFeatures=TRUE)
components[["CMP1", 1]] # only for first feature group in component
as.data.frame(fList) # classic data.frame format, works for all objects
as.data.table(fGroups) # return non-averaged intensities (default)
as.data.table(fGroups, features = TRUE) # include feature information
as.data.table(mslists, averaged = FALSE) # peak lists each feature
as.data.table(mslists, fGroups = fGroups) # add feature group information
as.data.table(formulas, countElements = c("C", "H")) # include C/H counts (e.q. for van
# report only top precursor and fragment formula. This yields in one row per feature
→ group.
as.data.table(formulas, maxFormulas = 1, maxFragFormulas = 1)
# add various information for organic matter characterization (common elemental
# counts/ratios, classifications etc)
as.data.table(formulas, OM = TRUE)
as.data.table(compounds, fGroups = fGroups) # add feature group information
as.data.table(compounds, fragments = TRUE) # include information of all annotated
\hookrightarrow fragments
annotatedPeakList(formulas, precursor = "C6H6N3", groupName = "M120_R328_81",
                  MSPeakLists = mslists, onlyAnnotated = TRUE) # only include annotated
annotatedPeakList(compounds, index = 1, groupName = "M120_R328_81",
                  MSPeakLists = mslists, formulas = formulas) # include formula
                  \rightarrow annotations
```

5.2 Filtering

During a non-target workflow it is not uncommon that some kind of data-cleanup is necessary. Datasets are often highly complex, which makes separating data of interest from the rest highly important. Furthermore, general cleanup typically improves the quality of the dataset, for instance by removing low scoring annotation results or features that are unlikely to be 'correct' (e.g. noise or present in blanks). For this reason patRoon supports many different filters that easily clean data produced during the workflow in a highly customizable way.

All major workflow objects (e.g. featureGroups, compounds, components etc.) support filtering operations by the filter() generic. This function takes the object to be filtered as first argument and any remaining arguments describe the desired filter options. The filter() generic function then returns the modified object back. Some examples are shown below.

```
# remove low intensity (<500) features
features <- filter(features, absMinIntensity = 500)

# remove features with intensities lower than 5 times the blank
fGroups <- filter(fGroups, blankThreshold = 5)</pre>
```

```
# only retain compounds with >1 explained MS/MS peaks
compounds <- filter(compounds, minExplainedPeaks = 1)</pre>
```

The following sections will provide a more detailed overview of available data filters.

5.2.1 Features

There are many filters available for feature data:

Filter	Classes	Remarks
absMinIntensity,	features,	Minimum intensity
${\tt relMinIntensity}$	featureGroups	
${\tt preAbsMinIntensity},$	${\tt featureGroups}$	Minimum intensity prior to other filtering
${\tt preRelMinIntensity}$		(see below)
${\tt retentionRange}, {\tt mzRange}, $	features,	Filter by feature properties
${\tt mzDefectRange},$	${ t feature Groups}$	
${\tt chromWidthRange}$		
${\tt absMinAnalyses},$	featureGroups	Minimum feature abundance in all analyses
${\tt relMinAnalyses}$		
${\tt absMinReplicates},$	${ t feature Groups}$	Minimum feature abundance in different
${\tt relMinReplicates}$		replicates
$\verb"absMinFeatures",$	${ t feature Groups}$	Only keep analyses with at least this
relMinFeatures		amount of features
${\tt absMinReplicateAbundance},$	featureGroups	Minimum feature abundance in a replicate
${\tt relMinReplicateAbundance}$		group
${\tt maxReplicateIntRSD}$	featureGroups	Maximum relative standard deviation of
		feature intensities in a replicate group.
blankThreshold	${ t feature Groups}$	Minimum intensity factor above blank
		intensity
rGroups	${\tt featureGroups}$	Only keep (features of) these replicate
		groups

Application of filters to feature data is important for (environmental) non-target analysis. Especially blank and replicate filters (i.e. blankThreshold and absMinReplicateAbundance/relMinReplicateAbundance) are important filters and are highly recommended to always apply for cleaning up your dataset.

All filters are available for feature group data, whereas only a subset is available for feature objects. The main reason is that other filters need grouping of features between analyses. Regardless, in patRoon filtering feature data is less important, and typically only needed when the number of features are extremely large and direct grouping is undesired.

From the table above you can notice that many filters concern both absolute and relative data (i.e. as prefixed with abs and rel). When a relative filter is used the value is scaled between θ and θ . For instance:

```
# remove features not present in at least half of the analyses within a replicate group
fGroups <- filter(fGroups, relMinReplicateAbundance = 0.5)</pre>
```

An advantage of relative filters is that you will not have to worry about the data size involved. For instance, in the above example the filter always takes half of the number of analyses within a replicate group, even when replicate groups have different number of analyses.

Note that multiple filters can be specified at once. Especially for feature group data the order of filtering may impact the final results, this is explained further in the reference manual (i.e. ?`feature-filtering`). Some examples are shown below.

```
# filter features prior to grouping: remove any features eluting before first 2 minutes
fList <- filter(fList, retentionRange = c(120, Inf))</pre>
# common filters for feature groups
fGroups <- filter(fGroups,
                  absMinIntensity = 500, # remove features <500 intensity
                  relMinReplicateAbundance = 1, # features should be in all analysis of
                  → replicate groups
                  maxReplicateIntRSD = 0.75, # remove features with intensity RSD in
                  → replicates >75%
                  blankThreshold = 5, # remove features <5x intensity of (average) blank
                  → intensity
                  removeBlanks = TRUE) # remove blank analyses from object afterwards
# filter by feature properties
fGroups <- filter(mzDefectRange = c(0.8, 0.9),
                  chromWidthRange = c(6, 120))
# remove features not present in at least 3 analyses
fGroups <- filter(fGroups, absMinAnalyses = 3)</pre>
# remove features not present in at least 20% of all replicate groups
fGroups <- filter(fGroups, relMinReplicates = 0.2)</pre>
# only keep data present in replicate groups "repl1" and "repl2"
# all other features and analyses will be removed
fGroups <- filter(fGroups, rGroups = c("repl1", "repl2"))
```

5.2.2 Annotation

There are various filters available for handling annotation data:

Filter	Classes	Remarks
absMSIntThr, absMSMSIntThr, relMSIntThr, relMSMSIntThr	MSPeakLists	Minimum intensity of mass peaks
topMSPeaks, topMSMSPeaks	MSPeakLists	Only keep most intense mass peaks
withMSMS	MSPeakLists	Only keep results with MS/MS data
minExplainedPeaks	formulas / compounds	Minimum number of annotated mass peaks
<pre>elements, fragElements, lossElements</pre>	formulas, compounds	Restrain elemental composition
topMost	formulas, compounds	Only keep highest ranked candidates
minScore, minFragScore, minFormulaScore	compounds	Minimum compound scorings
scoreLimits	formulas, compounds	Minimum/Maximum scorings
OM	formulas	Only keep candidates with likely elemental composition found in organic matter

Several intensity related filters are available to clean-up MS peak list data. For instance, the topMSPeaks/topMSMSPeaks filters provide a simple way to remove noisy data by only retaining a defined number of most intense mass peaks. Note that none of these filters will remove the mass peak of the feature from its MS peak list.

The filters applicable to formula and compound annotation generally concern minimal scoring or chemical properties. The former is useful to remove unlikely candidates, whereas the second is useful to focus on certain study specific chemical properties (e.g. known neutral losses).

Common examples are shown below.

```
# intensity filtering
mslists <- filter(mslists,
                  absMSIntThr = 500, # minimum MS mass peak intensity of 500
                  relMSMSIntThr = 0.1) # minimum MS/MS mass peak intensity of 10%
# only retain 10 most intens mass peaks
# (feature mass is always retained)
mslists <- filter(mslists, topMSPeaks = 10)</pre>
# only keep formulae with 1-10 sulphur or phosphorus elements
formulas <- filter(formulas, elements = c("S1-10", "P1-10"))</pre>
# only keep candidates with MS/MS fragments that contain 1-10 carbons and 0-2 oxygens
formulas <- filter(formulas, fragElements = "C1-1000-2")</pre>
# only keep candidates with CO2 neutral loss
formulas <- filter(formulas, lossElements = "CO2")</pre>
# only keep the 15 highest ranked candidates with at least 1 annotated MS/MS peak
compounds <- filter(compounds, minExplainedPeaks = 1, topMost = 15)</pre>
# minimum in-silico score
compounds <- filter(compounds, minFragScore = 10)</pre>
# candidate should be referenced in at least 1 patent
# (only works if database lists number of patents, e.g. PubChem)
compounds <- filter(compounds,</pre>
                    scoreLimits = list(numberPatents = c(1, Inf))
```

5.2.3 Components

Finally several filters are available for components:

Filter	Remarks
size adducts, isotopes rtIncrement, mzIncrement	Minimum component size Filter features by adduct/istopes annotation Filter homologs by retention/mz increment range

Note that these filters are only applied if the components contain the data the filter works on. For instance, filtering by adducts will *not* affect components obtained from homologous series.

As before, some typical examples are shown below.

NOTE As mentioned before, components are still in a relative young development phase and results should always be verified!

5.2.4 Negation

All filters support *negation*: if enabled all specified filters will be executed in an opposite manner. Negation may not be so commonly used, but allows greater flexibility which is sometimes needed for advanced filtering steps. Furthermore, it is also useful to specifically isolate the data that otherwise would have been removed. Some examples are shown below.

```
# keep all features/analyses _not_ present from replicate groups "repl1" and "repl2"
fGroups <- filter(fGroups, rGroups = c("repl1", "repl2"), negate = TRUE)

# only retain features with a mass defect outside 0.8-0.9
fGroups <- filter(mzDefectRange = c(0.8, 0.9), negate = TRUE)

# remove candidates with CO2 neutral loss
formulas <- filter(formulas, lossElements = "CO2", negate = TRUE)

# select 15 worst ranked candidates
compounds <- filter(compounds, topMost = 15, negate = TRUE)

# only keep components with <5 features
componInt <- filter(componInt, minSize = 5, negate = TRUE)</pre>
```

5.3 Subsetting

The previous section discussed the filter() generic function to perform various data cleaning operations. A more generic way to select data is by *subsetting*: here you can manually specify which parts of an object should be retained. Subsetting is supported for all workflow objects and is performed by the R subset operator ("["). This operator either subsets by one or two arguments, which are referred to as the i and j arguments.

Class	Argument i	Argument j	Remarks
features featureGroups MSPeakLists	analyses analyses analyses	feature groups feature groups	peak lists for feature groups will be re-averaged when subset on analyses (by default)
formulas compounds components	feature groups feature groups components	feature groups	

For objects that support two-dimensional subsetting (e.g. featureGroups, MSPeakLists), either the i or j argument is optional. Furthermore, unlike subsetting a data.frame, the position of i and j does not change when only one argument is specified:

```
df[1, 1] # subset data.frame by first row/column
df[1] # subset by first column
df[1, ] # subset by first row

fGroups[1, 1] # subset by first analysis/feature group
fGroups[, 1] # subset by first feature group (i.e. column)
fGroups[1] # subset by first analysis (i.e. row)
```

The subset operator allows three types of input:

- A logical vector: elements are selected if corresponding values are TRUE.
- A numeric vector: select elements by numeric index.
- A character vector: select elements by their name.

When a logical vector is used as input it will be re-cycled if necessary. For instance, the following will select by the first, third, fifth, etc. analysis.

```
fGroups[c(TRUE, FALSE)]
```

In order to select by a character you will need to know the names for each element. These can, for instance, be obtained by the groupNames() (feature group names), analyses() (analysis names) and names() (names for components or feature groups for featureGroups objects) generic functions.

Some more examples of common subsetting operations are shown below.

```
# select first three analyses
fList[1:3]

# select first three analyses and first 500 feature groups
fGroups[1:3, 1:500]

# select all feature groups from first component
fGroupsNT <- fGroups[, componNT[[1]]$group]

# only keep feature groups with formula annotation results
fGroupsForms <- fGroups[, groupNames(formulas)]

# only keep feature groups with either formula or compound annotation results</pre>
```

```
fGroupsAnn <- fGroups[, union(groupNames(formulas), groupNames(compounds))]

# select first 15 components
components[1:15]

# select by name
components[c("CMP1", "CMP5")]

# only retain feature groups in components for which compound annotations are
# available
components[, groupNames(compounds)]</pre>
```

5.3.1 Prioritization workflow

An important use case of subsetting is prioritization of data. For instance, after statistical analysis only certain feature groups are deemed relevant for the rest of the workflow. A common prioritization workflow is illustrated below:



During the first step the workflow object is converted to a suitable format, most often using the as.data.frame() function. The converted data is then used as input for the prioritization strategy. Finally, these results are then used to select the data of interest in the original object.

A very simplified example of such a process is shown below.

```
featTab <- as.data.frame(fGroups, average = TRUE)

# prioritization: sort by (averaged) intensity of the "sample" replicate group
# (from high to low) and then obtain the feature group identifiers of the top 5.
featTab <- featTab[order(featTab$standard, decreasing = TRUE), ]
groupsOfInterest <- featTab$group[1:5]

# subset the original data
fGroups <- fGroups[, groupsOfInterest]

# fGroups now only contains the feature groups for which intensity values in the
# "sample" replicate group were in the top 5</pre>
```

5.4 Unique and overlapping features

Often an analysis batch is composed of different sample groups, such as different treatments, influent/effluent etc. In such scenarios it may be highly interesting to evaluate uniqueness or overlap between these samples. Furthermore, extracting overlapping or unique features is a simple but effective prioritization strategy.

The overlap() and unique() functions can be used to extract overlapping and unique features between replicate groups, respectively. Both functions return a subset of the given featureGroups object. An overview of their arguments is given below.

Argument	Function(s)	Remarks
which relativeTo	<pre>unique(), overlap() unique()</pre>	The replicate groups to compare. Only return unique features compared to these replicate
outer	unique()	groups (NULL for all). Replicate groups in which are ignored. If TRUE then only return features which are <i>also</i> unique among the compared replicates groups.
exclusive	overlap	Only keep features that <i>only</i> overlap between the compared replicate groups.

Some examples:

In addition, several plotting functions are discussed in the next section that visualize overlap and uniqueness of features.

5.5 Visualization

5.5.1 Features and annatation data

Several generic functions are available to visualize feature and annotation data:

Generic	Classes	Remarks
plot()	featureGroups,	Scatter plot for retention and m/z values
	featureGroupsComparison	,
plotInt()	featureGroups	Intensity profiles across analyses
plotEIC()	featureGroups, components	Plot extracted ion chromatograms (EICs)
plotSpec()	MSPeakLists, formulas, compounds,	Plots (annotated) spectra
	components	
plotStructu	re ¢ @mpounds	Draws candidate structures
plotScores() formulas, compounds		Barplot for candidate scoring
plotGraph()	componentsNT	Draws interactive graphs of linked
		homologous series


The most common plotting functions are plotEIC(), which plots chromatographic data for features, and

 ${\tt plotSpec}()$, which will plot (annotated) spectra. An overview of their most important function arguments are shown below.


Argument	Generic	Remarks
rtWindow	plotEIC()	Extra time (in s) +/- retention limits of
		plotted features (useful to zoom out)
mzWindow	<pre>plotEIC()</pre>	m/z width of EICs (in Da)
retMin	<pre>plotEIC()</pre>	If TRUE plot retention times in minutes
topMost	<pre>plotEIC()</pre>	Only draw this amount of highest intensity
		features in each group.
showPeakArea,	<pre>plotEIC()</pre>	Fill peak areas / draw rectangles around
showFGroupRect		feature groups?
title	<pre>plotEIC(),</pre>	Override plot title
	<pre>plotSpec()</pre>	
colourBy	<pre>plotEIC()</pre>	Colour individual feature groups ("fGroups")
		or replicate groups ("rGroups"). By default
		nothing is coloured ("none")
showLegend	<pre>plotEIC()</pre>	Display a legend? (only if colourBy!="none")
onlyPresent	<pre>plotEIC()</pre>	Only plot EICs for analyses where a feature
		was detected? Setting to FALSE is useful to
		inspect if a feature was 'missed'.
xlim, ylim	<pre>plotEIC(),</pre>	Override x/y axis ranges, i.e. to manually set
	<pre>plotSpec()</pre>	plotting range.
<pre>groupName, analysis,</pre>	<pre>plotSpec()</pre>	What to plot. See examples below.
precursor, index		
MSLevel	<pre>plotSpec()</pre>	Whether to plot an MS or MS/MS spectrum
		(only MSPeakLists)
formulas	<pre>plotSpec()</pre>	Whether formula annotation should be added
		(only compounds)
plotStruct	<pre>plotSpec()</pre>	Whether the structure should be added to the
		plot (only compounds)

Note that we can use subsetting to select which feature data we want to plot, e.g.

plotEIC(fGroups[1:2]) # only plot EICs from first and second analyses.



plotEIC(fGroups[, 1]) # only plot all features of first group




The plotStructure() function will draw a chemical structure for a compound candidate. In addition, this function can draw the maximum common substructure (MCS) of multiple candidates in order to assess common structural features.

```
# structure for first candidate
plotStructure(compounds, index = 1, groupName = "M120_R328_81")
# MCS for first three candidates
plotStructure(compounds, index = 1:3, groupName = "M120_R328_81")
```


Some other common and less common plotting operations are shown below.


plot(fGroups) # simple scatter plot of retention and m/z values



plotEIC(fGroups) # plot EICs for all features

27 feature groups 00007 00008 0007 00008 0007 00008 0008 00008 00008 00008 00008 00008 00008 00008 00008 00008 00008 00






plotEIC(components, index = 7, fGroups = fGroups) # EICs from a component


2 feature groups M254 R321 484 M276_R321_550 A10 315 320 325 330 Retention time (sec.)


plotSpec(mslists, "M120_R328_81") # non-annotated MS spectrum





plotSpec(mslists, "M120_R328_81", MSLevel = 2) # non-annotated MS/MS spectrum

M120_R328_81 MSMS










plotSpec(components, index = 7) # component spectrum



Inspect homologous series
plotGraph(componNT)

5.5.2 Comparing data

There are three functions that can be used to visualize overlap and uniqueness between data:

Generic	Classes
plotVenn plotUpSet plotChord	featureGroups, featureGroupsComparison, formulas, compounds featureGroups, featureGroupsComparison, formulas, compounds featureGroups, featureGroupsComparison

The most simple comparison plot is a Venn diagram (i.e. plotVenn()). This function is especially useful for two or three-way comparisons. More complex comparisons are better visualized with UpSet diagrams (i.e. plotUpSet()). Finally, chord diagrams (i.e. plotChord()) provide visually pleasing diagrams to assess overlap between data.

These functions can either be used to compare feature data or different objects of the same type. The former is typically used to compare overlap or uniqueness between features in different replicate groups, whereas comparison between objects is useful to visualize differences in algorithmic output. Besides visualization, note that both operations can also be performed to modify or combine objects (see unique and overlapping features and algorithm consensus).

As usual, some examples are shown below.

plotUpSet(fGroups) # compare replicate groups





plotVenn(fGroups, which = c("repl1", "repl2")) # compare some replicate groups



plotChord(fGroups, average = TRUE) # overlap between replicate groups








5.5.3 Hierarchical clustering results


In patRoon hierarchical clustering is used to generate components based on their intensity profiles (see intensity clustering) and to cluster candidate compounds with similar chemical structure (see compound clustering). The functions below can be used to visualize their results.

Generic	Classes	Remarks
plot()	componentsIntClust, compoundsCluster	Plots a dendrogram
<pre>plotInt()</pre>	componentsIntClust	Plots normalized intensity profiles in a cluster
<pre>plotHeatMap()</pre>	componentsIntClust	Plots an heatmap
plotSilhouettes()componentsIntClust		Plot silhouette information to determine the cluster amount
plotStructure() compoundsCluster	Plots the maximum common substructure (MCS) of a cluster

plot(componInt) # dendrogram



plot(compsClust, groupName = "M120_R328_81") # dendrogram for clustered compounds




plotInt(componInt, index = 4) # intensities of 4th cluster




plotHeatMap(componInt) # plot heatmap



plotHeatMap(componInt, interactive = TRUE) # interactive heatmap (with zoom-in!)



plotSilhouettes(componInt, 5:20) # plot silhouettes (e.g. to obtain ideal cluster amount)



5.5.4 Interactive plotting of chromatography data

The plotEIC() function introduced before can be used to visualize chromatography data for one or more features. An interactive alternative is to call the checkChromatograms() function. This function will launch a GUI that allows you to browse through all features and inspect their EICs. Simply pass in the featureGroups object you want to inspect:

```
checkChromatograms(fGroups)
```

Note that this tool does not work well yet with large number of analyses/features. For this reason, it may be worthwile to launch it with subsets of your data, e.g.

```
checkChromatograms(fGroups[1:3, 1:250]) # only first 3 analyses and their first 500 \leftrightarrow feature groups
```

Another purpose of the checkChromatograms() function is to remove 'bad' features (e.g. those which are probably not really features, but just noise). The workflow for this is:

- Launch checkChromatograms() and remove any unwanted feature groups by disabling the keep checkbox.
- 2. Store the result of the checkChromatograms() function to a variable.
- 3. Use this variable to subset the original feature groups.

To do so:

```
keep <- checkChromatograms(fGroups)
fGroups <- fGroups[, keep]</pre>
```

Note that when you re-run checkChromatograms() you can restore the state of which feature groups should be kept/removed by passing the previous result to the function:

```
keep <- checkChromatograms(fGroups) # select feature groups
# continue at a later stage
keep <- checkChromatograms(fGroups, enabledFGroups = keep)</pre>
```

NOTE Again, checkChromatograms() may be slow when processing larger datasets. The reporting functionalities provide a good alternative to quickly get an overview of all EIC data.

5.5.5 Generating EICs in DataAnalysis

If you have Bruker data and the DataAnalysis software installed, you can automatically add EIC data in a DataAnalysis session. The addDAEIC() will do this for a single m/z in one analysis, whereas the addAllDAEICs() function adds EICs for all features in a featureGroups object.

5.6 Reporting

The previous sections showed various functionalies to inspect and visualize results. An easy and automated way to do this automatically is by using the *reporting* functionality of patRoon. The following three reporting functions are available:

- reportCSV(): exports workflow data to comma-separated value (csv) files
- reportPDF(): generates simple reports by plotting workflow data in portable document files (PDFs)
- reportHTML(): generates interactive and easily explorable reports

There are many different arguments available to configure the reporting process. Some common arguments are listed below; for a complete listing see the reference manual (e.g. ?reporting).

Argument	Functions	Remarks
fGroups, formulas, compounds, formulas, components, compsCluste	All r	Objects to plot. Only fGroups is mandatory.
MSPeakLists	reportPDF(), reportHTML()	The MSPeakLists object that was used to generate annotation data. Only needs to be specified if formulas or compounds are reported.
path	All	Directory path where report files will be stored ("report" by default).
<pre>formulasTopMost, compoundsTopMost</pre>	<pre>reportPDF(), reportHTML()</pre>	Report no more than this amount of highest ranked candidates.
EICOnlyPresent	<pre>reportPDF(), reportHTML()</pre>	Only plot an EIC for an analysis if a feature was detected.
selfContained	reportHTML()	Outputs to a single and self contained .html file. Handy to share reports, but not recommended for large amounts of data.

Which data will be reported is fully configurable. The only workflow object that must be specified are the feature groups (i.e. with the fGroups argument), all other data (e.g. compounds, components) are optional. This means that reporting can be performed at every stage during the workflow, which, for instance, can be useful to quickly inspect results when testing out various settings to generate workflow data.

When formula or compound results are reported with reportPDF() or reportHTML() then only the top ranked candidates are considered. This limitation is often necessary as reporting many candidates will take considerable time. By default the top 5 for each feature group are reported, however, this number can be changed with the formulasTopMost and compoundsTopMost arguments.

Some typical examples:

6 Advanced usage

6.1 Adducts

When generating formulae and compound annotations and some other functionalities it is required to specify the adduct species. Behind the scenes, different algorithms typically use different formats. For instance, in order to specify a protonated species...

• GenForm either accepts "M+H" and "+H"

- MetFrag either accepts the numeric code 1 or "[M+H]+"
- SIRIUS accepts "[M+H]+"

In addition, most algorithms only accept a limited set of possible adducts, which do not necessarily all overlap with each other. The GenFormAdducts() and MetFragAdducts() functions list the possible adducts for GenForm and MetFrag, respectively.

In order to simplify the situation patRoon internally uses its own format and converts it automatically to the algorithm specific format when necessary. Furthermore, during conversion it checks if the specified adduct format is actually allowed by the algorithm. Adducts in patRoon are stored in the adduct S4 class. Objects from this class specify which elements are added and/or subtracted, the final charge and the number of molecules present in the adduct (e.g. 2 for a dimer).

```
adduct(add = "H") # [M+H]+
adduct(sub = "H", charge = -1) # [M-H]-
adduct(add = "K", sub = "H2", charge = -1) # [M+K-H2]-
adduct(add = "H3", charge = 3) # [M+H3]3+
adduct(add = "H", molMult = 2) # [2M+H]+
```

A more easy way to generate adduct objects is by using the as.adduct() function:

```
as.adduct("[M+H]+")
as.adduct("[M+H2]2+")
as.adduct("[2M+H]+")
as.adduct("[M-H]-")
as.adduct("+H", format = "genform")
as.adduct(1, isPositive = TRUE, format = "metfrag")
```

In fact, the adduct argument to workflow functions such as generateFormulas() and generateCompounds() is automatically converted to an adduct class with the as.adduct() function if necessary:

```
formulas <- generateFormulas(..., adduct = adduct(sub = "H", charge = -1))
formulas <- generateFormulas(..., adduct = "[M-H]-") # same as above</pre>
```

More details can be found in the reference manual (?adduct and ?`adduct-utils`).

6.2 Feature parameter optimization

Many different parameters exist that may affect the output quality of feature finding and grouping. To avoid time consuming manual experimentation, functionality is provided to largely automate the optimization process. The methodology, which uses design of experiments (DoE), is based on the excellent Isotopologue Parameter Optimization (IPO) R package. The functionality of this package is directly integrated in patRoon. Some functionality was added or changed, the most important being support for other feature finding and grouping algorithms besides XCMS and basic optimization support for qualitative parameters. Nevertheless, the core optimization algorithms are largely untouched.

This section will introduce the most important concepts and functionalities. Please see the reference manual for more information (e.g. ?`feature-optimization`).

6.2.1 Parameter sets

Before starting an optimization experiment we have to define *parameter sets*. These sets contain the parameters and (initial) numeric ranges that should be tested. A parameter set is defined as a regular list, and can be easily constructed with the <code>generateFeatureOptPSet()</code> and <code>generateFGroupsOptPSet()</code> functions (for feature finding and feature grouping, respectively).

```
pSet <- generateFeatureOptPSet("openms") # default test set for OpenMS

pSet <- generateFeatureOptPSet("openms", chromSNR = c(5, 10)) # add parameter

# of course manually making a list is also possible (e.g. if you don't want to test the

→ default parameters)

pSet <- list(noiseThrInt = c(1000, 5000))
```

When optimizing with XCMS a few things have to be considered. First of all, when using the XCMS3 interface (i.e. algorithm="xcms3") the underlying method that should be used for finding and grouping features and retention alignment should be set. In case these are not set default methods will be used.

In addition, when optimizing feature grouping (both XCMS interfaces) we need to set the grouping and retention alignment parameters in two different nested lists: these are groupArgs/retcorArgs (algorithm="xcms") and groupParams/retAlignParams (algorithm="xcms3").

```
pSetFG <- list(groupParams = list(bw = c(20, 30))) # xcms3
pSetFG <- list(retcorArgs = list(gapInit = c(0, 7))) # xcms</pre>
```

When a parameter set has been defined it should be used as input for the optimizeFeatureFinding() or optimizeFeatureGrouping() functions.

Similar to findFeatures(), the first argument to optimizeFeatureFinding() should be the analysis information. Note that it is not uncommon to perform the optimization with only a subset of (representative) analyses (i.e. to reduce processing time).

```
ftOpt <- optimizeFeatureFinding(anaInfo[1:2, ], "openms", pSet) # only use first two

→ analyses
```

From the parameter set a design of experiment will be automatically created. Obviously, the more parameters are specified, the longer such an experiment will take. After an experiment has finished, the optimization algorithm will start a new experiment where numeric ranges for each parameter are increased or decreased in order to more accurately find optimum values. Hence, the numeric ranges specified in the parameter set are only *initial* ranges, and will be changed in subsequent experiments. After each experiment iteration the

results will be evaluated and a new experiment will be started as long as better results were obtained during the last experiment (although there is a hard limit defined by the maxIterations argument).

For some parameters it is recommended or even necessary to set hard limits on the numeric ranges that are allowed to be tested. For instance, setting a minimum feature intensity threshold is highly recommended to avoid excessive processing time and potentially suboptimal results due to excessive amounts of resulting features. Configuring absolute parameter ranges is done by setting the paramRanges argument.

Depending on the used algorithm, several default absolute limits are imposed. These may be obtained with the getDefFeaturesOptParamRanges() and getDefFGroupsOptParamRanges() functions.

The common operation is to optimize numeric parameters. However, parameters that are not numeric (i.e. *qualitative*) need a different approach. In this case you will need to define multiple parameter sets, where each set defines a different qualitative value.

In the above example there are two parameter sets: both define the numeric chromFWHM parameter, whereas the qualitative isotopeFilteringModel parameter has a different value for each. Note that we had to specify the chromFWHM twice, this can be remediated by using the templateParams argument:

As its name suggests, the templateParams argument serves as a template parameter set, and its values are essentially combined with each given parameter set. Note that current support for optimizing qualitative parameters is relatively basic and time consuming. This is because tests are essentially repeated for each parameter set (e.g. in the above example the chromFWHM parameter is optimized twice, each time with a different value for isotopeFilteringModel).

6.2.2 Processing optmization results

The results of an optimization process are stored in objects from the S4 optimizationResult class. Several methods are defined to inspect and visualize these results.

The optimizedParameters() function is used to inspect the best parameter settings. Similarly, the optimizedObject() function can be used to obtain the object that was created with these settings (i.e. a features or featureGroups object).

optimizedParameters(ftOpt) # best settings for whole experiment

#> \$chromFWHM
#> [1] 3
#>

```
#> $mzPPM
#> [1] 17
#>
#> $minFWHM
#> [1] 2.5
#>
#> $maxFWHM
#> [1] 24.5
#>
#> $logPath
#> NULL
```

optimizedObject(ftOpt) # features object with best settings for whole experiment

```
#> A featuresOpenMS object (derived from features -> workflowStep)
#> Object size (indication): 134.4 kB
#> Algorithm: openms
#> Total feature count: 781
#> Average feature count/analysis: 390
#> Analyses: solvent-1, solvent-2 (2 total)
#> Replicate groups: solvent (1 total)
#> Replicate groups used as blank: solvent (1 total)
```

Results can also be obtained for specific parameter sets/iterations.

```
optimizedParameters(ftOpt, 1) # best settings for first parameter set

optimizedParameters(ftOpt, 1, 1) # best settings for first parameter set and experiment

→ iteration

optimizedObject(ftOpt, 1) # features object with best settings for first parameter set
```

The plot() function can be used to visualize optimization results. This function will plot graphs for results of all tested parameter pairs. The graphs can be contour, image or perspective plots (as specified by the type argument).





plot(ftOpt, paramSet = 1, DoEIteration = 1, type = "persp") # pretty perspective plots





t chromFWHM = 5, maxFWHM = 35, x2 at chromFWHM = 5, minFWHM = 3, x2 =at chromFWHM = 5, mzPPM = 10, x3 = -

Please refer to the reference manual for more methods to inspect optimization results (e.g. ?optimizationResult).

6.3 Exporting and converting feature data

The feature group data obtained during the workflow can be exported to various formats with the export() generic function. There are currently three formats supported: "brukerpa" (Bruker ProfileAnalysis), "brukertasq" (Bruker TASQ) and "mzmine" (mzMine). The former exports a 'bucket table' which can be loaded in ProfileAnalysis, the second and third export a target list that can be processed with TASQ and mzMine, respectively.

The getXCMSSet() function converts a features or featureGroups object to an xcmsSet object which can be used for further processing with xcms. Similarly, the getXCMSnExp() function can be used for conversion to an XCMS3 style XCMSnExp object.

Some examples for these functions are shown below.

```
export(fGroups, "brukertasq", out = "my_targets.csv")

# convert features to xcmsSet.
# NOTE: exportedData should only be FALSE when the analysis data files cannot be
# loaded by XCMS (e.g. when obtained with DataAnalysis)
xset <- getXCMSSet(fList, exportedData = TRUE)
xsetg <- getXCMSSet(fGroups, exportedData = TRUE) # get grouped xcmsSet

# using the new XCMS3 interface
# NOTE: for XCMS3 data currently always has to be exported
xdata <- getXCMSnExp(fList)
xdata <- getXCMSnExp(fGroups)</pre>
```

6.4 Algorithm consensus

With patRoon you have the option to choose between several algorithms for most workflow steps. Each algorithm is typically characterized by its efficiency, robustness, and may be optimized towards certain data properties. Comparing their output is therefore advantegeuous in order to design an optimum workflow. The consensus() generic function will compare different results from different algorithms and returns a consensus, which may be based on minimal overlap, uniqueness or simply a combination of all results from involved objects. The output from the consensus() function is of similar type as the input types and is therefore compatible to any 'regular' further data processing operations (e.g. input for other workflow steps or plotting). Note that a consensus can also be made from objects generated by the same algorithm, for instance, to compare or combine results obtained with different parameters (e.g. different databases used for compound annotation).

The consensus() generic is defined for most workflow objects. Some of its common function arguments are listed below.

Argument Classes	Remarks
obj, All	Two or more objects (of the same type) that should be compared to generate the consensus.
compThreshobompounds, formulas,	The minimum overlap (relative/absolute) for a
relAbundanc&eatureGroupsComparison	result (feature, candidate) to be kept.
absAbundance,	
formThreshold	
uniqueFrom compounds, formulas,	Only keep <i>unique</i> results from specified objects.
featureGroupsComparison	
uniqueOuter compounds, formulas,	Should be combined with uniqueFrom. If TRUE
featureGroupsComparison	then only results are kept which are <i>also</i> unique between the objects specified with uniqueFrom.

Note that current support for generating a consensus between components objects is very simplistic; here results are not compared, but the consensus simply consists a combination of all the components from each object.

Generating a consensus for feature groups involves first generating a featureGroupsComparison object. This step is necessary since (small) deviations between retention times and/or mass values reported by different feature finding/grouping algorithms complicates a direct comparison. The comparison objects are made by the comparison() function, and its results can be visualized by the plotting functions discussed in the

previous chapter.

Some examples are shown below

6.5 Compound clustering

When large databases such as PubChem or ChemSpider are used for compound annotation, it is common to find many candidate structures for even a single feature. While choosing the right scoring settings can significantly improve their ranking, it is still very much possible that many candidates of potential interest remain. In this situation it might help to perform compound clustering. During this process, all candidates for a feature are clustered hierarchically on basis of similar chemical structure. From the resulting cluster the maximum common substructure (MCS) can be derived, which represents the largest possible substructure that 'fit' in all candidates. By visual inspection of the MCS it may be possible to identify likely common structural properties of a feature.

In order to perform compound clustering the makeHCluster() generic function should be used. This function heavily relies on chemical fingerprinting functionality provided by rcdk.

```
compounds <- generateCompounds(...) # get our compounds
compsClust <- makeHCluster(compounds)</pre>
```

This function accepts several arguments to fine tune the clustering process:

- method: the clustering method (e.g. "complete" (default), "ward.D2"), see ?hclust for options
- fpType: finger printing type ("extended" by default), see ?get.fingerprint
- fpSimMethod: similarity method for generating the distance method ("tanimoto" by default), see ?fp.sim.matrix

For all arguments see the reference manual (?makeHClust).

The resulting object is of type compoundsCluster. Several methods are defined to modify and inspect these results:

```
# plot MCS of first cluster from candidates of M109_R116_61
plotStructure(compsClust, groupName = "M109_R116_61", 1)
# plot dendrogram
plot(compsClust, groupName = "M109_R116_61")
```

```
# re-assign clusters for a feature group
compsClust <- treeCut(compsClust, k = 5, groupName = "M109_R116_61")
# ditto, but automatic cluster determination
compsClust <- treeCutDynamic(compsClust, minModuleSize = 3, groupName = "M109_R116_61")</pre>
```

For a complete overview see the reference manual (?compoundsCluster).

6.6 Basic quantitative and regression analysis

While patRoon is currently primarily focused on qualitative analyses, some basic quantitative analysis can also be performed, for instance, to estimate concentrations of features. In fact, other types of data that may be useful for regression analysis can be set such as sample dilution factor or sampling time. The latter may, for instance, be used to isolate features with increasing or decreasing intensity. Regardless of what kind of regression analysis is performed, here we simply refer the values to be calculated as concentrations. In order to use this functionality, an extra column (conc) should be added to the analysis information, for instance:

For analyses with known concentrations (e.g. standards) the conc column should be set; for all others the value should be set to NA.

The as.data.table() function (or as.data.frame()) can then be used to calculate regression data and estimate concentrations:

```
# use areas for quantitation and make sure that feature data is reported
# (otherwise no concentrations are calculated)
# (only relevant columns are shown for clarity)
as.data.table(fGroups, areas = TRUE, features = TRUE, regression = TRUE)
```

```
#>
               group conc
                                RSQ intercept slope conc reg
   1: M120_R328_81
                                    55388.00 1844 0.2971800
#>
                        1 0.4029224
   2: M120 R328 81
                        2 0.4029224
                                    55388.00 1844 3.4056399
       M120 R328 81
                        3 0.4029224
                                    55388.00 1844 2.2971800
#>
   4: M134 R399 118
                                     88396.00 -4962 0.7085852
#>
                        1 0.7969603
   5: M134_R399_118
                        2 0.7969603 88396.00 -4962 2.5828295
#>
#>
#> 77: M276_R321_550
                        2 0.9695239
                                      9860.00
                                                508 1.7952756
#> 78: M276_R321_550
                        3 0.9695239
                                      9860.00
                                                508 3.1023622
#> 79: M279_R284_557
                        1 0.6417469
                                    47565.33 -2312 1.4313725
#> 80: M279_R284_557
                        2 0.6417469
                                    47565.33 -2312 1.1372549
#> 81: M279_R284_557
                        3 0.6417469
                                    47565.33 -2312 3.4313725
```

Calculated concentrations are stored in the conc_reg column, alongside while other regression data (i.e. RSQ, intercept, slope columns). To perform basic trend analysis the RSQ (i.e. R squared) can be used:

```
fGroupsTab <- as.data.table(fGroups, areas = TRUE, features = FALSE, regression = TRUE)
# subset fGroups with reasonable correlation
increasingFGroups <- fGroups[, fGroupsTab[RSQ >= 0.8, group]]
```

6.7 Caching

In patRoon lengthy processing operations such as finding features and generating annotation data is *cached*. This means that when you run such a calculation again (without changing any parameters), the data is simply loaded from the cache data instead of re-generating it. This in turn is very useful, for instance, if you have closed your R session and want to continue with data processing at a later stage.

The cache data is stored in a sqlite database file. This file is stored by default under the name cache.sqlite in the current working directory (for this reason it is very important to always restore your working directory!). However, the name and location can be changed by setting a global package option:

```
options(patRoon.cache.fileName = "~/myCacheFile.sqlite")
```

For instance, this might be useful if you want to use a shared cache file between projects.

After a while you may see that your cache file can get quite large. This is especially true when testing different parameters to optimize your workflow. Furthermore, you may want to clear the cache after you have updated patRoon and want to make sure that the latest code is used to generate the data. At any point you can simply remove the cache file. A more fine tuned approach which doesn't wipe all your cached data is by using the clearCache() function. With this function you can selectively remove parts of the cache file. The function has two arguments: what, which specifies what should be removed, and file which specifies the path to the cache file. The latter only needs to be specified if you want to manage a different cache file.

In order to figure what is in the cache you can run clearCache() without any arguments:

clearCache()

```
#> Please specify which cache you want to remove. Available are:
#> - EICData (3 rows)
#> - MSPeakListsAvg (4 rows)
#> - MSPeakListsMzR (104 rows)
#> - componentsCAMERA (1 rows)
#> - componentsNontarget (1 rows)
#> - componentsRC (1 rows)
#> - compoundsCluster (1 rows)
#> - compoundsMetFrag (25 rows)
#> - compoundsSirius (28 rows)
#> - featureGroupsOpenMS (3 rows)
#> - featuresOpenMS (256 rows)
#> - filterFGroups_blank (3 rows)
#> - filterFGroups intensity (7 rows)
#> - filterFGroups_minReplicates (25 rows)
#> - filterFGroups_replicateAbundance (6 rows)
#> - filterFGroups_replicate_group (16 rows)
#> - filterFGroups_retention (2 rows)
#> - filterMSPeakLists (2 rows)
#> - formulasFGroupConsensus (2 rows)
#> - formulasGenForm (104 rows)
```

```
#> - mzREIC (266 rows)
#> - reportPlots (532 rows)
#> - specData (6 rows)
#> - all (removes complete cache database)
```

Using this output you can re-run the function again, for instance:

```
clearCache("featuresOpenMS")
clearCache(c("featureGroupsOpenMS", "formulasGenForm")) # clear multiple
clearCache("OpenMS") # clear all with OpenMS in name (ie partial matched)
clearCache("all") # same as simply removing the file
```

6.8 Parallelization

patRoon relies on several external (command-line) utilities to generate workflow data such as MetFrag CL, OpenMS and SIRIUS. When these tools are used for obtaining results for a large number of features it may take a long time before processing is finished. In order to speed this up these commands are executed in parallel. Running several commands simultenously is especially advantageous on multi core CPUs.

The number of commands to run in parallel is by default equal to the number of physical cores. You may want to change this amount, for instance, when you don't want to dedicate all of your computers resources to patRoon. This can either be done by changing a global package option, which affects all operations, or when executing a single workflow step.

```
options(patRoon.maxProcAmount = 3) # execute max 3 commands in parallel
formulas <- generateFormulas(..., maxProcAmount = 3) # ditto, but only for this function</pre>
```