# 7. Simultaneous-Move Games (Mixed Strategies)

#### Three Rounds of Five Games of Rock-Paper-Scissors

Play 5 games of RPS against a player who decides their strategy based on a roll of the die: 1,2  $\sim$  R, 3,4  $\sim$  P, 5,6  $\sim$  S.

I choose: PSPRS.

Opponent rolls: SRPSR. 1 Win, 3 Losses, 1 Draw

Play another 5 games of RPS but opponent: 1,2,3 ~ R, 4,5 ~ P, 6 ~ S.

I choose: PPPPP.

Opponent rolls: RRSRR. 4 Wins, 1 Loss, 0 Draw

Play another 5 games of RPS but opponent: 1,2,3,4,5 ~ R, 6 ~ P.

I choose: PPPPP.

Opponent rolls: RRPRR. 4 Wins, 0 Losses, 1 Draw

Notice that, when the outcome is even slightly in favor of one strategy over the others (here it is P), I went all in on that strategy, which produced good results (4 Wins in last two rounds).

#### Soccer Example

Rows are kicker, columns are goalie.

$$\begin{bmatrix} L & R \\ L & -1, 1 & 1, -1 \\ R & 1, -1 & -1, 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

There are no dominant or dominated strategies, nor pure Nash Equilibria.

## So, we need to find Mixed Strategy Nash Equilibria:

Let the probability that kicker goes left be P, right be 1 - P.

Let the probability that goalies goes left be Q, right be 1 - Q.

Expected payoffs for kicker:

L: 
$$(-1)(Q)+(1)(1 - Q) = 1 - 2Q$$

R: 
$$(1)(Q)+(-1)(1 - Q) = 2Q - 1$$

Thus:

$$1 - 2Q = 2Q - 1 -> Q = .5, 1 - Q = .5.$$

Furthermore, P = .5, 1 - P = .5.

These are our Mixed Strategy Nash Equilibria.

#### Football Example

Rows are offense, columns are defense.

$$\begin{bmatrix} R & P \\ R & -5, 5 & 7, -7 \\ P & 18, -18 & 0, 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let the probability that offense runs be P, pass be 1 - P.

Let the probability that defense defends run be Q, defends pass 1 - Q.

Expected payoffs for offense:

R: 
$$(-5)(Q)+(7)(1 - Q) = 7 - 12Q$$

P: 
$$18(Q)+0(1 - Q) = 18Q$$

Thus:

7 - 12Q = 18Q -> Q = 
$$\frac{7}{30}$$
, 1 - Q =  $\frac{23}{30}$ .

Expected payoffs for defense:

R: 
$$(5)(P)+(-18)(1 - P) = 23P - 18$$

P: 
$$(-7)(P)+(0)(1 - P) = -7P$$

Thus:

23P - 18 = -7P -> P = 
$$\frac{18}{30}$$
, 1 - P =  $\frac{12}{30}$ .

## Revisiting Battle of Sexes (Non-Zero-Sum)

We know the Pure Nash Equilibria are (Boxing, Boxing), (Ballet, Ballet).

Let the probability that husband does boxing be P, ballet 1 - P.

Let the probability that wife does boxing be Q, ballet 1 - Q.

Expected payoffs for wife:

Boxing: 
$$(1)(P)+(0)(1 - P) = P$$

Ballet: 
$$(0)(P)+(2)(1 - P) = 2 - 2P$$

Thus:

$$P = 2 - 2P -> P = \frac{2}{3}, 1 - P = \frac{1}{3}.$$

Expected payoffs for husband:

Boxing: 
$$(2)(Q)+(0)(1 - Q) = 2Q$$

Ballet: 
$$(0)(Q)+(1)(1-Q)=1-Q$$

Thus:

$$2Q = 1 - Q -> Q = \frac{1}{3}, 1 - Q = \frac{2}{3}.$$

Then, husband's expected payoff is:

$$(2)(\frac{2}{3})(\frac{1}{3})+(0)(\frac{2}{3})(\frac{2}{3})+(0)(\frac{1}{3})(\frac{1}{3})+(1)(\frac{1}{3})(\frac{2}{3})=\frac{6}{9}$$

Similarly, wife's expected payoff is  $\frac{2}{3}$ .

Revisiting Prisoner's Dilemma

Expected payoffs for A:

C: 
$$(-5)(Q)+(0)(1 - Q) = -5Q$$

D: 
$$(-10)(Q)+(-1)(1 - Q) = -9Q - 1$$

Thus:

$$-5Q = -9Q - 1 -> Q = \frac{-1}{4}, 1 - Q = \frac{5}{4}.$$

These probabilities do not make much sense.

**Instead**, this game has dominant strategies that lead to the solution (C, C), so we do not calculate mixed strategies like this.

Note Check for dominant/dominated strategies first!

#### 3x3 Example

$$\begin{bmatrix} L & C & R \\ T & 0,0 & 2,1 & 1,2 \\ M & 1,2 & 0,0 & 2,1 \\ B & 2,1 & 1,2 & 0,0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let the probability that A chooses T be  $P_1$ , M be  $P_2$ , B be  $1 - P_1 - P_2$ .

Let the probability that B chooses L be  $Q_1$ , C be  $Q_2$ , R be  $1 - Q_1 - Q_2$ .

Expected payoffs for A:

T: 
$$(0)(Q_1)+(2)(Q_2)+(1)(1-Q_1-Q_2)=Q_2-Q_1+1$$

M: 
$$(1)(Q_1)+(0)(Q_2)+(2)(1-Q_1-Q_2)=2-Q_1-2Q_2$$

B: 
$$(2)(Q_1)+(1)(Q_2)+(0)(1-Q_1-Q_2)=2Q_1+Q_2$$

Thus:

$$Q_2 - Q_1 + 1 = 2 - Q_1 - 2Q_2 \Rightarrow Q_2 = \frac{1}{3}$$
.

$$2Q_1 + Q_2 = Q_2 - Q_1 + 1 \rightarrow Q_1 = \frac{1}{3}$$
.

And, 
$$1 - Q_1 - Q_2 = \frac{1}{3}$$
.

Similarly, 
$$P_1 = P_2 = 1 - P_1 - P_2 = \frac{1}{3}$$
.

These are the fully mixed NE.

We can also find partially mixed NE.

Let the probability that A chooses T be P, M be 1 - P, B be 0.

Expected payoffs for B:

L: 
$$(0)(P)+(2)(1 - P) = 2 - 2P$$

C: 
$$(1)(P)+(0)(1 - P) = P$$

R: 
$$(2)(P)+(1)(1 - P) = P + 1$$

Thus:

B will never choose C since P < P + 1.

This reduces the game:

$$\begin{bmatrix} L & R \\ T & 0, 0 & 1, 2 \\ M & 1, 2 & 2, 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then, the NE for this partial mixture is (M, L).

### 4x4 Example

If there are no dominant or dominated strategies, we consider:

16 Possible Pure NE,

- 1 Fully Mixed NE,
- 4 Partially Mixed NE (3 included),
- $\binom{4}{2}=6$  Partially Mixed NE (2 included).