An analysis of scholarly citations in Wikipedia

Alessio Bogon

University of Trento
Department of Information Engineering and Computer Science

Master Degree in Computer Science

March 24, 2016

Overview

1. Introduction
    - Wikipedia
    - Open questions
    - Available datasets
    - The missing pieces
2. Data manipulation
    - Extracting citations from Wikipedia
    - Wikimedia page views
3. Results
    - Age of papers when inserted
    - Age of papers when inserted
    - Incoming citations distribution
    - Journals rank
    - Lifetime of irrelevant identifiers
4. Conclusion

Introduction

Wikipedia

- Most used encyclopedia
- Started in 2001
- Studied by many
  - Quality of citations [1]
  - Illness prediction [2]
  - Stock market moves strategy [3]



Figure: Wikipedian protester (`xkcd.com/285`)

Open questions

- Quality of papers in Wikipedia, in term of:
  - Incoming citations
  - Journals rank
  - Lifetime of citations
- Prediction systems
  - If a paper appears in Wikipedia, will it become more popular in the scientific community?
  - In another way, do researcher use Wikipedia as their primary data source?
  - Predict whether a publication is going to stay on a page

Available datasets

- Microsoft Academic Graph: a dataset containing data about papers, authors, references, journals, conferences, etc.
- Wikipedia dumps: text of all page revisions since the beginning
- Wikimedia hourly page view statistics

Microsoft Academic Graph

- Dataset powering the Microsoft Academic Search engine
- Size: 96 GB
- Contains over 120M papers (1800 – 2016)
- Information about authors, references, journals, conferences, keywords, etc.
- Problems
  - Only *computer science* conferences
  - Some of papers' publication dates are incomplete
  - Not all the papers have a DOI (32% of them)

The missing pieces

- History of papers appearing in Wikipedia (where and when)
- Usable/searchable page views dataset

Data manipulation

Extracting citations from Wikipedia

Problems

- Citations can be structured: *wikimarkup* templates
  - Many different variants
  - Anybody can use custom macros
  - Different templates for each language
- or unstructured: plain text
  - Recognize substrings that appear to be citations
- Entity disambiguation
- Dataset size: 13,3 TB as of September 1st, 2015

Solution

- Focus on publication identifiers (*DOI*, *PMID*, *arXiv*, *ISBN*)
- The **wikidump** framework

Wikidump

- Facility framework to extract features from Wikipedia dumps
- Based on libraries by Aaron Halfaker
- Low memory consumption
- Highly parallelizable
- Written in Python

- Processed 445M page revisions (13,3 TB) in 21 hours

Type	Count
ISBN	1 153 330
DOI	651 199
PMID	372 939
PMC	79 841
arXiv	18 832

Table: Number of identifiers extracted

Wikimedia page views

Problems

- Dataset size: 23 TB (4,7 TB for the 2014)
- Aggregated and ordered by hour (8670 files per year)
- They need to be cleaned
- They need to be **reordered**
- Unfeasible on a single machine

Solution

- Exploit the UniTN Cisca Cluster

The Spark job

- UniTN Cisca Cluster
  - 125 workstations
  - 500 CPU cores in total
  - Available only at night and in the weekend
- The job
  - Normalize the content
  - Sample the first file
  - Repartition the keyspace
  - Sort each partition locally

- Took one night for the 2014 dataset
- Took many nights to get it to work

The Spark job — Workflow



Figure: Workflow showing the processing of Wikimedia page views

Results

Quality of papers in Wikipedia

- Age of papers when inserted
- Incoming citations distribution
- Journals rank
- Lifetime of citations

Age of papers when inserted

- How old is a paper when it is insterted in Wikipedia for the first time?
- Interesting behavior of papers having few days

Age of papers when inserted



Age of papers when inserted — Detail



1.3% of papers are inserted within 7 days after the publication

Incoming citations distribution

- Arguably follows a power law [4]: $N(x) \sim x^{-\alpha}$
- How well papers in Wikipedia perform?



Incoming citations distribution

- Papers in Wikipedia behave like *Genome Research* and *PNAS*
- They outclass *Nature* and *Science*
- 75% of papers in Wikipedia have more than 10 incoming citations



Figure: Papers cited in Wikipedia vs papers in top journals

Journals rank

- First proposed by Nielsen in 2007 [1]
- Most cited journals in Wikipedia are also the most important ones

- Journals rank by impact factor versus:
  - citations in Wikipedia
  - visualizations in Wikipedia (in 2014)
- Measured in term of Kendall rank correlation coefficient $(-1 \leq \tau \leq 1)$

Journals impact factor vs Wikipedia citations



Kendall rank correlation coefficient: 0.464

Journals impact factor vs Wikipedia impressions



Kendall rank correlation coefficient: 0.401

Maybe: Journals citations vs impressions in Wikipedia



Linear regression model: $\hat{y}_i = 10^{5.03} \times x^{0.98}$ $(r^2 = 0.65)$

Lifetime of irrelevant identifiers

- How long does it take for a Wikipedia contributor to discover and remove an “irrelevant” paper from an article?
- An identifier is “irrelevant” for an article if it appeared on that page and was then removed.

- Fraction of identifiers removed in time

- 60% of irrelevant DOI removed within one year
- 35% within one month
- 20% within one day



Conclusion

References I

- Finn Arup Nielsen.
  Scientific citations in Wikipedia.
  First Monday, 12(8):1–5, August 2007.

- David J. McIver and John S. Brownstein.
  Wikipedia Usage Estimates Prevalence of Influenza-Like Illness in the United States in Near Real-Time.
  PLoS Computational Biology, 10(4), 2014.

- Helen Susannah Moat, Chester Curme, Adam Avakian, Dror Y. Kenett, H. Eugene Stanley, and Tobias Preis.
  Quantifying Wikipedia Usage Patterns Before Stock Market Moves.
  Scientific Reports, 3:1–5, 2013.

References II

Sidney Redner.
How popular is your paper? An empirical study of the citation distribution.
The European Physical Journal B - Condensed Matter and Complex Systems, 4(2):131–134, 1998.